The next open meeting of the Senate of the University of Victoria is scheduled for Friday, December 6, 2019 at 3:30 p.m. in the Senate and Board Chambers, University Centre, Room A180.

AGENDA as reviewed by the Senate Committee on Agenda and Governance.

1. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA ACTION

2. MINUTES
   a. November 1, 2019 SEN-DEC 6/19-1 ACTION

   Motion: That the minutes of the open session of the meeting of the Senate held on November 1, 2019 be approved and that the approved minutes be circulated in the usual way.

3. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

4. REMARKS FROM THE CHAIR INFORMATION
   a. President’s report

5. CORRESPONDENCE
   a. Campus Planning Committee – V. Kuehne and G. Gorrill, Co-Chairs
      i. Semi-annual report to Senate on Campus Development INFORMATION SEN-DEC 6/19-2

6. PROPOSALS AND REPORTS FROM SENATE COMMITTEES
   a. Senate Committee on Academic Standards – Dr. Neil Burford, Chair
      i. University of Victoria Grading Patterns Reporting Portal INFORMATION SEN-DEC 6/19-3
ii. Proposed Revision to Grading within the Post-Degree Professional Elementary program [SEN-DEC 6/19-4] ACTION

Motion: That Senate approve the proposed revision to grading within the Post-Degree Professional Elementary program, effective September 1, 2020.

iii. Proposed Revision to Academic Standing within the Health Information Science Program [SEN-DEC 6/19-5] ACTION

Motion: That Senate approve the proposed revision to academic standing within the Health Information Science, effective May 1, 2020.

iv. Proposed changes to the transfer requirements for the Computer Science program [SEN-DEC 6/19-6] ACTION

Motion: That Senate approve the proposed changes to the transfer requirements for the Computer Science program, Faculty of Engineering.

b. Senate Committee on Admission, Re-registration and Transfer
   – Dr. Sandra Hundza, Chair


   ii. Year 2 Admission requirements for the Kinesiology program, Faculty of Education [SEN-DEC 6/19-8] ACTION

      Motion: That Senate approve the enclosed revised Year 2 admission requirements for the Faculty of Education, Bachelor of Science (BSc) Kinesiology program and that these requirements be published in the May 2020 edition of the undergraduate academic calendar.

   iii. Transfer requirements for the Computer Science Program, Faculty of Engineering [SEN-DEC 6/19-9] ACTION

      Motion: That Senate approve the enclosed revised transfer requirements for the Faculty of Engineering Computer Science program and that these requirements be published in the May 2020 edition of the undergraduate academic calendar.
c. Senate Committee on Agenda and Governance - Prof. Jamie Cassels, Chair

i. Appointments to the Senate Committee on Appeals and the Joint Senate Board Retreat Committee [SEN-DEC 6/19-10] ACTION

Motion: That Senate approve the appointment to the Senate Committee on Appeals for the term indicated in the attached document.

Motion: The Senate approve the appointments of Jo-Anne Clarke, Brian Leacock, and Sean Oliver to the Joint Board Senate Retreat Committee for a term beginning January 1, 2020 and ending on December 31, 2020.

ii. Proposal to Revise the 10-Year Sessional Calendar [SEN-DEC 6/19-11] ACTION

Motion: That Senate approve the revised principles for creating the Winter and Summer Sessions of the 10-Year Sessional Calendar, and that these changes be implemented for the next reiteration of the 10-Year Sessional Calendar.

d. Senate Committee on Awards – Dr. Annalee Lepp, Chair

i. New and Revised Awards [SEN-DEC 6/19-12] ACTION

Motion: That Senate approve, and recommend to the Board of Governors that it also approve, the new and revised awards set out in the attached document:

- Ted and Helen Hughes Entrance Award (revised)
- One Heart for Reconciliation Award* (revised)
- Vincent Short Memorial Theatre Scholarship* (revised)
- Visca/Dais-Visca Scholarship in Public Law/Legal Studies (new)
- The Joyce Family Foundation Award for Indigenous Students* (revised)
- Leeder Family Memorial Scholarship in Economics* (revised)
- Leeder Family Memorial Scholarship in Mathematics* (revised)
- Pearson Family Award (revised)
- Royal Jubilee Hospital School of Nursing Alumnae Association Student Award* (revised)
- University of Victoria Youth in Care Award (revised)
- Murray & Lynda Farmer Scholarship* (revised)
- David McGillivray Scholarship in Science* (new)
- Mairi Riddel Memorial Prize* (revised)
- Brendan Gaunt Environmental Law Award (new)
- Harold G. Craven Scholarship* (revised)

* Administered by the University of Victoria Foundation

e. Senate Committee on Planning – Dr. Susan Lewis, Chair

i. Proposed modifications to the Master of Arts in Musicology and to the Master of Arts in Musicology (with Performance)

Motion: That Senate approve the proposed modifications to the Master of Arts in Musicology – Thesis Option, as described in the memorandum dated February 23, 2019.

Motion: That Senate approve, and recommend to the Board of Governors that it also approve, the proposal to add a project option to the Master of Arts in Musicology, as described in the memorandum dated February 23, 2019.

Motion: That Senate approve, and recommend to the Board of Governors that it also approve, the proposal to discontinue the Master of Arts in Musicology (with Performance) Thesis Option, as described in the memorandum dated February 23, 2019.

AND
That Senate approve, and recommend to the Board of Governors that it also approve, the proposal to add a project option to the Master of Arts in Musicology (with Performance), as described in the memorandum dated February 23, 2019.

ii. Proposal to discontinue the language requirement for the Master of Arts in English

Motion: That Senate approve the proposal to discontinue the language requirement for the Masters in English, as described in the memorandum dated March 26, 2019.

iii. Proposal to discontinue the concentration in Literatures of the West Coast (LWC) for the Master of Arts in English

Motion: That Senate approve the proposal to discontinue the concentration in Literatures of the West Coast (LWC) for the Master of Arts in English, as described in the memorandum dated March 19, 2019.
iv. Proposed changes to the requirements for all Bachelor’s Degrees in the Faculty of Humanities [SEN-DEC 6/19-16]

Motion: That Senate approve, and recommend to the Board of Governors that it also approve, the proposed changes to the requirements for all Bachelor’s degrees in the Faculty of Humanities, as described in the memorandum dated September 24, 2019.

7. PROPOSALS AND REPORTS FROM FACULTIES

8. PROPOSALS AND REPORTS FROM THE VICE-PRESIDENT ACADEMIC AND PROVOST

9. OTHER BUSINESS

a. Orators for the University of Victoria [SEN-DEC 6/19-17]

Motion: That Senate re-appoint Dr. John Archibald as Orator for a 3-year term beginning January 1, 2020 and ending December 31, 2022.

Motion: That the Senate appoint the following as Orators for a 3-year term beginning January 1, 2020 and ending December 31, 2022:

- Valerie Irvine
- Linda Hardy
- Sudhakar Ganti
- Grace Wong Sneddon
- Aaron Devor
- Eric Higgs
- Mary Ellen Purkis
- Helga Hallgrimsdottir

b. Curriculum & Calendar Project Update and Revision to the AC1120 policy on Calendar Submissions [SEN-DEC 6/19-18]

Motion: That Senate approve the revision to AC1120 Policy on Calendar Submissions, Responsibility to Publish (Policy 12.00).

10. ADJOURNMENT
An open meeting of the Senate of the University of Victoria was held on November 1, 2019 at 3:30 p.m. in the David Strong Building, room C116.

1. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

Dr. Saul Klein, Senate Vice-Chair, announced a change to the agenda. Item 8 c) Rescinding Policy GV0070 would move to 4 c), directly following the United Way Presentation.

