



PRIVATE TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2024

University of Victoria

Generated 25-11-2024

About this report

PRI reporting is the largest global reporting project on responsible investment. It was developed with investors, for investors. PRI signatories are required to report publicly on their responsible investment activities each year. In turn, they receive a number of outputs, including a public and private Transparency Report.

The private Transparency Reports, which are produced using signatories' reported information, support signatories to have internal discussions about their practices. Signatories can also choose to make these available to clients, beneficiaries, and other stakeholders.

This private Transparency Report is an export of your responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2024 reporting period. It includes all responses (public and private) to core and plus indicators.

In response to signatory feedback, the PRI has not summarised your responses – the information in this document is presented exactly as it was reported.

For each of the indicators in this document, all options that you selected are presented, including links and qualitative responses. In some indicators, all applicable options are included for additional context.

Disclaimers

Legal Context

PRI recognises that the laws and regulations to which signatories are subject differ by jurisdiction. We do not seek or require any signatory to take an action that is not in compliance with applicable laws. All signatory responses should therefore be understood to be subject to and informed by the legal and regulatory context in which the signatory operates.

Responsible investment definitions

Within the PRI Reporting Framework Glossary, we provide definitions for key terms to guide reporting on responsible investment practices in the Reporting Framework. These definitions may differ from those used or proposed by other authorities and regulatory bodies due to evolving industry perspectives and changing legislative landscapes. Users of this report should be aware of these variations, as they may impact interpretations of the information provided.

Data accuracy

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2024 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

Table of Contents

Module	Page
SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)	4
ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)	7
POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)	17
MANAGER SELECTION, APPOINTMENT AND MONITORING (SAM)	35
SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES (SO)	46
CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)	56

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Senior Leadership Statement	GENERAL

Section 1. Our commitment

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment, and what major responsible investment commitment(s) have you made?

We, at the University of Victoria ("UVic"), are deeply committed to sustainability, and the critical need to address climate change across society and in every university domain (research, education, community engagement, and campus operations). Our goal is to be a global leader in environmental and societal sustainability including responding to the critical global issue of climate change.

Responsible investing is an approach that aims to incorporate environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors into investment decisions in order to better manage risk and generate sustainable long-term returns. We believe responsible investing, taking ESG factors into consideration, will reduce long-term risks and improve risk-adjusted returns.

In addition, we are deeply committed to sustainability. We understand the critical need to address climate change across society and in every university domain—research, education, community engagement, and campus operations.

With our working capital investments, we have committed to:

- Using our strategic framework to guide our short-term investment decisions in promoting sustainable futures and supporting Indigenous economic development;
- Addressing the physical and transitional risks and opportunities of climate change;
- Using positive and negative screening to reduce the carbon intensity of investments by at least 45% by 2030;
- Using a responsible investment approach to manage investment risks; and,
- Invest at least 25% of the portfolio in thematic impact investments.

Please see our responsible investment policy for a detailed description on our organization's overall approach to responsible investment and our responsible investment commitments: https://www.uvic.ca/universitysecretary/assets/docs/policies/FM5215_1790_.pdf.

Section 2. Annual overview

- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. Details might include, for example, outlining your single most important achievement or describing your general progress on topics such as the following (where applicable):
 - refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
 - stewardship activities with investees and/or with policymakers
 - collaborative engagements
 - attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

We have taken the following steps in the past year to support our commitment to responsible investment:

- carbon footprinting - continued progress toward the decarbonisation target of reducing the carbon intensity of investments by 45% by 2030. The target will be reviewed at least once every five years and reported annually within the Responsible Investment Report;
- ongoing engagement - continued participation in investor coalitions and collaborations to enhance ESG standards and disclosure requirements by joining the University Network for Investor Engagement (UNIE) sponsored by SHARE, and supporting the Task Force For Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD); and,
- impact investing - continued investment of projects that promote sustainable futures or support Indigenous economic development like our existing investment in an impact infrastructure fund and the new student housing and dining project which meets LEED v4 and passive house standards.

We are a member of the University Network for Investor Engagement (UNIE), through the Shareholder Association for Research and Education (SHARE). Alongside 16 other post-secondary institutional entities, we have been working over the past year to engage companies on climate-related discourse, leading to tangible changes and progress in corporate sustainability practices. Over the past year UNIE engaged with 92 companies on its five themes:

- Reduce emissions in line with Paris commitments;
- Shift lending and capital expenditures to reduce financed emissions;
- Implement responsible climate lobbying policies and practices;
- Incorporate climate risk in business strategy and board oversight; and,
- Work towards a just transition that doesn't leave workers or communities behind.

Our 2023-2024 Responsible Investing Report is expected to be issued in late September or early October of 2024. Our annual holdings disclosure as of March 31, 2024, is now available at <https://www.uvic.ca/vpfo/capitalinvestments/reports/index.php>.

Section 3. Next steps

- What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

In following our commitment to responsible investment, we will:

- Continue to advance the commitment to reduce the carbon intensity of investments by 45% by 2030 and review the portfolio's assets to expand the carbon intensity measurement annually;
- Consider impact investments in all asset classes that align with our investment strategy and responsible investment goals, and align the reporting of impact investments to the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and IRIS+ Metrics where possible;
- Continue our support for collective engagement through UNIE and reporting on our engagement activities; and,
- Continue to report our responsible investment progress and achievements to the campus community and the general public.

Section 4. Endorsement

'The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment'.

Name

Raymond Aoki

Position

Treasurer

Organisation's Name

University of Victoria

● **A**

'This endorsement applies only to the Senior Leadership Statement and should not be considered an endorsement of the information reported by the above-mentioned organisation in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement serves as a general overview of the above-mentioned organisation's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such. Further, it is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions'.

○ **B**

ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)

ORGANISATIONAL INFORMATION

REPORTING YEAR

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

What is the year-end date of the 12-month period you have chosen to report for PRI reporting purposes?

	Date	Month	Year
Year-end date of the 12-month period for PRI reporting purposes:	31	03	2024

SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries?

- ☐ (A) Yes
- ☒ (B) No

ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT

ALL ASSET CLASSES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 4	CORE	OO 3	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What are your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the reporting year, as indicated in [OO 1]?

USD

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries, and excluding the AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 266,138,000.00

(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission, as indicated in [OO 2.2]

US\$ 0.00

(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 0.00

Additional information on the exchange rate used: (Voluntary)

Exchange Rate Based on Bank of Canada: CAD/USD = 1.355

ASSET BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5	CORE	OO 3	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total AUM at the end of the reporting year as indicated in [OO 1].

	(1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM	(2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM
(A) Listed equity	0%	0%
(B) Fixed income	0%	12.68%
(C) Private equity	0%	0.25%
(D) Real estate	0%	14.9%
(E) Infrastructure	0%	2.32%
(F) Hedge funds	0%	0%
(G) Forestry	0%	0%
(H) Farmland	0%	0%
(I) Other	69.85%	0%
(J) Off-balance sheet	0%	0%

(I) Other - (1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM - Specify:

Cash, GICs, and Internal Loans

ASSET BREAKDOWN: EXTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.1	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Externally managed assets	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your organisation's externally managed listed equity and/or fixed income AUM.

