

**Meeting of Senate
April 10, 2026**

MINUTES

A meeting of the Senate of the University of Victoria was held on April 10, 2026 at 3:32 p.m. in the Senate and Board Chambers, Jamie Cassels Centre and via Zoom.

On behalf of the Acting President and Vice-Chancellor, Qwul'sih'yah'maht, Robina Thomas, Annalee Lepp, Vice-Chair of Senate, welcomed members of Senate to the meeting.

1. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

The agenda was approved as circulated.

2. MINUTES

a. March 6, 2026

Motion: (P. Loock/L. Harder)

That the minutes of the open session of the meeting of the Senate held on March 6, 2026 be approved and that the approved minutes be circulated in the usual way.

CARRIED

3. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

There was none.

4. REMARKS FROM THE CHAIR

There was none.

5. CORRESPONDENCE

There was none.

6. PROPOSALS AND REPORTS FROM SENATE COMMITTEES

a. Senate Committee on Academic Standards

i. Revisions to the Policy on Academic Integrity

Danu Stinson, Chair of the Senate Committee on Academic Standards, presented the proposal, acknowledging the subcommittee's extensive efforts over the past four years and emphasizing the importance of this long-awaited revision.

Motion: (F. Kodar/M. Ryan)

That Senate approve the revisions to the Policy on Academic Integrity in the Academic Calendars, effective September 2026.

A Senate member expressed appreciation for the committee's work, noting the policy represented a substantial improvement. However, the member also voiced concern that small units and sessional instructors would serve as the initial decision-makers rather than an academic integrity office. In response, Yasmine Kandil, Chair of the SCAS Subcommittee for the Policy on Academic Integrity, explained that consultations showed a clear preference for handling matters at the unit level and that students reported feeling intimidated when required to meet directly with a Chair. She further noted that the Division of Learning and Teaching Innovation will establish a community of practice and that the academic integrity advisor role was designed to support workload distribution, consistent with practices at peer institutions. Nevertheless, as the policy will be reviewed in two years, the university may decide to move to such a model in the future.

A Senator inquired about how the policy accounts for students with accommodations, especially regarding the distinction between authorized and unauthorized use of GenAI and also expressed concern about the reliance on a single module. In response, Y. Kandil noted that the diversity of AI tools necessitates a flexible model in which instructors may opt in to any university approved platform. The Senator also sought clarification on the role of the Centre for Accessible Learning (CAL) and other units in issuing written authorization. Y. Kandil confirmed that enhanced communication and guidance for instructors will be provided.

A member of Senate expressed concern regarding the sections on copyright and intellectual property, noting that this policy may not be the appropriate place for such provisions and suggesting that any violation would constitute an infringement. The Senator also questioned whether the potential penalty of a failing grade was fair and equitable in matters related to intellectual property. Ada Saab, Associate University Secretary, responded that the committee had reviewed practices at other institutions and that concerns had been raised during consultations about the uploading of instructor materials. She clarified that the penalties were intended to be conduct based rather than grade based. Another suggestion was made that additional education should be in place prior to implementation. Y. Kandil noted that this policy could be linked to others to support clarity. A Senator further emphasized concerns about students understanding the intellectual

property of the instructor and the need to ensure the gravity of the offense was understood even by students not in a course.

A Senator asked how false positives in AI detection tools would be addressed, particularly in relation to the burden of proof. David Scoones, a member of the SCAS subcommittee, responded that in such cases instructors would be required to substantiate their concerns but also noted that AI detection software is not permitted to be used by instructors.

In response to a Senator's question regarding the definition of "Disciplinary Academic Suspension", Y. Kandil explained that the threshold within the successive penalty system was designed to reflect the progressive nature of the disciplinary process. A further comment was raised concerning the claim of a case involving a graduate student's misconduct related to a criminal matter, which the Senator believed should have been addressed as an academic integrity violation but was not. A motion was subsequently proposed to reduce the number of instances required for Disciplinary Academic Suspension from five to three.

Carrie Andersen, University Secretary, advised that it would not be appropriate for Senate to introduce such substantial changes to one of the main principles of the proposed revision at this stage. A. Lepp added that members could vote on the full proposal and if it were defeated, this type of amendment could be referred to the committee for consideration in a future revision.

The Senator insisted that this motion should be put before Senate and challenged the Chair's decision not to permit the proposed change. The Senator requested that this challenge be decided by secret ballot.