Motion: (P. Marck/M. Ingram)
That the agenda be approved as amended.

CARRIED

2. MINUTES

a. October 4, 2019

A member noted a typo in the title for Vice-Chair of Senate.

Motion: (N. Karpovskaia/A. Wang)
That the minutes of the open session of the meeting of the Senate held on October 4, 2019 be approved as amended, and that the approved minutes be circulated in the usual way.

CARRIED

3. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

Ms. Carrie Andersen announced the Senate representative for the Presidential Appointment Committee is Dr. Annalee Lepp. She reminded members that there was still time to provide feedback to the committee.

4. REMARKS FROM THE CHAIR

a. President’s Report

There was none.

b. United Way Presentation

Ms. Michele Parkin, UVic United Way Campaign Co-Chair, presented on the 2019 United Way Campaign. She mentioned the goal for the year is to increase awareness and participation.
c. Rescinding Policy GV0700 – Procedures for the Appointment of Chairs of Departments or Divisions

Ms. Parkin, Associate Vice-President Faculty Relations and Academic Administration, introduced the reason for rescinding the policy as it is covered under the recently negotiated Faculty/Librarian Collective Agreement.

A member felt the qualifications described in 54.7 and 54.7.1 were derogatory toward faculty within the teaching stream. Ms. Parkin replied that this was not the intention but instead to identify the need to support research stream faculty.

Another member noted that in the description of the process, there is mention of consultation with the Faculty Association, but that this formal process did not occur. Ms. Parkin clarified this consultation was done during the negotiation process.

Members discussed ways departments may consider dealing with section 54.7 by incorporating internal policies. In response to a question, Ms. Parkin stated that negotiations would open once again in 2020.

Motion: (S. Hundza/R. St. Clair)
That Senate approve, and recommend to the Board of Governors that the Board also approve, the rescinding of Policy GV0700 Appointment of Chairs of Departments or Divisions, effective immediately.

CARRIED

Dr. Mark Laidlaw noted his opposition to the motion.

1 OPPOSED

5. CORRESPONDENCE

There was none.

6. PROPOSALS AND REPORTS FROM SENATE COMMITTEES

a. Senate Committee on Academic Standards

i. 2018/19 Annual Report

Dr. Neil Burford introduced the report. There were no questions.

b. Senate Committee on Agenda and Governance

i. Appointments to the 2019/2020 Senate Standing Committees

Dr. Annalee Lepp introduced the recommendations. There were no questions.

Motion: (A. Lepp/R. Hills)
That Senate approve the appointments to the 2019/2020 Senate standing committees for the terms indicated in the attached document.

CARRIED
c. Senate Committee on Awards

i. New and Revised Awards

Dr. Lepp introduced the motion regarding new awards and revisions to existing awards. A member commented positively on the increased Indigenous representation.

**Motion:** (A. Lepp/R. Hills)
That Senate approve, and recommend to the Board of Governors that it also approve, the new and revised awards set out in the attached document:

- Open Graduate Scholarship* (new)
- Ted and Helen Hughes Entrance Award (new)
- Oliver Prentice Memorial - Saanich Rotary Scholarship* (revised)
- John Money Memorial Prize in British History (new)
- One Heart for Reconciliation Award* (new)
- Clark Wilson Recruitment Inclusion Award (new)
- Stó:lō Legacy Scholarship (revised)
- Pemberton Holmes Award in Honour of Davine Burton* (revised)
- Indigenous Engineering and Computer Science Entrance Scholarship (new)
- Women in Engineering and Computer Science Entrance Scholarship (new)
- Dave Ian Dunnet Music Education Scholarship* (revised)
- Chris Markoff Memorial Award (revised)
- Takao Tanabe Undergraduate Award in Visual Arts (new)
- Takao Tanabe Graduate Award in Visual Arts (new)

* Administered by the University of Victoria Foundation

**CARRIED**

ii. 2018/19 Annual Report

Dr. Lepp introduced the report and reminded members that this report encompasses awards overseen by the Senate Committee on Awards and is presented within the broader context of student awards at the University of Victoria. Information for the 2018/19 academic year may be found in the Report on Student Financial Aid presented to the Board of Governors every November.

A member asked for clarification on the grade point averages calculated for the awards. Ms. Lori Nolt, Director of Student Awards and Financial Aid, explained the adjudication process.

d. Senate Committee on Planning

i. Proposal to remove Technology Innovation in Education as a teaching area in the Secondary Post-Degree Professional Program

Dr. Susan Lewis introduced the proposal. There were no questions.
**Motion:** (M. Garcia-Barrera/S. Hundza)
That Senate approve the proposal to remove Technology Innovation in Education as a teaching area in the Secondary Post-Degree Professional Program, as described in the memorandum dated March 14, 2019.

**CARRIED**

**ii. Proposal to change the Secondary Post-Degree Professional Program (Diploma) to a Bachelor of Education Degree Program**

Dr. Lewis introduced the proposal. A member asked if students are still able to receive the diploma. Dr. Ralf St. Clair replied that this would no longer be an option. Another member asked why this revision reverts to the original 2009 program. Dr. St. Clair explained how the program had been reformatted.

**Motion:** (M. Garcia-Barrera/S. Hundza)
That Senate approve, and recommend to the Board of Governors that it also approve the proposal to change the Secondary Post-Degree Professional Program (Diploma) to a Bachelor of Education Degree Program, as described in the memorandum dated March 14, 2019.

**CARRIED**

**iii. Proposal to remove Japanese and Mandarin as teaching areas in the Secondary Post-Degree Professional Program**

Dr. Lewis introduced the proposal. A member inquired if these subjects were offered at other educational programs in the province. Dr. Deborah Begoray, Curriculum and Instruction Chair, confirmed that other institutions offered these languages as teaching areas.

**Motion:** (M. Garcia-Barrera/R. Hicks)
That Senate approve the proposal to remove Japanese and Mandarin as teaching areas in the Secondary Post-Degree Professional Program, as described in the memorandum dated March 14, 2019.

**CARRIED**

7. **PROPOSALS AND REPORTS FROM FACULTIES**

There was none.

8. **PROPOSALS AND REPORTS FROM THE VICE-PRESIDENT ACADEMIC AND PROVOST**

a. **Enrolment update**

Dr. Valerie Kuehne briefly reviewed the document noting connections with the process underway among academic programs and the Strategic Enrolment Management (SEM) Plan initiatives.

Mr. Tony Eder, Executive Director, Academic and Resource Planning, outlined the targets in relation to the SEM Plan and the priority to improve conversion rates. A member asked if the decline in graduate application numbers was a national trend. Mr. Eder replied that applications are increasing steadily and this decrease was a result of shifts in particular programs. Dr. David Capson added that there were currently five applications for every graduate student position available.
There was discussion regarding numbers of international, domestic, and Indigenous applications and the methods in which programs may be delivered.

b. **Enhanced Planning Tools Refresh**

Dr. Lewis gave background and context to the Enhanced Planning Refresh, introduced the committee, and outlined the timeline planned for consultation. In response to a question on whether reports would be shared across faculties, Dr. Lewis replied that this would be something for consideration for the future.

There being no other business the meeting was adjourned at 4:21 p.m.
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University of Victoria

Date: November 15, 2019

To: Carrie Andersen
   University Secretary

From: Valerie Kuehne, Vice-President Academic and Provost
      Gayle Gorrill, Vice-President Finance and Operations
      Co-Chairs, Campus Planning Committee

RE: SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT TO SENATE ON CAMPUS DEVELOPMENT

Please find attached the semi-annual report to Senate on campus development for its December 6, 2019 meeting.