	(2) Fixed income - SSA	(3) Fixed income - corporate	(4) Fixed income - securitised	(5) Fixed income - private debt
(A) Active	30.39%	69.61%	0%	0%
(B) Passive	0%	0%		

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	SAM 3, SAM 8	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Externally managed assets	GENERAL

Provide a breakdown of your organisation's externally managed AUM between segregated mandates and pooled funds or investments.

	(1) Segregated mandate(s)	(2) Pooled fund(s) or pooled investment(s)
(C) Fixed income - active	0%	100%
(E) Private equity	0%	100%
(F) Real estate	0%	100%
(G) Infrastructure	0%	100%

MANAGEMENT BY PRI SIGNATORIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Management by PRI signatories	GENERAL

What percentage of your organisation's externally managed assets are managed by PRI signatories?

100%

GEOGRAPHICAL BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 7	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

How much of your AUM in each asset class is invested in emerging markets and developing economies?

AUM in Emerging Markets and Developing Economies

(B) Fixed income – SSA	(1) 0%
(C) Fixed income – corporate	(1) 0%
(F) Private equity	(1) 0%
(G) Real estate	(1) 0%
(H) Infrastructure	(2) >0 to 10%

STEWARDSHIP

STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 8	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities, excluding (proxy) voting, for any of your assets?

	(3) Fixed income - active	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure	(11) Other
(A) Yes, through internal staff	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Yes, through service providers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) Yes, through external managers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(D) We do not conduct stewardship	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

ESG INCORPORATION

INTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Internally managed assets	1

For each internally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, into your investment decisions?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions
(V) Other: Cash, GICs, and Internal Loans	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

EXTERNAL MANAGER SELECTION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 12	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager selection	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, when selecting external investment managers?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when selecting external investment managers	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when selecting external investment managers
(C) Fixed income - active	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(E) Private equity	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(F) Real estate	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(G) Infrastructure	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

EXTERNAL MANAGER APPOINTMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 13	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager appointment	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, when appointing external investment managers?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when appointing external investment managers	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when appointing external investment managers
(C) Fixed income - active	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(E) Private equity	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(F) Real estate	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(G) Infrastructure	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

EXTERNAL MANAGER MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 14	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager monitoring	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, when monitoring external investment managers?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when monitoring external investment managers	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when monitoring external investment managers
(C) Fixed income - active	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(E) Private equity	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(F) Real estate	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(G) Infrastructure	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

ESG IN OTHER ASSET CLASSES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 15	CORE	OO 11, OO 12–14	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG in other asset classes	1

Describe how your organisation incorporates ESG factors into the following asset classes.

Internally managed
(C) Other

Assuming risk-adjusted returns are not compromised and recognizing restrictions within our Working Capital Investment Policy and Responsible Investment Policy, we prioritize making GIC investments with financial institutions that:

- Have a commitment to net-zero emissions through policy;
- Have a profitable net-zero business model by integrating climate considerations during lending; and,
- Have quantitative medium-term carbon reduction targets.

We will also consider its impact commitment when reviewing Impact GICs.

ESG/SUSTAINABILITY FUNDS AND PRODUCTS

LABELLING AND MARKETING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	OO 11–14	OO 18.1	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

Do you explicitly market any of your products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable?

- ☐ (A) Yes, we market products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable
- ☐ (B) No, we do not offer products or funds explicitly marketed as ESG and/or sustainable
- ☒ (C) **Not applicable; we do not offer products or funds**

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 21	CORE	Multiple indicators	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Summary of reporting requirements	GENERAL

The following table shows which modules are mandatory or voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules. Where a module is voluntary, indicate if you wish to report on it.

Applicable modules	(1) Mandatory to report (pre-filled based on previous responses)	(2.1) Voluntary to report. Yes, I want to opt-in to reporting on the module	(2.2) Voluntary to report. No, I want to opt-out of reporting on the module
Policy, Governance and Strategy	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Confidence Building Measures	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(V) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – fixed income - active	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(X) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – private equity	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

(Y) External manager selection,
appointment and monitoring (SAM)
– real estate



(Z) External manager selection,
appointment and monitoring (SAM)
– infrastructure



SUBMISSION INFORMATION

REPORT DISCLOSURE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 32	CORE	OO 3, OO 31	N/A	PUBLIC	Report disclosure	GENERAL

How would you like to disclose the detailed percentage figures you reported throughout the Reporting Framework?

- ☒ (A) Publish as absolute numbers
- ☐ (B) Publish as ranges

POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)

POLICY

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY ELEMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 1	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1, 2

Which elements are covered in your formal responsible investment policy(ies)?

- ☒ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- ☒ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- ☒ (C) Guidelines on social factors
- ☒ (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- ☒ (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes
- ☐ (F) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold
- ☒ (G) Guidelines on exclusions
- ☐ (H) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- ☐ (I) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees
- ☐ (J) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement
- ☐ (K) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders
- ☐ (M) Other responsible investment elements not listed here
 - (N) Our organisation does not have a formal responsible investment policy and/or our policy(ies) do not cover any responsible investment elements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 2	CORE	PGS 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) include specific guidelines on systematic sustainability issues?

- ☒ (A) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)
- ☒ (B) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)
- ☐ (C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues
 - (D) Our formal responsible investment policy(ies) does not include guidelines on systematic sustainability issues

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 3	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	6

Which elements of your formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available?

☒ **(A) Overall approach to responsible investment**

Add link:

https://www.uvic.ca/universitysecretary/assets/docs/policies/FM5215_1790_.pdf

☒ **(B) Guidelines on environmental factors**

Add link:

https://www.uvic.ca/universitysecretary/assets/docs/policies/FM5215_1790_.pdf

☒ **(C) Guidelines on social factors**

Add link:

https://www.uvic.ca/universitysecretary/assets/docs/policies/FM5215_1790_.pdf

☒ **(D) Guidelines on governance factors**

Add link:

https://www.uvic.ca/universitysecretary/assets/docs/policies/FM5215_1790_.pdf

☒ **(E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes**

Add link:

https://www.uvic.ca/universitysecretary/assets/docs/policies/FM5215_1790_.pdf

☒ **(F) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)**

Add link:

https://www.uvic.ca/universitysecretary/assets/docs/policies/FM5215_1790_.pdf

☒ **(G) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)**

Add link:

https://www.uvic.ca/universitysecretary/assets/docs/policies/FM5215_1790_.pdf

☒ **(J) Guidelines on exclusions**

Add link:

https://www.uvic.ca/universitysecretary/assets/docs/policies/FM5215_1790_.pdf

☐ **(Q) No elements of our formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 4	PLUS	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1 – 6

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) identify a link between your responsible investment activities and your fiduciary duties or equivalent obligations?

☒ **(A) Yes**

Elaborate:

Responsible investing includes taking environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors into consideration. We believe this will also reduce long-term risks and improve risk-adjusted returns. All our investments are made with full consideration of all factors, including ESG factors.