A. Lepp reminded Senate that, in accordance with the Senate Rules and Procedures, at least fourteen members must support the use of a secret ballot. After a show of hands confirming this requirement, a secret ballot was conducted on the motion.

Motion: (A. Weaver/C. Bradley)

That the Chair should allow a motion to amend the definition of "Disciplinary Academic Suspension" from "(normally a culmination of five or more instances)" to "(normally a culmination of three or more instances)".

DEFEATED

A member of Senate observed that the recent vote to challenge a decision of the Chair was not a procedure outlined in the Senate Rules and Procedures. Although the matter was now concluded, the member noted that this type of scenario should be considered for future procedural clarification.

With respect to the proposed policy, a Senator recommended that the section concerning the sharing of an instructor's intellectual property be revised to specify what forms of sharing are permitted. It was further suggested that the Notice of Academic Integrity

Violations include the specific appeal timeline currently outlined under Student Responsibilities.

A Senator raised concerns about situations—particularly for new students unfamiliar with the policy—in which violations occur in parallel or in close succession, asking how such cases would be addressed. Y. Kandil responded that the Office of the Registrar and Enrolment Management would be aware of these circumstances, and that decision-makers are expected to take such factors into account, as outlined in the policy. A follow-up question was posed regarding cases in which a significant amount of time has passed between violations. Y. Kandil noted that all violations are time-stamped.

A Senator then asked whether the policy envisions appeals being based on questions of fact or on a standard of reasonableness. Y. Kandil replied that the focus of appeals is procedural. A further question was raised regarding the absence of a statute of limitations, with an example provided. A. Saab advised that a mechanism exists to address such situations.

A Senator expressed concern that shifting responsibilities from Chairs to instructors could lead to inconsistencies and asked whether a mechanism existed to address this. Y. Kandil responded that the academic integrity coordinator and the emerging communities of practice would play a key role in supporting consistency.

A member of Senate asked whether a student who uploads an instructor's material would be treated the same as a student who uploads text using CAL approved speech-to-read software as an accommodation. Y. Kandil replied that such matters would be assessed on the basis of reasonableness and judgment, noting that the accommodation software would be considered university approved, as outlined in the policy. A Senator further observed that graduate supervisors may have vested interests, including financial ones, and suggested that additional safeguards may be required. Y. Kandil responded that such concerns could be addressed through the remediation process or by the academic integrity coordinator.

In response to a previous question asked by a Senator regarding students who violate the policy but who are not in a course, A. Saab referred to the section which deals with non-course-based penalties.

Referring to the recently defeated motion, Carrie Andersen, University Secretary, informed Senate of the delayed vote for the purposes of transparency. She noted that the delay did not alter the outcome of the defeat.

A Senator sought clarification on the section titled "Multiple submissions without prior permission" noting the absence of a statute of limitations and asking how many courses were implicated. Y. Kandil confirmed it was two or more courses.

A Senator proposed, in light of the extensive discussion, an amendment be made to table the proposal. C. Andersen clarified that such a motion would return the proposal to the committee for consideration of Senate's feedback.

A Senator asked for the votes to be recorded in the minutes.

Motion: (E. Maher/M. Caryk)

That the proposal on the revisions to the Policy on Academic Integrity be sent back to the Senate Committee on Academic Standards for further consideration.

CARRIED

22 for
18 against
1 abstained

ii. Core Writing & Research Requirement Proposal

D. Stinson introduced the proposal. A. Lepp noted the addition of the effective date to the motion.

Motion: (A. Weaver/T. Milford)

That Senate approve the establishment of the Core Writing & Research Requirement as a replacement for the current Academic Writing Requirement (AWR) and require the completion of the proposed Core Writing & Research Requirement for all undergraduate students, effective September 2028.

A member of Senate proposed an amendment to the motion and commented that, while the proposal presented opportunities to reduce barriers to student success, concerns remained regarding the maintenance of academic standards, the accuracy of supporting data from some faculties, and the availability of necessary resources. Elizabeth Adjin-Tettey, Associate Vice-President Academic Programs, provided an overview of the memo circulated with the agenda, emphasizing that the request before Senate was not the approval of specific courses but the approval of a university-wide requirement establishing core competencies.

Further concerns were raised regarding the type of data that would need to be collected, the development of retention strategies, and the challenge of identifying students who may be at risk in large classes. E. Adjin-Tettey reiterated that the approval being sought was based on skills and competencies and noted that, in 2012, Senate approved the transition from the Undergraduate English Requirement to its current form.