[Signature]
Valerie Kuehne
Vice-President, Academic and Provost

[Signature]
Gayle Gorrill
Vice-President Finance and Operations
Executive Summary

The Office of Campus Planning and Sustainability (OCPS) continues to be engaged in several significant capital projects at this time. The first is the development of additional student housing and a new dining facility on campus. UVic’s number one capital priority is student housing that would be self-funded and provide a minimum of 600 additional beds on campus. The project includes a total of 782 beds (620 net new) and also includes a new 600-seat dining hall that will replace the existing Cadboro Commons dining facilities. Two new 225-seat lecture theaters and conference space are also included.

The project was approved by the District of Saanich in August 2019. This approval includes the provision of a modular dining facility. The modular dining facility will allow Building 1 to be completed in the fall of 2022 and Building 2 in early 2023, 16-months sooner than originally scheduled. Preparation for the installation of modular dining facility, to replace Cadboro Commons dining hall during the construction period. The 500-seat modular dining facility will be located in parking lot B, adjacent to the Bob Wright Centre and the McPherson Library. Pedestrian pathways around the Cadboro Commons Building closed as project boundaries are established. Periodic single-lane closures of Ring Road as utilities are brought across the road are also planned. Construction on the main building site will commence in May 2020.

The university is also in the planning stages for an addition to the Engineering Computer Science Building (ECSB) and separate high bay structures laboratory in order to support the expansion of the Engineering and Computer Science programs. In November 2019, the university engaged the architectural firm Dialog, to develop the building designs and engage with the campus community and neighbours. It is expected that further community consultation will take place in late spring 2020.

The Capital Plan also supports the construction of a new national centre for Indigenous law within an addition to the Fraser Building. The OCPS consulted the campus community on the recommended site in October of 2019. In November, the Campus Planning Committee supported the site and building program which was also approved by the President. An architect will be engaged in 2020 to commence the design of the building addition and to carry out consultation with the campus community, neighbours and local Indigenous communities.

The OCPS has also recently completed the Campus Greenway Landscape Plan and Design Guidelines. The Campus Greenway will help achieve the university’s vision of an extraordinary academic environment and a vibrant and sustainable campus that nurtures student experience and well-being. The landscape plan was supported by the Campus Planning Committee (CPC) and the President in November 2019.

Other projects include the implementation of the Campus Cycling Plan and MacLaurin Building seismic upgrades which are both ongoing.

1.0 New Student Housing and Dining – Construction Schedule

The university’s number one capital priority is student housing that would be self-funded and provide at least 600 additional beds on campus. Residence Services and Food Services were fully engaged in the design development process to ensure the proposed sites and building designs met their operational needs and promoted the efficient delivery of service for students living on campus. Located to the south of the Student Union Building, Building 1 will require the deconstruction of Margaret Newton Hall and Emily Carr Residence. The new building will include 398 housing beds and a new 600-seat dining hall. Building 2, will require the
deconstruction of the existing Cadboro Commons building and will include two new 225-seat lecture theatres, conference space and 385 new housing beds. The buildings will be designed and constructed to meet both LEED Gold and Passive House certification, making them the first building project of its kind in Canada.

Throughout the summer of 2019, site preparation works were underway including the widening of the Service Road behind the Student Union Building and the deconstruction of concrete walkways adjacent to the Craigdaroch Office Building and Cadboro Commons. The project was formally approved by the District of Saanich in August, 2019. This approval included for the provision of a modular dining facility that will be located in Parking Lot B. The modular dining facility will allow Building 1 to be completed in the fall of 2022 and Building 2 in early 2023, 16-months sooner than originally scheduled. Pedestrian pathways around the Cadboro Commons Building closed as project boundaries are established. Periodic single-lane closures of Ring Road as utilities are brought across the road are also planned. Construction on the main building site will commence in May 2020.

More information: [www.uvic.ca/new-student-housing](http://www.uvic.ca/new-student-housing)

2.0 Expansion of Engineering Computer Science Building for Civil Engineering Program

The 2017/2018 Five-Year Capital plan sets capital planning priorities for the university and supports an addition to the Engineering Computer Science Building (ECSB) in order to support the expansion of the Engineering and Computer Science programs.

In November 2019, the university engaged the architectural firm Dialog, to develop the building designs and engage with the campus community and neighbours. It is expected that further community consultation will take place in late spring 2020.

3.0 National Centre for Indigenous Law and Reconciliation

The 2018/2019 Five-Year Capital plan also supports the construction of a national centre for Indigenous law. The expansion and renovation to the Fraser Law Building, will house the classrooms for the world’s first joint degree in Canadian Common Law and Indigenous Legal Orders (JD/JID), and the Indigenous Law Research Unit. An expansion of the Fraser Building was not contemplated in the Campus Plan as the JD/JID program was not yet developed. The OCPS consulted the campus community on the recommended site in October of 2019. In November, the Campus Planning Committee supported the site and building program which was also approved by the President.

The university is seeking the additional capital funding required for the project. An architect will be engaged in 2020 to commence the design of the building addition and to carry out consultation with the campus community, neighbours and local Indigenous communities.

More information: [www.uvic.ca/fraserexpansion](http://www.uvic.ca/fraserexpansion)

4.0 Campus Greenway Landscape Plan & Design Guidelines

The landscape plan will guide the implementation of the Campus Greenway, one of the “Big Moves” generated through the 2016 Campus Plan and will help achieve the university’s vision as not only an extraordinary academic environment but also a vibrant and sustainable community that nurtures student experience and well-being. The landscape plan represents a unique opportunity to address the Strategic Framework priority of increasing the vibrancy of campus life by enhancing the natural and built environment.
to create more opportunities for interaction and collaboration. The site will function as the primary east-west multi-modal pathway connecting Gordon Head Road to Sinclair Road and further contribute to the campus identity while fostering respect and reconciliation with Indigenous communities by contributing to a welcoming, inclusive campus environment for all.

Throughout the 2018/2019 academic year, the OCPS began engaged with the campus community on design principles and landscape ideas from other post-secondary campuses. A draft landscape plan was presented in March 2019 and sought feedback on the design direction of the final plan from the CPC in April 2019. The key change, as recommended by the CPC, included the provision of a protected active transportation zone within the main quadrangle that will limit access for service vehicles and third-party vendors. The Plan was supported by the Campus Planning Committee and approved by the President in November 2019.

More information: uvic.ca/campusgreenway

5.0 Campus Cycling Plan Implementation

The Campus Cycling Plan was completed earlier this year. In May 2019, the university entered into a 1-year pilot project with U-Bicycle to trial dockless bike sharing on campus. A total of 30 bikes are located amongst 6 drop-zones on campus. The OCPS has also been working with campus partners to install new end-of-trip facilities around campus including Centennial Stadium and CARSA.

In October 2019, the university engaged Urban Systems Ltd. to commence the design of new separated bicycle pathways on campus. Areas planned for improvement included the University Drive pathway (Ring Road to MacLaurin Building) and the Dawnview Crescent to CARSA connector pathway.

9.0 MacLaurin Building

The MacLaurin Building is one of the university’s oldest structures which underwent extensive life safety upgrading as part of the Knowledge Infrastructure Program in 2011. At that time, due to time and budget constraints, the D-Wing seismic portion of the work did not proceed. Through the support of provincial funding, the D-Wing seismic upgrade work is now underway.

The targeted completion date is September 2021, as the construction process requires a phased approach to accommodate the university’s academic calendar and maintain partial operations. Classes and programs are being temporarily relocated to accommodate the construction workflow.
MEMO

Date: November 20, 2019

To: Senate

From: Senate Committee on Academic Standards

Re: University of Victoria Grading Patterns Reporting Portal

To ensure continued oversight of grading patterns, a grading summary report is presented to the Senate Committee on Academic Standards and Senate. The attached report was provide to the Senate Committee on Academic Standards at its meeting on November 19, 2019.