We will:

- analyse financial information and ESG information;
- identify material financial factors and ESG factors;
- assess the potential impact of material financial factors and ESG factors on economic, country, sector and company performance;
- and,
- make investment decisions that include considerations of all material factors, including ESG factors.

☐ **(B) No**

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY COVERAGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 8	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What percentage of your total AUM is covered by the below elements of your responsible investment policy(ies)?

Combined AUM coverage of all policy elements

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment
 (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
 (C) Guidelines on social factors
 (D) Guidelines on governance factors

(7) 100%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 9	CORE	PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What proportion of your AUM is covered by your formal policies or guidelines on climate change, human rights, or other systematic sustainability issues?

AUM coverage

(A) Specific guidelines on climate change
 (1) for all of our AUM

(B) Specific guidelines on human rights
 (1) for all of our AUM

GOVERNANCE

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Which senior level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation have formal oversight over and accountability for responsible investment?

- ☐ (A) Board members, trustees, or equivalent
☒ **(B) Senior executive-level staff, or equivalent**
 Specify:

Treasurer

- ☐ (C) Investment committee, or equivalent
☐ (D) Head of department, or equivalent
☐ (E) None of the above bodies and roles have oversight over and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.1	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2, PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1, 2

Does your organisation's senior level body(ies) or role(s) have formal oversight over and accountability for the elements covered in your responsible investment policy(ies)?

(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Guidelines on environmental, social and/or governance factors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(H) Guidelines on exclusions



(N) This role has no formal oversight over and accountability for any of the above elements covered in our responsible investment policy(ies)



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1 – 6

Does your organisation have governance processes or structures to ensure that your overall political engagement is aligned with your commitment to the principles of PRI, including any political engagement conducted by third parties on your behalf?

- ☐ (A) Yes
- ☐ (B) No
- ☒ **(C) Not applicable, our organisation does not conduct any form of political engagement directly or through any third parties**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

In your organisation, which internal or external roles are responsible for implementing your approach to responsible investment?

☒ **(A) Internal role(s)**

Specify:

The internal team, led by the Treasurer and Investment Analyst, are responsible for monitoring investment managers in the execution of their approaches to responsible investment, including the decarbonisation commitment and impact investment commitment made by UVic. This internal team is responsible for reporting on all responsible investment activities to the Board of Governors of the University of Victoria who are the approving authority of the Responsible Investment Policy.

☒ **(B) External investment managers, service providers, or other external partners or suppliers**

Specify:

External investment managers are in charge of stewardship and ESG monitoring activities on the individual strategies that they manage.

- ☐ (C) We do not have any internal or external roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 14	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and are these KPIs linked to compensation?

- ☐ (A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)
- ☒ **(B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)**

Explain why: (Voluntary)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 15	PLUS	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

What responsible investment competencies do you regularly include in the training of senior-level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation?

(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department or equivalent

(A) Specific competence in climate change mitigation and adaptation	<input type="checkbox"/>
(B) Specific competence in investors' responsibility to respect human rights	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) Specific competence in other systematic sustainability issues	<input type="checkbox"/>
(D) The regular training of this senior leadership role does not include any of the above responsible investment competencies	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

EXTERNAL REPORTING AND DISCLOSURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

What elements are included in your regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of your AUM?

- ☒ (A) Any changes in policies related to responsible investment
- ☒ (B) Any changes in governance or oversight related to responsible investment
- ☒ (C) Stewardship-related commitments
- ☒ (D) Progress towards stewardship-related commitments
- ☒ (E) Climate-related commitments
- ☒ (F) Progress towards climate-related commitments
- ☒ (G) Human rights-related commitments
- ☒ (H) Progress towards human rights-related commitments
- ☐ (I) Commitments to other systematic sustainability issues
- ☐ (J) Progress towards commitments on other systematic sustainability issues
- ☐ (K) We do not include any of these elements in our regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose climate-related information in line with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures' (TCFD) recommendations?

- ☐ (A) Yes, including all governance-related recommended disclosures
- ☐ (B) Yes, including all strategy-related recommended disclosures
- ☐ (C) Yes, including all risk management-related recommended disclosures
- ☐ (D) Yes, including all applicable metrics and targets-related recommended disclosures
- ☒ (E) None of the above

Explain why: (Voluntary)

Explain why: (Voluntary)

We do not produce a TCFD report as the University of Victoria is not only an asset owner but also an academic institution. We do however monitor the TCFD reports of our investment managers.

As an academic institution, the University of Victoria produces STARS reports regularly. The Sustainability Tracking, Assessment and Rating System (STARS) is a voluntary framework used by colleges and universities to measure, report, and strengthen their contributions to global sustainability. We have received four STARS scores to date in 2014, 2017, 2020 and 2024. Our score has improved with every submission and each submission received a gold-level rating, except in 2024, when we received a platinum-level rating, the highest level attainable.

The university also has other sustainability-related reporting at the link below:

<https://www.uvic.ca/sustainability/resources/reports/index.php>.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 19	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement?

- (A) Yes, we publicly disclosed our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- (B) No, we did not publicly disclose our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- (C) **Not applicable, we were not members in or supporters of any trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement during the reporting year**

STRATEGY

CAPITAL ALLOCATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 20	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

Which elements do your organisation-level exclusions cover?

- ☐ (A) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular sectors, products or services
- ☐ (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular regions or countries
- ☐ (C) Exclusions based on minimum standards of business practice aligned with international norms such as the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the International Bill of Human Rights, UN Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact
- ☒ (D) **Exclusions based on our organisation's climate change commitments**
- ☐ (E) Other elements
- (F) Not applicable; our organisation does not have any organisation-level exclusions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 21	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

How does your responsible investment approach influence your strategic asset allocation process?

- ☒ (A) **We incorporate ESG factors into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns**
Select from dropdown list:
 - (1) **for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation**
 - (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
 - (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- ☒ (B) **We incorporate climate change-related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns**
Select from dropdown list:

- ☒ (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation

☒ (C) We incorporate human rights–related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- ☒ (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation

☐ (D) We incorporate risks and opportunities related to other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

- ☐ (E) We do not incorporate ESG factors, climate change, human rights or other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- ☐ (F) Not applicable; we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

STEWARDSHIP: OVERALL STEWARDSHIP STRATEGY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 22	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

For the majority of AUM within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

	(2) Fixed income	(3) Private equity	(4) Real estate	(5) Infrastructure
(A) Maximise our portfolio-level risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
(B) Maximise our individual investments' risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we do not seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 24	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, concerning collaborative stewardship efforts?

- ☒ (A) We recognise the value of collective action, and as a result, we prioritise collaborative stewardship efforts wherever possible
- ☐ (B) We collaborate on a case-by-case basis
- ☐ (C) Other
- ☐ (D) We do not join collaborative stewardship efforts

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 24.1	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Elaborate on your organisation's default position on collaborative stewardship, or the position of the external service providers or external investment managers acting on your behalf, including any other details on your overall approach to collaboration.