Cindy Holder, member of the AWR Working Group, reminded Senate that academic units have ownership of their courses and are empowered to determine how they are delivered. She noted that the Senate Committees on Academic Standards and Curriculum are the bodies responsible for determining whether courses meet established requirements. C. Holder emphasized the importance of considering the requirement in functional terms and in relation to the specific ways competencies are combined.

A member of Senate then read a revised motion for consideration. Todd Milford, also a member of the AWR Working Group, responded to the four points outlined in the new motion. In response to a Senator's question regarding external reviews, E. Adjin-Tettey confirmed that the Core Writing & Research Requirement would undergo the regular quality assurance review process.

Following brief discussion on the way in which the amended motion was brought to the discussion, a member of Senate requested that the new motion be decided by secret ballot. After a show of hands confirmed the required support, a secret ballot was conducted. A Senator requested that the votes be recorded in the minutes.

Motion: (L. Marks/S. Humphreys)

That the Core proposal be postponed for this meeting, and send back to SCAS and to the Academic Writing Requirement Working Group for further amendments. In particular, it is recommended that SCAS and the AWRWG consider further amendments to ensure the following:

1. That writing and reading be defined as not just two of six competencies for Core, but the primary and overarching competencies with 4 other subsidiary competencies. (The writing and reading can be from a disciplinary lens).
2. That class size for the Core courses across campus align with national and international research-based standards for the successful teaching of first-year undergraduate writing.
3. That prior to Senate approval of the Core proposal, that there be a clear, robust and transparent planning process put in place that will ensure that there will be an adequate number of Core seats for first year students across campus, and that there also not be a major excess in seats.

4. That there be a mandatory 3-year review of the Core program before it is approved by Senate — a review that is conducted by experts external to UVic with expertise in teaching academic writing/communication courses. This review will assess to what extent students are acquiring the Core competencies and do so in an objective and measurable way. The review will also determine if the planning for Core is appropriate, so there are enough seats for students to access the course in first year, but not too many seats. The results of the review would be sent to SCAS and to Senate for discussion and possible recommendations for amendments to the Core program.

CARRIED
22 for
20 against

b. Senate Committee on Awards

i. New and Revised Awards

Maureen Ryan, Chair of the Senate Committee on Awards, introduced the new and revised awards. There were no questions.

Motion: (M. Ryan/R. Hancock)

That Senate approve, and recommend to the Board of Governors that it also approve, the new and revised awards set out in the attached document:

- Active Earth Engineering Award for Indigenous Students in STEM (Revised)
- Alfred Joseph Memorial Award* (Revised)
- All Our Relations Award* (Revised)
- Béts'ahchu Undergraduate Award in Indigenous Language Revitalization* (Revised)
- Betty Moyls Memorial Award (Revised)
- Birdwood Fund Award* (Revised)
- BME Elevate Award (Revised)
- BMO Alliance for Women in Business Award (Revised)
- Borden Ladner Gervais Professional Excellence Award (Revised)
- British Columbia Environment Industry Association Indigenous Award (Revised)
- Bryan & Audrey Williams Award* (Revised)
- Chris Lalonde Indigenous Wellbeing Award* (Revised)

- Cindy Player Award on Equity and Human Rights* (Revised)
- Crabtree Indigenous Legal Scholar Award (Revised)
- Del Meidinger Travel Scholarship (Revised)
- Edson-Simpson Graduate Scholarship in Nursing (Revised)
- Grace and Harry Hickman Scholarship* (Revised)
- "Honouring Aaron Devor" Scholarship for Transgender Studies* (New)
- Howlers Rugby Award* (Revised)
- Jessie L. and Frederic R. Sherwood Indigenous Assistance Bursary* (Revised)
- John Geerdes Memorial Bursary* (Revised)
- Lisa Koop Vikes Indigenous Athletic Award (Revised)
- Lyn Wayland Memorial Bursary* (Revised)
- Marion Cassels Memorial Indigenous Athletics Award* (Revised)
- Mary Lewis Graduate Scholarship in Nursing* (New)
- Mary Lewis Undergraduate Scholarship in Nursing* (New)
- Promise Bursary (Revised)
- Rosy and Steven Chan Memorial Bursary* (Revised)
- S. Aitken Graduate Award (Revised)
- Satir-Banmen-Lum Counselling Psychology Scholarship (Revised)
- SMONEĆ Natural Sciences Scholarship for Graduate Students (Revised)
- Trudy Usher Bursary* (Revised)
- Tsi'tsu'wu'tul Annual Award (Revised)
- Visual Arts Auction Bursary* (Revised)
- Dr. J. Donald Rowlatt Entrance Scholarship* (Revised)
- Qukin Scholarship - Joyce Green and James Johnson* (Revised)
- J. Anne Forsyth Bursary in Nursing* (New)
- R. H. Roy Scholarship in Military History* (Revised)