/attachment

Respectfully submitted,

2019/2020 Senate Committee on Academic Standards
Neil Burford, Chair, Faculty of Science
Janni Aragon, Faculty of Social Sciences
Laurie Barnas, Associate Registrar
Gillian Calder, Faculty of Law
Alexandra D’Arcy, Faculty of Humanities
Sarina de Havelyn, Student Senator
Stephen Evans, Faculty of Graduate Studies (VPAC’s designate)
Kathy Gaul, Faculty of Education
Andrea Giles, Acting Executive Director, Coop Education & Career Services
Sima Hajiaghaei Shanjani, GSS representative
Robert Hancock, Convocation Senator
Cindy Holder, Associate Dean, Academic Advising (Faculties of SCIE, SOSC and HUM)
Sabrina Jackson, Acting Director, Graduate Administration and Records
Yasmine Kandil, Faculty of Fine Arts
Caoimhe Laird, Student Senator
Susan Lewis, Acting Associate Vice-President Academic Planning (President's nominee)
Michele Martin, Division of Medical Sciences
Martha McGinnis, Faculty of Graduate Studies
Tanya Muir, Division of Continuing Studies
Esther Sangster-Gormley, Faculty of Human and Social Development
Henning Struchtrup, Faculty of Engineering
Wendy Taylor, Acting Registrar
Ken Thornicroft, Peter B Gustavson School of Business
Dalal Tubeishat, UVSS representative
Ada Saab, Associate University Secretary
The purpose of the grading reports is to document patterns of grades awarded at the university, faculty, and school or department levels over a five-year period for undergraduate, graduate, and law courses at the University of Victoria. Previous paper reports were prepared every two years for the Senate Committee on Academic Standards and the Vice-President Academic and Provost, with relevant sections distributed to interested parties, such as deans and chairs.

In 2013, the system was revamped to be more comprehensive, timely, detailed, and available online. This report comprises grading statistics up to the Spring Term of the 2018-2019 academic year. Starting with the Summer 2014 term, percentage grades are now being collected and this report presents some overall percentage grades in addition to the usual 9-point and letter grade statistics.

Access is via the Office and Institutional Planning and Analysis website (www.inst.uvic.ca). Full instructions on how to access and navigate the system as well as reports at the following levels are attached to this memorandum.

Attached Reports:
- Overall Undergraduate
- Overall Graduate
- Faculty of Law
- Faculty of Education
- Faculty of Engineering
- Faculty of Fine Arts
- Faculty of Human and Social Development
- Faculty of Humanities
- Division of Medical Sciences
- Faculty of Science
- Faculty of Social Sciences
- PB Gustavson School of Business
For the university as a whole, the five academic years with complete information show that the undergraduate grade distributions have remained relatively constant with GPAs ranging from 5.42 to 5.54 although A’’s have risen from 10 to 12 percent and 2nd class grades have decreased from 32.2% to 30.2%. Expanding the academic years into individual terms shows, however, that grade performance during the summer is consistently better than during the fall and spring terms, with better GPAs, first class results, and lower fail rates. Perhaps not surprisingly, performance in undergraduate courses by level is better as the level goes up. For example, in 2018/19 the average GPA for 100, 200, 300, and 400 level courses were 4.93, 5.25, 5.73, and 6.72 respectively.

These reports are intended to be descriptive rather than analytical or prescriptive. There are numerous possible explanations for changes in grade distributions over time, for differences in grade distributions across sections of a course, and for variations in grade distributions among departments and faculties. These reports document general time-series trends and grading anomalies, but do not (nor should they) attempt to explain them.

Attachments:
  - Appendix A – Grading reports
  - Appendix B – Accessing and navigating the Grading Reports
Program Course Level: PROGRAM_COURSE_LEVEL: Undergraduate

ALL COURSE LEVELS

Applied filters: Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) AND Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
<td>20.2%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>133,820</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>146,475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>44.3%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>138,163</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>150,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>44.2%</td>
<td>30.7%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>139,871</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>152,429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>44.5%</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
<td>20.2%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>140,337</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>152,708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>30.2%</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>141,960</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>154,199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BY COURSE LEVEL

Applied filters: Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level AND Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Year Level</th>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 Level</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>34.2%</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>41,933</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>45,644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>34.8%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>42,854</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>46,276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>41,905</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>45,011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>41,034</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>44,293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>41,591</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>44,699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 Level</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>29,281</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>31,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>32,087</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>34,664</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
* 1st Class: Includes grades A+, A, and A-
* 2nd Class: Includes grades B+, B, and B-
* Pass: Includes grades C+, C, and D
* Fail: Includes grades E, F, and N

* Headcounts: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
* Official Reporting: Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
## Section Grading Patterns - UVic

### Program Course Level PROGRAM_COURSE_LEVEL: Undergraduate

**BY COURSE LEVEL**

**Applied filters:** Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level

AND Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Year Level</th>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean %Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>200 Level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>32,840</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>35,547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>39.2%</td>
<td>29.8%</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>32,696</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>35,472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>33,722</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>36,469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>300 Level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>45.2%</td>
<td>34.8%</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>42,546</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>45,605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>47.3%</td>
<td>32.8%</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>43,012</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>46,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>46.8%</td>
<td>33.2%</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>43,413</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>46,544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>47.1%</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>44,099</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>47,111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>47.3%</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>44,182</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>47,326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>400 Level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>63.2%</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>19,839</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>23,033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>63.7%</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>19,989</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>23,108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>63.2%</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>21,523</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>24,868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>63.4%</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>22,312</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>25,408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>65.5%</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>22,270</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>25,279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>700 Level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>61.5%</td>
<td>37.6%</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>69.7%</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>58.4%</td>
<td>41.6%</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>47.4%</td>
<td>52.0%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>57.9%</td>
<td>41.5%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>426</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
- **1st Class**: Includes grades A+, A, and A-.
- **2nd Class**: Includes grades B+, B, and B-.
- **Pass**: Includes grades C+, C, and D
- **Fail**: Includes grades E, F, and N
- **Headcounts**: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
- **Official Reporting**: Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
Section Grading Patterns - UVic

Program Course Level: PROGRAM_COURSE_LEVEL: Graduate

All Course Levels

Applied filters: Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) AND Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>83.6%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>7,705</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>17,816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>82.8%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>8,290</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>18,676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>84.9</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>83.5%</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>7,796</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>17,743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>84.9%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>7,836</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>17,782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>7.66</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>86.1%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>7,614</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>17,189</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By Course Level

Applied filters: Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level AND Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Year Level</th>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500 Level</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>7,394</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>14,058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>82.5%</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>8,034</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>14,969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>84.9</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>7,545</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>14,119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>84.7%</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>7,581</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>14,202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>7.64</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>85.8%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>7,366</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>13,787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600 Level</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>92.3%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>3,758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>8.02</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>90.6%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>3,707</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
* 1st Class: Includes grades A+, A, and A-
* 2nd Class: Includes grades B+, B, and B-
* Pass: Includes grades C+, C, and D
* Fail: Includes grades E, F, and N
* Headcounts: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
* Official Reporting: Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
# Section Grading Patterns - UVic