As a relatively small institutional investor we place value on the ability to drive change through collective engagement. We are a member of the University Network for Investor Engagement (UNIE), through the Shareholder Association for Research and Education (SHARE). Alongside 16 other post-secondary institutional entities, we work to engage companies on climate-related discourse, leading to tangible changes and progress in corporate sustainability practices.

Our investment managers are supporters of various collective engagement initiatives including Climate Action 100+.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 25	PLUS	OO 5, OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Rank the channels that are most important for your organisation in achieving its stewardship objectives.

- ☒ (A) Internal resources, e.g. stewardship team, investment team, ESG team, or staff
Select from the list:
☒ 4
- ☒ (B) External investment managers, third-party operators and/or external property managers, if applicable
Select from the list:
☒ 1
- ☒ (C) External paid specialist stewardship services (e.g. engagement overlay services or, in private markets, sustainability consultants) excluding investment managers, real assets third-party operators, or external property managers
Select from the list:
☒ 3
- ☒ (D) Informal or unstructured collaborations with investors or other entities

Select from the list:

☒ 5

☒ (E) Formal collaborative engagements, e.g. PRI-coordinated collaborative engagements, Climate Action 100+, or similar

Select from the list:

☒ 2

☐ (F) We do not use any of these channels

STEWARDSHIP: ESCALATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 37	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

For your corporate fixed income assets, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?

☒ (A) Joining or broadening an existing collaborative engagement or creating a new one

☒ (B) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter

☒ (C) Not investing

☐ (D) Reducing exposure to the investee entity

☒ (E) Divesting

☐ (F) Litigation

☐ (G) Other

☐ (H) In the past three years, we did not use any of the above escalation measures for our corporate fixed income assets

STEWARDSHIP: ENGAGEMENT WITH POLICY MAKERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	PGS 39.1, PGS 39.2	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

Did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach during the reporting year?

☐ (A) Yes, we engaged with policy makers directly

☐ (B) Yes, we engaged with policy makers through the leadership of or active participation in working groups or collaborative initiatives, including via the PRI

☐ (C) Yes, we were members of, supported, or were in another way affiliated with third party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policy makers, excluding the PRI

☒ (D) We did not engage with policy makers directly or indirectly during the reporting year beyond our membership in the PRI

Explain why: (Voluntary)

CLIMATE CHANGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41	CORE	N/A	PGS 41.1	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation identified climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments?

☒ (A) Yes, within our standard planning horizon

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

Climate-related risk is critical to all sectors of the economy. The value of investments may be impacted over the long term by direct or indirect exposure to physical risks from severe weather and changing weather patterns, and transition risks relating to greenhouse gas emissions, including policy and legal risk, technology risk, market risk, and reputational risk. In this context, we support the recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) for disclosure of climate-related risks and opportunities.

The key climate-related outcome we seek to achieve through its responsible investing approach is to address the climate-related risk to the value of the portfolio and support the long-term decarbonisation of the economy. We believe that these outcomes are best achieved by engaging with industries and companies to influence change in business practices and future investment. Our goal is to support companies with strong ESG practices and attractive long-term growth potential that are on the path to net-zero emissions while avoiding investments in companies or industries that prove unable or unwilling to evolve.

We have a commitment to invest 25% of the portfolio in impact investments by 2030. As of March 31, 2024, we had committed 17.35% of the portfolio to impact investments.

☐ (B) Yes, beyond our standard planning horizon

☐ (C) No, we have not identified climate-related risks and/or opportunities affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41.1	CORE	PGS 41	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Does your organisation integrate climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments in its overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products?

☒ (A) Yes, our overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products integrate climate-related risks and opportunities

Describe how climate-related risks and opportunities have affected or are expected to affect your investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products:

Our Responsible Investment Policy goals include:

- To use the strategic framework of the university to guide its working capital investment decisions in promoting sustainable futures and supporting Indigenous economic development;
- To use the university's working capital investments to address the physical and transitional risks and opportunities of climate change;
- To use positive and negative screening to reduce the carbon intensity of investment by at least 45% by 2030; and,
- To use a responsible investment approach to manage investment risks.

☐ (B) No, our organisation has not yet integrated climate-related risks and opportunities into its investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 42	PLUS	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Which sectors are covered by your organisation's strategy addressing high-emitting sectors?

- ☐ (A) Coal
- ☐ (B) Gas
- ☐ (C) Oil
- ☐ (D) Utilities
- ☐ (E) Cement
- ☐ (F) Steel
- ☐ (G) Aviation
- ☐ (H) Heavy duty road
- ☐ (I) Light duty road
- ☐ (J) Shipping
- ☐ (K) Aluminium
- ☐ (L) Agriculture, forestry, fishery
- ☐ (M) Chemicals
- ☐ (N) Construction and buildings
- ☐ (O) Textile and leather
- ☐ (P) Water
- ☒ **(Q) Other**
Specify:

We have a strategy to decarbonise our overall portfolio rather than focusing on sectors specifically. With that said, due to its high carbon emissions, we currently do not own any assets involved in the extraction, production, and transportation of fossil fuels.

Describe your strategy:

We will measure the portfolio carbon intensity associated with its investments to the extent that reliable data is available. Based on the results, we have set a 2030 target to reduce the carbon intensity of the investments by at least 45% by 2030. Progress on achieving the carbon intensity reduction target, as well as its impact on investment performance, will be assessed and reported annually, and the target will be reviewed at least once every five years.

- (R) We do not have a strategy addressing high-emitting sectors

Provide a link(s) to your strategy(ies), if available

<https://www.uvic.ca/vpfo/capitalinvestments/reports/index.php>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 43	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation assessed the resilience of its investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one in which the average temperature rise is held to below 2 degrees Celsius (preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius) above pre-industrial levels?

- ☐ (A) Yes, using the Inevitable Policy Response Forecast Policy Scenario (FPS) or Required Policy Scenario (RPS)
- ☐ (B) Yes, using the One Earth Climate Model scenario
- ☒ **(C) Yes, using the International Energy Agency (IEA) Net Zero scenario**
- ☐ (D) Yes, using other scenarios

- (E) No, we have not assessed the resilience of our investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one that holds temperature rise to below 2 degrees

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
-----------	-------------------	--------------	------------	------------	------------	---------------

PGS 44	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General
--------	------	-----	-----	--------	----------------	---------

Does your organisation have a process to identify, assess, and manage the climate-related risks (potentially) affecting your investments?

☒ **(A) Yes, we have a process to identify and assess climate-related risks**

(1) Describe your process

We have committed to using positive and negative screening to reduce the carbon intensity of the investments by at least 45% by 2030.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

We review our portfolio carbon intensity and total emissions as part of the annual risk management process. The weighted average carbon intensity measures a portfolio's exposure to carbon-intensive companies, measured in tons of carbon-dioxide equivalent emissions per million dollars in sales (tons CO2e/\$M Sales). The total emissions measure the absolute greenhouse gas emissions associated with a portfolio, expressed in tons of CO2e.