** Administered by the University of Victoria Foundation*

CARRIED

c. Senate Committee on Learning and Teaching

i. Annual Report on Course Delivery Modes (2025/26)

Li-Shih Huang, Chair of the Senate Committee on Learning and Teaching, introduced the annual report. There were no questions.

d. Senate Committee on Planning

i. Proposed changes to the Major and Honours programs in Art History and Visual Studies

E. Adjin-Tettey, Chair of the Senate Committee on Planning, introduced the proposal. There were no questions.

Motion: (M. Laidlaw/E. Campbell)

That Senate approve the proposed changes to the Major and Honours programs in Art History and Visual Studies, as described in the document “AHVS changes to Major and Honours Programs”.

CARRIED

7. PROPOSALS AND REPORTS FROM FACULTIES

There was none.

8. PROPOSALS AND REPORTS FROM THE VICE-PRESIDENT ACADEMIC AND PROVOST

a. Consultation – Proposed Revisions to Resolution of Non-Academic Misconduct Allegations Policy (AC1300)

Joel Lynn, Executive Director, Student Development & Success, introduced the proposal and noted that the memo distributed with the agenda outlined the work completed to date and detailed the proposed additions. He then introduced Kirsten McMenamie, Director of the Office of Student Life, and Emma Naismith, Associate Director, Student Conduct & Case Resolution.

Referring to a PowerPoint presentation, J. Lynn outlined the purpose of the policy, provided an overview of the stakeholder consultation process, reviewed the key themes identified through that consultation, and described the proposed changes to the policy.

J. Lynn posed three questions for Senate’s consideration. While there were no comments on the first two questions, discussion arose in response to the third, which asked whether there were any questions regarding the three key themes identified and the proposed responses to them. Suggestions included revising the policy title to explicitly reference students, given that the policy applies only to them; adding a link to policies governing non-student community members; and expanding the definition of non-academic misconduct related to personal and material benefit, as any transaction could be interpreted as conferring a personal benefit. A further suggestion was made to clarify the policy’s treatment of criminal charges, convictions, or court judgments, noting that these should not be limited solely to Canadian law.

There being no further comments, J. Lynn briefly outlined the next steps.

9. OTHER BUSINESS

At the close of the meeting, C. Andersen reminded Senators of their responsibility as campus leaders who work collectively to uphold and strengthen academic governance.

There being no other business the meeting was adjourned at 5:31p.m.