**Program Course Level**.PROGRAM.Course_Level: Graduate

**BY COURSE LEVEL**

**Applied filters:** Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level AND Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Year Level</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>600 Level</td>
<td>Mean 9Point Grade</td>
<td>7.71</td>
<td>7.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean Percent Grade</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>86.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% A+</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% 1st Class</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% 2nd Class</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Fail</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gradable Headcount</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Drop</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Headcount</td>
<td>3,624</td>
<td>3,580</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**
- **1st Class**: Includes grades A+, A, and A-
- **2nd Class**: Includes grades B+, B, and B-
- **Pass**: Includes grades C+, C, and D
- **Fail**: Includes grades E, F, and N
- **Headcounts**: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
- **Official Reporting**: Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
### Section Grading Patterns - UVic

#### Program Course Level: Law

**ALL COURSE LEVELS**

**Applied filters:** Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) AND Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
<td>59.6%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>2,503</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>2,995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>30.2%</td>
<td>61.5%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>2,574</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>3,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
<td>63.4%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>2,647</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>3,319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
<td>64.5%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>2,602</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>3,262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
<td>63.8%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>2,710</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>3,388</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BY COURSE LEVEL**

**Applied filters:** Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level AND Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Year Level</th>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 Level</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
<td>66.9%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>74.8%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>798</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>77.4%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>1,023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
<td>75.8%</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>73.7%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>921</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>1,088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 Level</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>33.9%</td>
<td>57.2%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>1,741</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>2,004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
<td>56.3%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>1,750</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>2,022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**
- **1st Class:** Includes grades A+, A, and A-
- **2nd Class:** Includes grades B+, B, and B-
- **Pass:** Includes grades C+, C, and D
- **Fail:** Includes grades E, F, and N
- **Headcounts:** Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
- **Official Reporting:** Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
### Section Grading Patterns - UVic

**Program Course Level: PROGRAM_COURSE_LEVEL: Law**

**Applied filters:** Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level AND Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Year Level</th>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Graduateable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300 Level</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>33.6%</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>1,771</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>2,162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>30.7%</td>
<td>60.2%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>1,788</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>2,186</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
<td>59.3%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,766</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>2,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 Level</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>95.5%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>1,788</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>2,186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>95.8%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>1,766</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>2,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>85.4</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>93.8%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>1,788</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>2,186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>85.7%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>1,766</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>2,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>7.67</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>95.2%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>1,788</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>2,186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600 Level</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**
- **1st Class:** Includes grades A+, A, and A-
- **2nd Class:** Includes grades B+, B, and B-
- **Pass:** Includes grades C+, C, and D
- **Fail:** Includes grades E, F, and N
- **Headcounts:** Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
- **Official Reporting:** Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
### Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

**Program Course Level**: Undergraduate  
**Course Faculty**: COURSE_FACULTY_1: Faculty of Education

#### FACULTY LEVEL

**Applied filters**: Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) AND Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level

**Mean 9Point Grade**  
**Mean Percent Grade**  
% A+  
% 1st Class  
% 2nd Class  
% Pass  
% Fail  
Gradable Headcount  
% Drop  
Total Headcount

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>66.3%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>9,826</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>11,690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>64.8%</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>10,423</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>12,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>62.0%</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>10,330</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>12,198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>62.2%</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>10,569</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>12,305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>65.2%</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>9,826</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>12,559</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### COURSE YEAR LEVEL

**Applied filters**: Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level AND Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Year Level</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>54.9%</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2,117</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>2,283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>52.4%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>2,275</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>2,573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>51.8%</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>2,279</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>2,449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>47.8%</td>
<td>31.4%</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2,351</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>2,575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>52.0%</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>2,275</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>2,579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>57.4%</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>937</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>1,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>61.9%</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>64.8%</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>1,245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>70.1%</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1,139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>68.9%</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1,214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>64.0%</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>3,617</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>4,181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>62.3%</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>4,189</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>4,862</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**  
* 1st Class: Includes grades A+, A, and A-  
* 2nd Class: Includes grades B+, B, and B-  
* Pass: Includes grades C+, C, and D  
* Fail: Includes grades E, F, and N  
* Headcounts: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section. 
* Official Reporting: Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
### Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

Program Course Level: PROGRAM_COURSE_LEVEL: Undergraduate  
Course Faculty: COURSE_FACULTY_1: Faculty of Education

#### COURSE YEAR LEVEL

Applied filters: Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level AND Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Year Level</th>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>300 Level</strong></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>55.6%</td>
<td>37.0%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>4,032</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>4,678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>58.9%</td>
<td>34.8%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>4,148</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>4,699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>62.0%</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>4,054</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>4,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>80.5%</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>2,934</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>3,582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>79.3%</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>2,783</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>3,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>7.43</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>78.5%</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>2,869</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>3,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>77.0%</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>2,984</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>3,468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>78.4%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>3,103</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>3,646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>400 Level</strong></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>61.5%</td>
<td>37.6%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>69.7%</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>58.4%</td>
<td>41.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>190</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>47.4%</td>
<td>52.0%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>57.9%</td>
<td>41.5%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>195</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>700 Level</strong></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>61.5%</td>
<td>37.6%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>69.7%</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>58.4%</td>
<td>41.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>190</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>47.4%</td>
<td>52.0%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>57.9%</td>
<td>41.5%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>195</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>426</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- **1st Class**: Includes grades A+, A, and A-
- **2nd Class**: Includes grades B+, B, and B-
- **Pass**: Includes grades C+, C, and D
- **Fail**: Includes grades E, F, and N
- **Headcounts**: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
- **Official Reporting**: Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
**Section Grading Patterns by Faculty**

Program Course Level: PROGRAM_COURSE_LEVEL: Undergraduate  
Course Faculty: COURSE_FACULTY_1: Faculty of Education

### DEPARTMENT LEVEL

**Applied filters:** Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) AND Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Department</th>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum and Instruction</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>62.9%</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>3,785</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>5,043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>62.2%</td>
<td>32.9%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>4,058</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>5,315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>38.8%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>4,145</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>5,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>55.6%</td>
<td>38.8%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>4,352</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>5,352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
<td>39.2%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>4,267</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>5,471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edc Psychol &amp; Leadership</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>74.1%</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>2,229</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>2,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>74.9%</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>2,170</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>2,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>75.1%</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>1,876</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>2,115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>76.8%</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1,752</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>1,987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>81.1%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1,908</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>2,155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>58.0%</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>58.0%</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>65.9%</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>67.7%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>61.3%</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise Sc, Phys &amp; Health Ed</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>63.1%</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>3,573</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>3,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>60.5%</td>
<td>29.6%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>3,852</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>4,017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>65.9%</td>
<td>24.6%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>3,802</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>3,953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>61.2%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>3,943</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>4,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>67.7%</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>3,840</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>4,005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous Education</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>94.9%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>85.9%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>84.3%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>81.8%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>644</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**  
- **1st Class:** Includes grades A+, A, and A-  
- **2nd Class:** Includes grades B+, B, and B-  
- **Pass:** Includes grades C+, C, and D  
- **Fail:** Includes grades E, F, and N  
- **Headcounts:** Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.  
- **Official Reporting:** Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
Section Grading Patterns by Faculty
Program Course Level: Undergraduate
Course Faculty: Faculty of Engineering

**FACULTY LEVEL**

Applied filters: Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) AND Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>46.6%</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>14,046</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>15,413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>16,852</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>17,748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>48.4%</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>18,505</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>19,521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>19,113</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>20,208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>52.0%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>18,505</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>20,323</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COURSE YEAR LEVEL**