☒ **(B) Yes, we have a process to manage climate-related risks**

(1) Describe your process

We have committed to using positive and negative screening to reduce the carbon intensity of the investments by at least 45% by 2030.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

We review the carbon intensity and total emissions of the portfolio as part of our annual risk management process to ensure alignment with our carbon reduction goals. The information is reported in our annual Responsible Investment Report.

- (C) No, we do not have any processes to identify, assess, or manage the climate-related risks affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
-----------	-------------------	--------------	------------	------------	------------	---------------

PGS 45	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General
--------	------	-----	-----	--------	----------------	---------

During the reporting year, which of the following climate risk metrics or variables affecting your investments did your organisation use and publicly disclose?

- ☐ (A) Exposure to physical risk
- ☐ (B) Exposure to transition risk
- ☐ (C) Internal carbon price
- ☒ **(D) Total carbon emissions**
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - ☒ **(1) Metric or variable used**
 - ☐ (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - ☐ (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology

- ☒ **(E) Weighted average carbon intensity**
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - ☐ (1) Metric or variable used
 - ☐ (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - ☒ **(3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology**
 - (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

<https://www.uvic.ca/vpfo/capitalinvestments/reports/index.php>

- ☐ (F) Avoided emissions
- ☐ (G) Implied Temperature Rise (ITR)
- ☐ (H) Non-ITR measure of portfolio alignment with UNFCCC Paris Agreement goals
- ☐ (I) Proportion of assets or other business activities aligned with climate-related opportunities
- ☒ **(J) Other metrics or variables**

Specify:

We measure the IRIS+ metrics and SDGs aligned to our impact investments.

- (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - ☐ (1) Metric or variable used
 - ☐ (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - ☒ **(3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology**
- (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

<https://www.uvic.ca/vpfo/capitalinvestments/reports/index.php>

- ☐ (K) Our organisation did not use or publicly disclose any climate risk metrics or variables affecting our investments during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 46	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, and/or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions?

- ☒ **(A) Scope 1 emissions**
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
 - ☐ (1) Metric disclosed
 - ☒ **(2) Metric and methodology disclosed**
 - (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

<https://www.uvic.ca/sustainability/topics/energy/index.php>

- ☒ **(B) Scope 2 emissions**
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
 - ☐ (1) Metric disclosed
 - ☒ **(2) Metric and methodology disclosed**
 - (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

<https://www.uvic.ca/sustainability/topics/energy/index.php>

- ☒ **(C) Scope 3 emissions (including financed emissions)**
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
 - ☐ (1) Metric disclosed
 - ☒ **(2) Metric and methodology disclosed**
 - (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

<https://www.uvic.ca/sustainability/topics/energy/index.php>

- ☐ (D) Our organisation did not publicly disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions during the reporting year

SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- ☒ (A) Yes, we have identified one or more specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- ☐ (B) No, we have not yet identified the sustainability outcomes connected to any of our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.1	CORE	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Which widely recognised frameworks has your organisation used to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- ☒ (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
- ☒ (B) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement
- ☐ (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
- ☐ (D) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
- ☐ (E) The EU Taxonomy
- ☐ (F) Other relevant taxonomies
- ☐ (G) The International Bill of Human Rights
- ☐ (H) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions
- ☐ (I) The Convention on Biological Diversity
- ☐ (J) Other international framework(s)
- ☐ (K) Other regional framework(s)
- ☐ (L) Other sectoral/issue-specific framework(s)
- ☐ (M) Our organisation did not use any widely recognised frameworks to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.2	CORE	PGS 47	PGS 48	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

What are the primary methods that your organisation has used to determine the most important intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- ☒ (A) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to our core investment activities
- ☒ (B) Consult with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities
- ☐ (C) Assess which actual or potential negative outcomes for people are most severe based on their scale, scope, and irremediable character
- ☒ (D) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to systematic sustainability issues
- ☒ (E) Analyse the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society, trade unions or similar)
- ☐ (F) Understand the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives

- ☐ (G) Other method
- ☐ (H) We have not yet determined the most important sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 48	CORE	PGS 47.2	PGS 48.1, SO 1	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?

- ☒ (A) Yes, we have taken action on some of the specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- ☐ (B) No, we have not yet taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 48.1	PLUS	PGS 48	N/A	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Why has your organisation taken action on specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- ☒ (A) We believe that taking action on sustainability outcomes is relevant to our financial risks and returns over both short- and long-term horizons
- ☐ (B) We believe that taking action on sustainability outcomes, although not yet relevant to our financial risks and returns, will become so over a long-time horizon
- ☒ (C) We have been requested to do so by our clients and/or beneficiaries
- ☒ (D) We want to prepare for and respond to legal and regulatory developments that are increasingly addressing sustainability outcomes
- ☒ (E) We want to protect our reputation, particularly in the event of negative sustainability outcomes connected to investments
- ☐ (F) We want to enhance our social licence-to-operate (i.e. the trust of beneficiaries, clients, and other stakeholders)
- ☐ (G) We believe that taking action on sustainability outcomes in parallel to financial return goals has merit in its own right
- ☐ (H) Other

HUMAN RIGHTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 50	PLUS	PGS 47	N/A	PRIVATE	Human rights	1, 2

During the reporting year, did your organisation, directly or through influence over investees, enable access to remedy for people affected by negative human rights outcomes connected to your investment activities?

- ☐ (A) Yes, we enabled access to remedy directly for people affected by negative human rights outcomes we caused or contributed to through our investment activities
 - ☐ (B) Yes, we used our influence to ensure that our investees provided access to remedies for people affected by negative human rights outcomes we were linked to through our investment activities
 - ☒ (C) No, we did not enable access to remedy directly, or through the use of influence over investees, for people affected by negative human rights outcomes connected to our investment activities during the reporting year
- Explain why:

MANAGER SELECTION, APPOINTMENT AND MONITORING (SAM)

OVERALL APPROACH

EXTERNAL INVESTMENT MANAGERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 1	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	External investment managers	4

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, which responsible investment aspects does your organisation consider important in the assessment of external investment managers?

	(3) Fixed income (active)	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
Organisation				
(A) Commitment to and experience in responsible investment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Responsible investment policy(ies)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Governance structure and senior-level oversight and accountability	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
People and Culture				
(D) Adequate resourcing and incentives	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) Staff competencies and experience in responsible investment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Investment Process				
(F) Incorporation of material ESG factors in the investment process	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(G) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in the investment process	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(H) Incorporation of material ESG factors and ESG risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in portfolio risk assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Stewardship				
(I) Policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(J) Policy(ies) or guidelines on (proxy) voting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(K) Use of stewardship tools and activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(L) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in stewardship practices	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(M) Involvement in collaborative engagement and stewardship initiatives	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(N) Engagement with policy makers and other non-investee stakeholders	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(O) Results of stewardship activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Performance and Reporting				
(P) ESG disclosure in regular client reporting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(Q) Inclusion of ESG factors in contractual agreements	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(R) We do not consider any of the above responsible investment aspects important in the assessment of external investment managers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

SERVICE PROVIDERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Service providers	4

Which responsible investment aspects does your organisation consider important when assessing all service providers that advise you in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external investment managers?