April 10, 2026 Senate Meeting				
Name	In Attendance	Regrets		Position
Adjin-Tetty, Elizabeth	X		Associate Vice-President Academic Programs	By Invitation
Aggarwal, Aarav			Student Senator	Elected from the Student Societies
Alatorre, Carmen	X		Faculty of Fine Arts	Elected by the Faculty
Andersen, Carrie	X		University Secretary	Secretary of Senate
Bengtson, Jonathan	X		University Librarian	Ex officio
Bradley, Colin	X		A/Dean, Faculty of Engineering and Computer Science	Ex officio
Brown, Graham			Acting Dean, Peter B. Gustavson School of Business	Ex officio
Buller, Marion	X		Chancellor	Ex officio
Campbell, Erin	X		Faculty of Fine Arts	Elected by the Faculty Members
Caruncho, Hector			Acting Head, Division of Medical Sciences	Additional Member
Caryk, Michael	X		Student Senator	Elected from the Student Societies
Cohen Cheetham			Student Senator	Elected from the Student Societies
Clarke, Jo-Anne	X		Dean, Division of Continuing Studies	Ex officio
Colby, Jason		X	Faculty of Humanities	Elected by the Faculty Members
Con, Adam	X		Faculty of Fine Arts	Elected by the Faculty Members
Constantinescu, Daniela	X		Faculty of Engineering and Computer Science	Elected by the Faculty
Croft, Elizabeth	X		Vice-President Academic and Provost	Ex officio
Diether, Kelly	X		Convocation Senator	Elected by the Convocation
Donovan, Kate	X		Convocation Senator	Elected by the Convocation
Dudley, Dennine	X		Faculty of Fine Arts	Elected by the Faculty
Dunsdon, Jim		X	Associate Vice-President Student Affairs	By Invitation
Eagle, Chris	X		Faculty of Science	Elected by the Faculty Members
Farnham, Martin		X	Faculty of Graduate Studies	Elected by the Faculty
Filipovich, Daniil			Student Senator	Elected from the Student Societies
Gair, Jane			Faculty of Health	Elected by the Faculty
Goodman, Emily			Student Senator	Elected from the Student Societies
Hallgrimsdottir, Helga	X		Deputy Provost	By invitation
Hancock, Rob	X		Faculty of Social Sciences	Elected by the Faculty Members
Harder, Lois	X		Dean, Faculty of Social Sciences	Ex officio
Hicks, Robin	X		Dean, Faculty of Graduate Studies	Ex officio
Hopper, Tammy	X		Dean, Faculty of Health	Ex officio
Hopper, Tim		X	Faculty of Health	Elected by the Faculty Members
Hudson, Logan		X	Student Senator	Elected from the Student Societies
Humphreys, Sara	X		Faculty of Humanities	Elected by the Faculty Members
Ipiroti, Vita			Student Senator	Elected from the Student Societies
Irvine, Valerie	X		Faculty of Education	Elected by the Faculty
Kalynchuk, Lisa		X	Vice-President Research and Innovation	Ex officio
Kehoe, Inba	X		Librarian, McPherson Library	Elected by Professional Librarians
Kennedy, Cole	X		Student Senator	Elected from the Student Societies
Kodar, Freya	X		Dean, Faculty of Law	Ex officio
Kong, May			Student Senator	Elected from the Student Societies
Krueger, Charlie	X		Student Senator	Elected from the Student Societies
Kuklev, Artem			Student Senator	Elected from the Student Societies
Laidlaw, Mark	X		Faculty of Science	Elected by the Faculty
Lepp, Annalee	X		Dean, Faculty of Humanities	Ex officio
Lindgren, Allana		X	Dean, Faculty of Fine Arts	Ex officio
Loock, Peter	X		Dean, Faculty of Science	Ex officio
Loomer, Geoff	X		Faculty of Law	Elected by the Faculty
Macleod, Colin	X		Faculty of Humanities	Elected by the Faculty
Maher, Evan	X		Student Senator	Elected from the Student Societies
Mallidou, Anastasia		X	Faculty of Health	Elected by the Faculty Members
Marks, Lynne	X		Faculty of Humanities	Elected by the Faculty
Martin, Travis	X		Faculty of Science	Elected by the Faculty
McGinnis, Martha	X		Faculty of Graduate Studies	Elected by the Faculty
McNiven, Mackenzie	X		Student Senator	Elected from the Student Societies
Milford, Todd	X		Acting Dean, Faculty of Education	Ex officio
Nair, Sudhir			Peter B. Gustavson School of Business	Elected by the Faculty
Newcombe, Andrew	X		Faculty of Law	Elected by the Faculty
Nowell, April	X		Faculty of Social Sciences	Elected by the Faculty
Prest, Anita		X	Faculty of Education	Elected by the Faculty
Rose-Redwood, CindyAnn	X		Faculty of Social Sciences	Elected by the Faculty
Ryan, Maureen	X		Faculty of Health	Elected by the Faculty Members
Saab, Ada	X		Associate University Secretary	By Invitation
Sharpe, Deborah	X		Student Senator	Elected from the Studies Societies
Smith, Brock		X	Peter B. Gustavson School of Business	Elected by the Faculty
Swayne, Leigh Anne	X		Faculty of Health	Elected by the Faculty
Taylor, Wendy	X		Registrar	By Invitation
Thomas, Robina		X	Acting President and Vice-Chancellor	Ex officio
Thompson, Eva			Student Senator	Elected from the Student Societies
Walshaw, Jill			Faculty of Humanities	Elected by the Faculty Members
Wang, Alivia	X		Convocation Senator	Elected by the Convocation
Weaver, Andrew	X		Faculty of Science	Elected by the Faculty Members
Weber, Jens	X		Faculty of Engineering and Computer Science	Elected by the Faculty
Wyatt, Victoria	X		Convocation Senator	Elected by the Convocation
Zhou, Lina	X		Faculty of Engineering and Computer Science	Elected by the Faculty Members