Applied filters: Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level AND Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Year Level</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>44.1%</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>4,166</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>5,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>50.3%</td>
<td>24.2%</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>4,931</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>5,274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>51.4%</td>
<td>22.0%</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>4,996</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>5,351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>51.7%</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>4,795</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>5,516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>48.5%</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>4,235</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>4,581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>41.5%</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>3,135</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>3,353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>44.9%</td>
<td>28.9%</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>4,037</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>4,233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>37.6%</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
<td>24.7%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>4,412</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>4,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>28.9%</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>4,409</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>4,736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>47.3%</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>4,364</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>4,596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>44.0%</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>4,142</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>4,305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>47.2%</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>4,809</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>5,113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
* **1st Class**: Includes grades A+, A, and A-
* **2nd Class**: Includes grades B+, B, and B-
* **Pass**: Includes grades C+, C, and D
* **Fail**: Includes grades E, F, and N
* **Headcounts**: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

Program Course Level: Undergraduate
Course Faculty: Faculty of Engineering

COURSE YEAR LEVEL

Applied filters: Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level AND Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Year Level</th>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300 Level</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>46.4%</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>5,561</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>5,820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>46.4%</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
<td>22.0%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>5,989</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>6,230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>46.0%</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>6,314</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>6,604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 Level</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.53</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>61.1%</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>5,989</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>6,705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>61.9%</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>5,920</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>6,123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>61.0%</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>5,356</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>6,882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>63.8%</td>
<td>24.7%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>5,920</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>6,086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>68.5%</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>4,384</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>4,542</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
* **1st Class**: Includes grades A+, A, and A-
* **2nd Class**: Includes grades B+, B, and B-
* **Pass**: Includes grades C+, C, and D
* **Fail**: Includes grades E, F, and N
* **Headcounts**: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
### Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

**Program Course Level:** Undergraduate  
**Course Faculty:** Faculty of Engineering

#### DEPARTMENT LEVEL

**Applied filters:** Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) AND Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Department</th>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Civil Engineering</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>54.0%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>61.8%</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>1,363</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>1,378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>58.7%</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>1,521</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>56.3%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>1,669</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1,684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>47.9%</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>5,577</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>6,045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>46.8%</td>
<td>24.2%</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>6,111</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>6,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>47.3%</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>7,778</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>8,457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>8,349</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>9,166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical &amp; Computer Engg</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>41.9%</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>3,221</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>3,411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>46.6%</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>3,757</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>3,912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>40.1%</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>3,764</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>3,934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>47.3%</td>
<td>26.6%</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>3,697</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>3,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>50.7%</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>3,514</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>3,642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>45.9%</td>
<td>33.4%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>2,598</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>2,823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>58.5%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>2,572</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>2,709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>2,455</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>2,521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>56.3%</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>2,400</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2,479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Engineering</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>76.7</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>50.6%</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>2,650</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2,704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>51.2%</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>3,186</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>3,303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>48.7%</td>
<td>30.7%</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>3,145</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>3,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>51.7%</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>3,146</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>3,241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>53.8%</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>3,131</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>3,191</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**  
* 1st Class: Includes grades A+, A, and A-  
* 2nd Class: Includes grades B+, B, and B-  
* Pass: Includes grades C+, C, and D  
* Fail: Includes grades E, F, and N  
* Headcounts: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.  
* Official Reporting: Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

Program Course Level: Undergraduate

Course Faculty: Faculty of Fine Arts

FACULTY LEVEL

Applied filters: Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) AND Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>57.4%</td>
<td>28.7%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>8,955</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>9,389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>58.4%</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>9,230</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>9,777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>9,333</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>9,939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>61.1%</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>8,907</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>9,471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.53</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>63.2%</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>9,093</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>9,613</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
* 1st Class: Includes grades A+, A, and A-
* 2nd Class: Includes grades B+, B, and B-
* Pass: Includes grades C+, C, and D
* Fail: Includes grades E, F, and N
* Headcounts: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
* Official Reporting: Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

Program Course Level: PROGRAM_COURSE_LEVEL: Undergraduate
Course Faculty: COURSE_FACULTY_1: Faculty of Fine Arts

COURSE YEAR LEVEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Year Level</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300 Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2,926</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>3,106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>71.0%</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>70.3%</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>75.5%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>1,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>73.9%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>964</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>1,077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>73.0%</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>966</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>1,062</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
- **1st Class**: Includes grades A+, A, and A-
- **2nd Class**: Includes grades B+, B, and B-
- **Pass**: Includes grades C+, C, and D
- **Fail**: Includes grades E, F, and N
- **Headcounts**: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
- **Official Reporting**: Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
## Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

**Program Course Level**: PROGRAM_COURSE_LEVEL: Undergraduate  
**Course Faculty**: COURSE_FACULTY_1: Faculty of Fine Arts

### DEPARTMENT LEVEL

*Applied filters: Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) AND Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Department</th>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Art History &amp; Visual Studies</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>56.7%</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>1,957</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>2,052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>54.7%</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>1,836</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>1,946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>57.7%</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>1,972</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>2,089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>61.4%</td>
<td>26.6%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>2,127</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>2,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>61.5%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>2,143</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>2,283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Arts</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>47.3%</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>70.6%</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>58.1%</td>
<td>31.4%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>66.3%</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>87.9%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interdisciplinary Studies</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>63.6%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Music</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>60.6%</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>2,330</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>2,471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>63.5%</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>2,324</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>2,508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>67.7%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>20.2%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>2,208</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>2,408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>1,963</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>2,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>71.1%</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theatre</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>58.4%</td>
<td>29.9%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>1,425</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>1,496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>54.4%</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>1,588</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>1,712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1,566</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1,636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>64.1%</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1,528</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1,620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Arts</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.37</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>58.5%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1,656</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>1,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>48.9%</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>1,202</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>1,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>52.4%</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>1,413</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>1,454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>76.7</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>48.9%</td>
<td>36.2%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>1,404</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1,491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>52.5%</td>
<td>37.2%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>1,351</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>1,452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
<td>34.0%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1,284</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>1,403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>61.8%</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>1,593</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>1,652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>60.9%</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>1,646</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>1,722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>1,676</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>1,762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>55.7%</td>
<td>32.3%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>1,587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>6.53</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>63.8%</td>
<td>32.3%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>1,775</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>1,831</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**  
*1st Class*: Includes grades A+, A, and A-  
*2nd Class*: Includes grades B+, B, and B-  
*Pass*: Includes grades C+, C, and D  
*Fail*: Includes grades E, F, and N  
*Headcounts*: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.  
### Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

Program Course Level, PROGRAM_COURSE_LEVEL: Undergraduate

Course Faculty, COURSE_FACULTY_1: Faculty of Human & Social Dev.

#### FACULTY LEVEL

**Applied filters:** Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) AND Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>62.9%</td>
<td>28.7%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>9,353</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>11,604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>67.0%</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>9,012</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>11,048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>67.5%</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>8,976</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>11,229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>66.0%</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>9,287</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>11,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>64.6%</td>
<td>28.4%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>9,005</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>10,993</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### COURSE YEAR LEVEL

**Applied filters:** Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level AND Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Course Year Level</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>100 Level</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>39.9%</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>100 Level</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
<td>37.0%</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>100 Level</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>49.6%</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>100 Level</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>46.4%</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>100 Level</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>200 Level</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>59.7%</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1,534</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>1,620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>200 Level</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>24.2%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1,503</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>1,556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>200 Level</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>63.7%</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1,633</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>1,705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>200 Level</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>63.6%</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1,708</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>1,782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>200 Level</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>57.7%</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1,692</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>1,819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>300 Level</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>60.6%</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>3,949</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>4,681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>300 Level</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>68.2%</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>3,744</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>4,391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>300 Level</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>69.2%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>3,655</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>4,376</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**
- **1st Class:** Includes grades A+, A, and A-
- **2nd Class:** Includes grades B+, B, and B-
- **Pass:** Includes grades C+, C, and D
- **Fail:** Includes grades E, F, and N
- **Headcounts:** Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
- **Official Reporting:** Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

Program Course Level, PROGRAM_COURSE_LEVEL: Undergraduate
Course Faculty, COURSE_FACULTY_1: Faculty of Human & Social Dev.