- ☒ (A) Incorporation of their responsible investment policy into advisory services
- ☒ (B) Ability to accommodate our responsible investment policy
- ☒ (C) Level of staff's responsible investment expertise
- ☒ (D) Use of data and analytical tools to assess the external investment manager's responsible investment performance
- ☐ (E) Other
 - (F) We do not consider any of the above responsible investment aspects important when assessing service providers that advise us in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external investment managers
 - (G) Not applicable; we do not engage service providers in the selection, appointment or monitoring of external investment managers

SELECTION

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT PRACTICES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 4	CORE	OO 12, OO 21	SAM 5, SAM 6, SAM 7	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	General

During the reporting year, did your organisation select new external investment managers or allocate new mandates to existing investment managers?

- ☒ (A) Yes, we selected external investment managers or allocated new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year
 - (B) No, we did not select new external investment managers or allocate new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year
 - (C) Not applicable; our organisation is in a captive relationship with external investment managers, which applies to 90% or more of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 5	CORE	SAM 4	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	4

During the reporting year, what responsible investment aspects did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, review and evaluate when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers?

Organisation

☒ **(A) Commitment to and experience in responsible investment (e.g. commitment to responsible investment principles and standards)**

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ **(1) for all of our mandates**
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

☒ **(B) Responsible investment policy(ies) (e.g. the alignment of their responsible investment policy with the investment mandate)**

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ **(1) for all of our mandates**
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

☒ **(C) Governance structure and senior-level oversight and accountability (e.g. the adequacy of their governance structure and reported conflicts of interest)**

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ **(1) for all of our mandates**
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

People and Culture

☒ **(D) Adequate resourcing and incentives (e.g. their team structures, operating model and remuneration structure, including alignment of interests)**

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ **(1) for all of our mandates**
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

☒ **(E) Staff competencies and experience in responsible investment (e.g. level of responsible investment responsibilities in their investment team, their responsible investment training and capacity building)**

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ **(1) for all of our mandates**
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

Investment Process

☒ **(F) Incorporation of material ESG factors in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such factors are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)**

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ **(1) for all of our mandates**
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

☒ **(G) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such risks are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)**

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ **(1) for all of our mandates**
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

- ☒ **(H) Incorporation of material ESG factors and ESG risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in portfolio risk assessment (e.g. their process to measure and report such risks)**

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ **(1) for all of our mandates**
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

Performance and Reporting

- ☒ **(I) ESG disclosure in regular client reporting**

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ **(1) for all of our mandates**
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

- ☒ **(J) Inclusion of ESG factors in contractual agreements**

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ **(1) for all of our mandates**
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

- ☐ (K) We did not review and evaluate any of the above responsible investment aspects when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year

STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 6	CORE	OO 8, OO 21, SAM 4	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship	4

During the reporting year, which aspects of the stewardship approach did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, review and evaluate when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers?

- ☒ **(A) The alignment of their policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship with the investment mandate**

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ **(1) for all of our mandates**
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

- ☒ **(B) Evidence of how they implemented their stewardship objectives, including the effectiveness of their activities**

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ **(1) for all of our mandates**
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

- ☒ **(C) Their participation in collaborative engagements and stewardship initiatives**

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ **(1) for all of our mandates**
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

- ☒ **(D) Details of their engagements with companies or issuers on risks connected to systematic sustainability issues**

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ **(1) for all of our mandates**
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

- ☒ **(E) Details of their engagement activities with policy makers**

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ **(1) for all of our mandates**
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

- ☒ **(F) Their escalation process and the escalation tools included in their policy on stewardship**

Select from dropdown list

● (1) for all of our mandates

- (2) for a majority of our mandates
- (3) for a minority of our mandates
- (G) We did not review and evaluate any of the above aspects of the stewardship approach when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year

MONITORING

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT PRACTICES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 9	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	4

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, which aspects of your external investment managers' responsible investment practices did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, monitor during the reporting year?

	(3) Fixed income (active)	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
Organisation				
(A) Commitment to and experience in responsible investment (e.g. commitment to responsible investment principles and standards)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Responsible investment policy(ies) (e.g. the continued alignment of their responsible investment policy with the investment mandate)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Governance structure and senior level oversight and accountability (e.g. the adequacy of their governance structure and reported conflicts of interest)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
People and Culture				
(D) Adequate resourcing and incentives (e.g. their team structures, operating model and remuneration structure, including alignment of interests)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(E) Staff competencies and experience in responsible investment (e.g. level of responsible investment responsibilities in their investment team, their responsible investment training and capacity building)



Investment Process

(F) Incorporation of material ESG factors in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such factors are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)



(G) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such risks are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)



(H) Incorporation of material ESG factors and ESG risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in portfolio risk assessment (e.g. their process to measure and report such risks, their response to ESG incidents)



Performance and Reporting

(I) ESG disclosure in regular client reporting (e.g. any changes in their regular client reporting)



(J) Inclusion of ESG factors in contractual agreements



(K) We did not monitor any of the above aspects of our external investment managers' responsible investment practices during the reporting year



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 12	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	1

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, how often does your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, monitor your external investment managers' responsible investment practices?

	(3) Fixed income (active)	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
(A) At least annually	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Less than once a year	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) On an ad hoc basis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 13	CORE	OO 8, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship	1, 2

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, which aspects of your external investment managers' stewardship practices did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, monitor during the reporting year?

	(3) Fixed income (active)	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
(A) Any changes in their policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) The degree of implementation of their policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) How they prioritise material ESG factors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) How they prioritise risks connected to systematic sustainability issues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(E) Their investment team's level of involvement in stewardship activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(F) Whether the results of stewardship actions were fed back into the investment process and decisions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(G) Whether they used a variety of stewardship tools and activities to advance their stewardship priorities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(H) The deployment of their escalation process in cases where initial stewardship efforts were unsuccessful	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(I) Whether they participated in collaborative engagements and stewardship initiatives	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(J) Whether they had an active role in collaborative engagements and stewardship initiatives	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(K) Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(L) We did not monitor our external investment managers' stewardship practices during the reporting year	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

ENGAGEMENT AND ESCALATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 16	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Engagement and escalation	4

What actions does your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, include in its formal escalation process to address concerns raised during monitoring of your external investment managers' responsible investment practices?

	(3) Fixed income (active)	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
(A) Engagement with their investment professionals, investment committee or other representatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(B) Notification about their placement on a watch list or relationship coming under review	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) Reduction of capital allocation to the external investment managers until any concerns have been rectified	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(D) Termination of the contract if failings persist over a (notified) period, including an explanation of the reasons for termination	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(E) Holding off selecting the external investment managers for new mandates or allocating additional capital until any concerns have been rectified	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(F) Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(G) Our organisation does not have a formal escalation process to address concerns raised during monitoring	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

VERIFICATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 17	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Verification	1

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, how did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, verify that the information reported by external investment managers on their responsible investment practices was correct during the reporting year?