EX OFFICIO MEMBERS - University Act: Section 35 (2) (a-f)

Chancellor: Marion Buller (31/12/27)

Acting President and Vice-Chancellor:

Qwul'sih'yah'maht, Robina Thomas, Chair

Vice-President Academic and Provost: Elizabeth Croft

Vice-President Research and Innovation: Lisa Kalynchuk

Acting Dean of Peter B. Gustavson School of Business:

Graham Brown

Acting Dean of Education: Todd Milford

Acting Dean of Engineering: Colin Bradley

Dean of Continuing Studies: Jo-Anne Clarke

Dean of Fine Arts: Allana Lindgren

Dean of Graduate Studies: Robin Hicks

Dean Faculty of Health: Tammy Hopper

Dean of Humanities: Annalee Lepp (Vice-Chair)

Dean of Law: Freya Kodar

Dean of Science: Peter Looock

Dean of Social Sciences: Lois Harder

University Librarian: Jonathan Bengtson

MEMBERS ELECTED BY THE FACULTIES - Section 35 (2) (g)

BUSI: Sudhir Nair (30/6/28)

Brock Smith (30/6/27)

EDUC: Valerie Irvine (30/6/28)

Anita Prest (30/6/26)

ENGR: Daniela Constantinescu (30/6/26)

Jens Weber (30/6/28)

FINE: Carmen Alatorre (30/6/28)

Dennine Dudley (30/6/27)

GRAD: Martin Farnham (30/6/28)

Martha McGinnis (30/6/26)

HLTH: Jane Gair (30/6/28)

Leigh Anne Swayne (30/6/28)

HUMS: Lynne Marks (30/6/27)

Colin Macleod (30/6/28)

LAW: Geoff Loomer (30/6/28)

Andrew Newcombe (30/6/26)

SCIE: Mark Laidlaw (30/6/26)

Travis Martin (30/6/26)

SOSC: April Nowell (30/6/27)

CindyAnn Rose-Redwood (30/6/26)

MEMBERS ELECTED BY THE FACULTY MEMBERS

- Sections 35 (2) (g)

Erin Campbell (30/6/26)

Jason Colby (30/6/27)

Adam Con (30/6/26)

Chris Eagle (30/6/26)

Rob Hancock (30/6/27)

Tim Hopper (30/6/28)

Sara Humphreys (30/6/26)

MEMBERS ELECTED BY THE FACULTY MEMBERS

(continued)

Anastasia Mallidou (30/6/26)

Maureen Ryan (30/6/27)

Jill Walshaw (30/6/28)

Andrew Weaver (30/6/27)

Lina Zhou (30/6/26)

MEMBERS ELECTED FROM THE STUDENT SOCIETIES -

Section 35 (2) (h)

Aarav Aggarwal (30/6/26)

Michael Caryk "

Cohen Cheetham "

Daniil Filipovich "

Emily Goodman "

Logan Hudson "

Vita Ipiroti "

Cole Kennedy "

May Kong "

Charlie Krueger "

Artem Kuklev "

Evan Maher "

Mackenzie McNiven "

Deborah Sharpe "

Eva Thompson "

Vacancy "

MEMBERS ELECTED BY THE CONVOCATION

- Section 35 (2) (i)

Kelly Diether (30/6/27)

Kate Donovan (30/6/27)

Alivia Wang (30/6/27)

Victoria Wyatt (30/6/27)

ADDITIONAL MEMBERS - Section 35 (2) (k)

Acting Head, Division of Medical Sciences:

Hector Caruncho (30/04/26)

Acting Dean of HSD: TBD (01/05/26)

Member elected by the Professional Librarians:

Inba Kehoe (30/06/27)

Continuing Sessional: Vacancy (30/06/26)

SECRETARY OF SENATE - Section 64 (2)

University Secretary: Carrie Andersen

BY INVITATION - Seated with specified speaking rights

Deputy Provost: Helga Hallgrímsdóttir

Assoc. VP Student Affairs: Jim Dunsdon

Assoc. VP Academic Programs: Elizabeth Adjinn-Tetty

Registrar: Wendy Taylor

Associate University Secretary: Ada Saab