COURSE YEAR LEVEL

Applied filters: Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level AND Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Year Level</th>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300 Level</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>65.5%</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>3,400</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>4,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>65.2%</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>3,467</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>4,064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 Level</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>3,288</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>4,660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>70.8%</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>3,197</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>4,488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>70.5%</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>3,164</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>4,597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>72.1%</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>3,392</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>4,745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>71.2%</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>3,005</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>4,289</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
* 1st Class: Includes grades A+, A, and A-
* 2nd Class: Includes grades B+, B, and B-
* Pass: Includes grades C+, C, and D
* Fail: Includes grades E, F, and N
* Headcounts: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
* Official Reporting: Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
### Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

**Program Course Level**: PROGRAM_COURSE_LEVEL; Undergraduate

**Course Faculty**: COURSE_FACULTY_1; Faculty of Human & Social Dev.

#### DEPARTMENT LEVEL

Applied filters: Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) AND Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Department</th>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Child &amp; Youth Care</strong></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>65.8%</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>1,984</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>2,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>68.7%</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>1,758</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>1,861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>67.3%</td>
<td>21.0%</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>1,813</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>1,901</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>66.2%</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>2,107</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>2,233</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>65.6%</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>2,072</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>2,170</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>65.7%</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>912</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>65.2%</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>827</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>59.3%</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>911</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>59.0%</td>
<td>29.6%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>921</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>992</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health Information Science</strong></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>76.7</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
<td>58.8%</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>177</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>42.6%</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human &amp; Social Devlnmt</strong></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>64.3%</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>52.6%</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>65.4%</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>60.5%</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indigenous Governance Prgrm</strong></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>63.7%</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>2,426</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>3,874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>72.6%</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>2,456</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>3,802</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>75.2%</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>2,411</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>3,955</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>76.6%</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>2,018</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>3,427</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>78.8%</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,860</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>3,117</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nursing</strong></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
<td>37.2%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>52.7%</td>
<td>41.6%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>475</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>56.2%</td>
<td>33.7%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>515</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>76.7</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>53.2%</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>614</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
<td>37.0%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>590</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Administration</strong></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>76.7</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>47.2%</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>1,065</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>1,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>62.3%</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1,110</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>1,187</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>62.2%</td>
<td>24.7%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>1,159</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>1,241</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.36</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>59.3%</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>1,123</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>1,203</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>64.0%</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1,082</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>1,151</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**
- **1st Class**: Includes grades A+, A, and A-
- **2nd Class**: Includes grades B+, B, and B-
- **Pass**: Includes grades C+, C, and D
- **Fail**: Includes grades E, F, and N
- **Headcounts**: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
- **Official Reporting**: Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
### Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

**Program Course Level:** Undergraduate

**Course Faculty:** Faculty of Human & Social Dev.

#### DEPARTMENT LEVEL

Applied filters: Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) AND Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Department</th>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social Work</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>65.5%</td>
<td>31.4%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>2,484</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>3,015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>65.5%</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>2,319</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>2,777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>65.7%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>2,277</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>2,741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>66.2%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>2,387</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>2,839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>2,369</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>2,779</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**

- **1st Class:** Includes grades A+, A, and A-
- **2nd Class:** Includes grades B+, B, and B-
- **Pass:** Includes grades C+, C, and D
- **Fail:** Includes grades E, F, and N
- **Headcounts:** Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
- **Official Reporting:** Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

Program Course Level: PROGRAM_COURSE_LEVEL: Undergraduate
Course Faculty: COURSE_FACULTY_1: Faculty of Humanities

FACULTY LEVEL

Applied filters: Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) AND Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
<td>39.4%</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>24,541</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>26,114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>37.7%</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>23,963</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>25,428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>40.3%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>23,527</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>24,958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>39.9%</td>
<td>38.3%</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>22,712</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>24,357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>41.4%</td>
<td>37.7%</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>21,060</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>23,541</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COURSE YEAR LEVEL

Applied filters: Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level AND Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Year Level</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>41.4%</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>9,609</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>10,259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>34.2%</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>9,523</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>10,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>9,148</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>9,716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>36.2%</td>
<td>40.1%</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>8,303</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>8,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>36.9%</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>8,931</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>9,512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>38.8%</td>
<td>36.3%</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>5,975</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>6,366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>5,957</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>6,329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>40.3%</td>
<td>36.3%</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>5,681</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>6,053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>39.0%</td>
<td>36.1%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>5,728</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>6,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>5,886</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>6,329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>42.4%</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>6,768</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>7,174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>6,174</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>6,552</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
* 1st Class: Includes grades A+, A, and A-.
* 2nd Class: Includes grades B+, B, and B-.
* Pass: Includes grades C+, C, and D.
* Fail: Includes grades F, E, and N.
* Headcounts: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
* Official Reporting: Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

Program Course Level: PROGRAM_COURSE_LEVEL: Undergraduate
Course Faculty: COURSE_FACULTY_1: Faculty of Humanities

COURSE YEAR LEVEL

Applied filters: Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level AND Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Year Level</th>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300 Level</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>42.4%</td>
<td>39.0%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>5,989</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>6,354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
<td>38.3%</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td>6,119</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>6,493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
<td>37.7%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>5,791</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>6,130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 Level</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>52.6%</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>2,189</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>2,305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>52.6%</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>2,309</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>2,422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>52.3%</td>
<td>33.5%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>2,709</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>2,835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>48.5%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td>2,562</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>2,693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
<td>32.9%</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td>2,267</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>2,386</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
* **1st Class**: Includes grades A+, A, and A-
* **2nd Class**: Includes grades B+, B, and B-
* **Pass**: Includes grades C+, C, and D
* **Fail**: Includes grades E, F, and N
* **Headcounts**: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
### Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

**Program Course Level:** PROGRAM_COURSE_LEVEL: Undergraduate  
**Course Faculty:** COURSE_FACULTY: Faculty of Humanities

#### DEPARTMENT LEVEL

**Applied filters:** Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) AND Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Department</th>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9 Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
<td>48.9%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>7,670</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>8,015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>46.2%</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>7,449</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>7,990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>31.4%</td>
<td>46.8%</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>6,853</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>7,165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>47.3%</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>6,757</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>7,056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
<td>46.6%</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>6,782</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>7,115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>55.5%</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>1,064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>56.4%</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>1,005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>50.8%</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>52.9%</td>
<td>29.8%</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>50.6%</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender Studies</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>42.4%</td>
<td>43.0%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>1,004</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>1,057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>40.5%</td>
<td>39.1%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>1,186</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>1,246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>47.0%</td>
<td>36.5%</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>1,116</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>1,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>47.1%</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>1,081</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>1,137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>45.3%</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>1,104</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>1,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germanic &amp; Slavic Studies</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>57.4%</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>1,185</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>1,241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>54.7%</td>
<td>29.6%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>1,451</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>1,491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>49.9%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>1,674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>45.6%</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1,204</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>1,274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greek and Roman Studies</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>53.7%</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>1,202</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>1,293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>33.7%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>1,242</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>1,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>58.8%</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>1,157</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>1,211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>51.2%</td>
<td>33.5%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>1,255</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>1,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>54.4%</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>1,236</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>1,311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic &amp; Italian Studies</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>1,379</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>1,469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>50.7%</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>1,230</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>1,305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>1,124</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>1,198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>1,041</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>1,097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
<td>45.4%</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>3,750</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>4,015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
<td>45.6%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>3,531</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>3,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>42.4%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>3,388</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>3,631</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:  
* 1st Class: Includes grades A+, A, and A-  
* 2nd Class: Includes grades B+, B, and B-  
* Pass: Includes grades C+, C, and D  
* Fail: Includes grades E, F, and N  
* Headcounts: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.  
* Official Reporting: Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
## Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