	(3) Fixed income (active)	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
(A) We checked that the information reported was verified through a third-party assurance process	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(B) We checked that the information reported was verified by an independent third party	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) We checked for evidence of internal monitoring or compliance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(E) We did not verify the information reported by external investment managers on their responsible investment practices during the reporting year	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES (SO)

SETTING TARGETS AND TRACKING PROGRESS

SETTING TARGETS ON SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 1	PLUS	PGS 48	SO 2, SO 2.1, SO 3	PUBLIC	Setting targets on sustainability outcomes	1, 2

What specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities has your organisation taken action on?

☒ **(A) Sustainability outcome #1**

(1) Widely recognised frameworks used to guide action on this sustainability outcome

☒ **(1) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets**

☐ (2) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement

☐ (3) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)

☐ (4) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors

☐ (5) The EU Taxonomy

☐ (6) Other relevant taxonomies

☐ (7) The International Bill of Human Rights

☐ (8) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions

☐ (9) The Convention on Biological Diversity

☐ (10) Other international, regional, sector-based or issue-specific framework(s)

(2) Classification of sustainability outcome

☒ **(1) Environmental**

☒ **(2) Social**

☐ (3) Governance-related

☐ (4) Other

(3) Sustainability outcome name

Invest in impact investments promoting sustainable futures and supporting Indigenous economic development.

(4) Number of targets set for this outcome

☐ (1) No target

☒ **(2) One target**

☐ (3) Two or more targets

☒ **(B) Sustainability outcome #2**

(1) Widely recognised frameworks used to guide action on this sustainability outcome

☐ (1) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets

☒ **(2) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement**

☐ (3) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)

☐ (4) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors

☐ (5) The EU Taxonomy

☐ (6) Other relevant taxonomies

☐ (7) The International Bill of Human Rights

☐ (8) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions

☐ (9) The Convention on Biological Diversity

☐ (10) Other international, regional, sector-based or issue-specific framework(s)

- (2) Classification of sustainability outcome
- ☒ (1) **Environmental**
 - ☐ (2) Social
 - ☐ (3) Governance-related
 - ☐ (4) Other
- (3) Sustainability outcome name
- Portfolio carbon intensity reduction
- (4) Number of targets set for this outcome
- ☐ (1) No target
 - ☒ (2) **One target**
 - ☐ (3) Two or more targets
- ☒ (C) **Sustainability outcome #3**
- (1) Widely recognised frameworks used to guide action on this sustainability outcome
- ☐ (1) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
 - ☒ (2) **The UNFCCC Paris Agreement**
 - ☐ (3) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
 - ☐ (4) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
 - ☐ (5) The EU Taxonomy
 - ☐ (6) Other relevant taxonomies
 - ☐ (7) The International Bill of Human Rights
 - ☐ (8) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions
 - ☐ (9) The Convention on Biological Diversity
 - ☐ (10) Other international, regional, sector-based or issue-specific framework(s)
- (2) Classification of sustainability outcome
- ☒ (1) **Environmental**
 - ☒ (2) **Social**
 - ☐ (3) Governance-related
 - ☐ (4) Other
- (3) Sustainability outcome name
- Support Collective Engagement
- (4) Number of targets set for this outcome
- ☒ (1) **No target**
 - ☐ (2) One target
 - ☐ (3) Two or more targets
- ☐ (D) Sustainability outcome #4
- ☐ (E) Sustainability outcome #5
- ☐ (F) Sustainability outcome #6
- ☐ (G) Sustainability outcome #7
- ☐ (H) Sustainability outcome #8
- ☐ (I) Sustainability outcome #9
- ☐ (J) Sustainability outcome #10

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 2	PLUS	SO 1	SO 2.1, SO 4, SO 5	PUBLIC	Setting targets on sustainability outcomes	1

For each sustainability outcome, provide details of up to two of your nearest-term targets.

(A1) Sustainability Outcome #1: Target details

(A1) Sustainability Outcome #1:	Invest in impact investments promoting sustainable futures and supporting Indigenous economic development.					
(1) Target name	Impact Investing					
(2) Baseline year	2019					
(3) Target to be met by	2030					
(4) Methodology	Please see our Responsible Investment Reports for Methodology: https://www.uvic.ca/vpfo/capitalinvestments/reports/index.php					
(5) Metric used (if relevant)	% Invested					
(6) Absolute or intensity-based (if relevant)	(1) Absolute					
(7) Baseline level or amount (if relevant):	0%					
(8) Target level or amount (if relevant)	at least 25%					
(9) Percentage of total AUM covered in your baseline year for target setting	100%					
(10) Do you also have a longer-term target for this?	(2) No					

(B1) Sustainability Outcome #2: Target details

(B1) Sustainability Outcome #2:	Portfolio carbon intensity reduction					
(1) Target name	Carbon Intensity Reduction					
(2) Baseline year	2019					
(3) Target to be met by	2030					
(4) Methodology	Please see our Responsible Investment Reports for Methodology: https://www.uvic.ca/vpfo/capitalinvestments/reports/index.php					
(5) Metric used (if relevant)	Weighted Average Carbon Intensity					
(6) Absolute or intensity-based (if relevant)	(2) Intensity-based					
(7) Baseline level or amount (if relevant):	239 Tons CO2e/\$M Sales					
(8) Target level or amount (if relevant)	45% Reduction					
(9) Percentage of total AUM covered in your baseline year for target setting	13%					
(10) Do you also have a longer-term target for this?	(2) No					

FOCUS: SETTING NET-ZERO TARGETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 3	PLUS	SO 1	Multiple, see guidance	PRIVATE	Focus: Setting net-zero targets	General

If relevant to your organisation, you can opt-in to provide further details on your net-zero targets.

- ☐ (A) Yes, we would like to provide further details on our organisation's asset class-specific net-zero targets
- ☐ (B) Yes, we would like to provide further details on our organisation's net-zero targets for high-emitting sectors
- ☐ (C) Yes, we would like to provide further details on our organisation's mandate or fund-specific net-zero targets
- ☐ (D) No, we would not like to provide further details on our organisation's asset class, high-emitting sectors or mandate or fund-specific net-zero targets

● (E) No, our organisation does not have any asset class, high-emitting sectors or mandate or fund-specific net-zero targets

TRACKING PROGRESS AGAINST TARGETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 4	PLUS	SO 2	SO 4.1	PUBLIC	Tracking progress against targets	1

Does your organisation track progress against your nearest-term sustainability outcomes targets?

(A1) Sustainability outcome #1:

(A1) Sustainability outcome #1:	Invest in impact investments promoting sustainable futures and supporting Indigenous economic development.
Target name:	Impact Investing
Does your organisation track progress against your nearest-term sustainability outcome targets?	(1) Yes

(B1) Sustainability outcome #2:

(B1) Sustainability outcome #2:	Portfolio carbon intensity reduction
Target name:	Carbon Intensity Reduction
Does your organisation track progress against your nearest-term sustainability outcome targets?	(1) Yes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 4.1	PLUS	SO 4	N/A	PUBLIC	Tracking progress against targets	1

During the reporting year, what qualitative or quantitative progress did your organisation achieve against your nearest-term sustainability outcome targets?

(A1) Sustainability Outcome #1: Target details

(A1) Sustainability Outcome #1:	Invest in impact investments promoting sustainable futures and supporting Indigenous economic development.
(1) Target name	Impact Investing
(2) Target to be met by	2030
(3) Metric used (if relevant)	% Invested
(4) Current level or amount (if relevant)	16.4% invested, 17.4% committed
(5) Other qualitative or quantitative progress	
(6) Methodology for tracking progress	% of impact investments

(B1) Sustainability Outcome #2: Target details

(B1) Sustainability Outcome #2:	Portfolio carbon intensity reduction
(1) Target name	Carbon Intensity Reduction
(2) Target to be met by	2030
(3) Metric used (if relevant)	Weighted Average Carbon Intensity
(4) Current level or amount (if relevant)	33 Tons CO2e/\$M Sales
(5) Other qualitative or quantitative progress	We reduced the portfolio's three-year rolling average carbon intensity by 42% year-over-year and by 86% compared to our baseline figure in 2019.

INDIVIDUAL AND COLLABORATIVE INVESTOR ACTION ON OUTCOMES

LEVERS USED TO TAKE ACTION ON SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 5	PLUS	SO 2	Multiple	PUBLIC	Levers used to take action on sustainability outcomes	1, 2, 5

During the reporting year, which of the following levers did your organisation use to take action on sustainability outcomes, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?

- ☒ (A) Stewardship with investees, including engagement, (proxy) voting, and direct influence with privately held assets
Select from drop down list:
 - ☐ (1) Individually
 - ☒ (2) With other investors or stakeholders
- ☒ (B) Stewardship: engagement with external investment managers
Select from drop down list:
 - ☒ (1) Individually
 - ☒ (2) With other investors or stakeholders
- ☐ (C) Stewardship: engagement with policy makers
- ☐ (D) Stewardship: engagement with other key stakeholders
- ☐ (E) Capital allocation
- ☐ (F) Our organisation did not use any of the above levers to take action on sustainability outcomes during the reporting year

STEWARDSHIP WITH INVESTEEES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 8	PLUS	SO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship with investees	2

During the reporting year, how did your organisation use stewardship with investees to take action on sustainability outcomes, including preventing and mitigating actual and potential negative outcomes?

(A) Across all sustainability outcomes

(1) Describe your approach	Our approach to responsible investing is outlined in the Responsible Investment Policy: https://www.uvic.ca/universitysecretary/assets/docs/policies/FM5215_1790_.pdf					
(2) Stewardship tools or activities used	(1) Engagement (3) Filing of shareholder resolutions or proposals (9) Other					
(3) Example						

(B) Sustainability Outcome #1:

(B) Sustainability Outcome #1:	Invest in impact investments promoting sustainable futures and supporting Indigenous economic development.					
(1) Describe your approach	Our approach to responsible investing is outlined in the Responsible Investment Policy: https://www.uvic.ca/universitysecretary/assets/docs/policies/FM5215_1790_.pdf					
(2) Stewardship tools or activities used	(9) Other					
(3) Example	Examples of our impact investments to date can be found in our Responsible Investment Report. https://www.uvic.ca/vpfo/capitalinvestments/reports/index.php					

(C) Sustainability Outcome #2:

(C) Sustainability Outcome #2:	Portfolio carbon intensity reduction
(1) Describe your approach	Our approach to responsible investing is outlined in the Responsible Investment Policy: https://www.uvic.ca/universitysecretary/assets/docs/policies/FM5215_1790_.pdf
(2) Stewardship tools or activities used	(9) Other
(3) Example	The methodology and carbon footprint reduction history can be found in the Responsible Investment Report: https://www.uvic.ca/vpfo/capitalinvestments/reports/index.php

(D) Sustainability Outcome #3:

(D) Sustainability Outcome #3:	Support Collective Engagement
(1) Describe your approach	Our approach to responsible investing is outlined in the Responsible Investment Policy: https://www.uvic.ca/universitysecretary/assets/docs/policies/FM5215_1790_.pdf
(2) Stewardship tools or activities used	(1) Engagement (3) Filing of shareholder resolutions or proposals
(3) Example	Examples of supporting collective engagement can be found on the Working Capital Investments at: https://www.uvic.ca/vpfo/capitalinvestments/index.php

STEWARDSHIP WITH EXTERNAL INVESTMENT MANAGERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 10	PLUS	OO 5, SO 5	N/A	PRIVATE	Stewardship with external investment managers	2

During the reporting year, how did your organisation, or the external service providers acting on your behalf, engage with external investment managers to ensure that they take action on sustainability outcomes, including preventing and mitigating actual and potential negative outcomes?

(A) Across all sustainability outcomes

(1) Describe your approach

(B) Sustainability Outcome #1:

(B) Sustainability Outcome #1:

Invest in impact investments promoting sustainable futures and supporting Indigenous economic development.

(1) Describe your approach

(C) Sustainability Outcome #2:

(C) Sustainability Outcome #2:

Portfolio carbon intensity reduction

(1) Describe your approach

(D) Sustainability Outcome #3:

(D) Sustainability Outcome #3:

Support Collective Engagement

(1) Describe your approach

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

APPROACH TO CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 1	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Approach to confidence-building measures	6

How did your organisation verify the information submitted in your PRI report this reporting year?

- ☐ (A) We conducted independent third-party assurance of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- ☐ (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls or governance processes to be able to conduct independent third-party assurance next year
- ☒ (C) We conducted an internal audit of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report
- ☒ (D) Our board, trustees (or equivalent), senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and/or investment committee (or equivalent) signed off on our PRI report
- ☐ (E) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to verify that our funds comply with our responsible investment policy
- ☐ (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making
- ☒ (G) Our responses in selected sections and/or the entirety of our PRI report were internally reviewed before submission to the PRI
- ☐ (H) We did not verify the information submitted in our PRI report this reporting year

INTERNAL AUDIT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 4	CORE	OO 21, CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Internal audit	6

What responsible investment processes and/or data were audited through your internal audit function?

- ☒ (A) Policy, governance and strategy
Select from dropdown list:
 - ☐ (1) Data internally audited
 - ☐ (2) Processes internally audited
 - ☒ (3) Processes and data internally audited
- ☒ (B) Manager selection, appointment and monitoring
Select from dropdown list:
 - ☐ (1) Data internally audited
 - ☐ (2) Processes internally audited
 - ☒ (3) Processes and data internally audited

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 5	PLUS	CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Internal audit	6

Provide details of the internal audit process regarding the information submitted in your PRI report.

Report is prepared by the Investment Analyst with data reviewed by the Treasury Analyst, and final qualitative & quantitative review and submission by the Treasurer.

INTERNAL REVIEW

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 6	CORE	CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Internal review	6

Who in your organisation reviewed the responses submitted in your PRI report this year?

- ☐ (A) Board, trustees, or equivalent
- ☒ (B) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent
 - Sections of PRI report reviewed
 - ☒ (1) the entire report
 - ☐ (2) selected sections of the report
 - ☐ (C) None of the above internal roles reviewed selected sections or the entirety of the responses submitted in our PRI report this year