**Program Course Level:** Undergraduate  
**Course Faculty:** Faculty of Humanities

### DEPARTMENT LEVEL

*Applied filters: Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) AND Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Department</th>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>3,447</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>3,685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>3,308</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>3,506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>57.9%</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>46.9%</td>
<td>34.1%</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>42.3%</td>
<td>36.1%</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>51.1%</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>1,616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>54.7%</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>1,517</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>1,655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>48.5%</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>76.7</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>54.2%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>1,498</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>1,669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>54.2%</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>1,582</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>1,674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linguistics</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>56.4%</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>47.1%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>34.0%</td>
<td>38.8%</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>37.6%</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medieval Studies</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>56.4%</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1,682</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>1,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>55.7%</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>2,008</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>2,098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>50.5%</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2,171</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>2,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>2,240</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>2,337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>53.4%</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>1,850</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>1,935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific &amp; Asian Studies</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>3,023</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>3,343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>37.6%</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>3,020</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>3,317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>38.0%</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>2,999</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>3,296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>34.2%</td>
<td>35.8%</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>2,893</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>3,181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philosophy</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>3,099</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>3,409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious Studies</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>34.3%</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>39.4%</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>52.1%</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**  
*1st Class*: Includes grades A+, A, and A-  
*2nd Class*: Includes grades B+, B, and B-  
*Pass*: Includes grades C+, C, and D  
*Fail*: Includes grades E, F, and N  
*Headcounts*: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.  
Section Grading Patterns by Faculty
Program Course Level: Undergraduate
Course Faculty: Medical Sciences

### FACULTY LEVEL

**Applied filters:** Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) **AND** Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>61.1%</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>63.2%</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>8.65</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COURSE YEAR LEVEL

**Applied filters:** Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level **AND** Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Year Level</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300 Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
<td>32.3%</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>8.26</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>91.3%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>85.7%</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>8.65</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
- **1st Class:** Includes grades A+, A, and A-
- **2nd Class:** Includes grades B+, B, and B-
- **Pass:** Includes grades C+, C, and D
- **Fail:** Includes grades E, F, and N
- **Headcounts:** Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
- **Official Reporting:** Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

Program Course Level: Undergraduate
Course Faculty: Medical Sciences

COURSE YEAR LEVEL

Applied filters: Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level AND Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018)

Note:
* 1st Class: Includes grades A+, A, and A-
* 2nd Class: Includes grades B+, B, and B-
* Pass: Includes grades C+, C, and D
* Fail: Includes grades E, F, and N
* Headcounts: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
* Official Reporting: Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

Program Course Level: Undergraduate

Course Faculty: Medical Sciences

DEPARTMENT LEVEL

**Applied filters:** Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) AND Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>61.1%</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>63.2%</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>8.65</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:

* **1st Class:** Includes grades A+, A, and A-
* **2nd Class:** Includes grades B+, B, and B-
* **Pass:** Includes grades C+, C, and D
* **Fail:** Includes grades E, F, and N
* **Headcounts:** Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
* **Official Reporting:** Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

Program Course Level: Undergraduate
Course Faculty: Faculty of Science

### FACULTY LEVEL

Applied filters: Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) AND Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>28,609</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>30,732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>28,639</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>30,865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
<td>26.2%</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>28,369</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>30,566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>28,601</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>30,836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>33.2%</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>28,874</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>31,006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COURSE YEAR LEVEL

Applied filters: Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level AND Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Year Level</th>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 Level</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>34.1%</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>13,672</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>14,820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>24.6%</td>
<td>36.5%</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>13,265</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>14,450</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
<td>35.8%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>12,836</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>13,974</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
<td>37.2%</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>12,968</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>14,092</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>26.6%</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>13,362</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>14,392</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 Level</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
<td>33.6%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>7,797</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>8,341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>33.2%</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>8,241</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>8,788</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>7,992</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>8,554</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>8,172</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>8,752</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>8,086</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>8,669</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 Level</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>4,452</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>4,748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>39.2%</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>4,782</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>5,131</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
* **1st Class**: Includes grades A+, A, and A-  
* **2nd Class**: Includes grades B+, B, and B-  
* **Pass**: Includes grades C+, C, and D  
* **Fail**: Includes grades E, F, and N  
* **Headcounts**: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.  
### Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

Program Course Level: PROGRAM_COURSE_LEVEL: Undergraduate  
Course Faculty: COURSE_FACULTY_1: Faculty of Science

#### COURSE YEAR LEVEL

**Applied filters:** Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level AND Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Year Level</th>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>300 Level</strong></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>4,835</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>5,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>40.3%</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>4,769</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>5,099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>39.9%</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>4,759</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>5,092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>400 Level</strong></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>52.8%</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2,688</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>2,833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>57.3%</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2,351</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>2,496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2,706</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>2,868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
<td>24.6%</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2,692</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>2,893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2,667</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>2,853</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### COURSE YEAR LEVEL

**Applied filters:** Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level AND Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018)

*Note:*  
- **1st Class:** Includes grades A+, A, and A-  
- **2nd Class:** Includes grades B+, B, and B-  
- **Pass:** Includes grades C+, C, and D  
- **Fail:** Includes grades E, F, and N  
- **Headcounts:** Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.  
- **Official Reporting:** Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

Program Course Level:PROGRAM_COURSE_LEVEL: Undergraduate
Course Faculty: COURSE_FACULTY_1: Faculty of Science

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPARTMENT LEVEL</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic Year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>2,059</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>2,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>39.0%</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
<td>30.7%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2,298</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>2,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>29.6%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2,348</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>2,481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
<td>30.2%</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>2,186</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>2,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>42.4%</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>2,162</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>2,305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>6,098</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>6,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>6,174</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>6,495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
<td>33.6%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>6,321</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>6,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>6,098</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>6,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>31.1%</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>34.2%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>4,270</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>4,573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>33.9%</td>
<td>33.5%</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>1,781</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>1,845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>35.8%</td>
<td>33.9%</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>1,739</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1,793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>40.1%</td>
<td>34.4%</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>1,704</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>1,779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>1,671</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>1,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2028</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>41.0%</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>1,697</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>1,762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2029</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>33.6%</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>10,217</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>11,307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
<td>10,399</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>11,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2031</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>21.0%</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>10,762</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>11,773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2032</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
<td>21.0%</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
<td>10,708</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>11,781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2033</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
<td>10,761</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>11,761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2034</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
<td>29.8%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>3,517</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>3,783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2035</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
<td>29.6%</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>3,332</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>3,631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2036</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>3,218</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>3,534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2037</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>3,564</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>3,893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2038</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>3,663</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>3,996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
* **1st Class**: Includes grades A+, A, and A-
* **2nd Class**: Includes grades B+, B, and B-
* **Pass**: Includes grades C+, C, and D
* **Fail**: Includes grades E, F, and N
* **Headcounts**: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

Program Course Level: Undergraduate
Course Faculty: Faculty of Science

Applied filters: Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) AND Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Department</th>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
* **1st Class**: Includes grades A+, A, and A-
* **2nd Class**: Includes grades B+, B, and B-
* **Pass**: Includes grades C+, C, and D
* **Fail**: Includes grades E, F, and N
* **Headcounts**: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

Program Course Level: PROGRAM_COURSE_LEVEL: Undergraduate
Course Faculty: COURSE_FACULTY_1: Faculty of Social Sciences

FACULTY LEVEL

Applied filters: Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) AND Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>36.1%</td>
<td>34.4%</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>31,197</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>32,781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>32,248</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>33,895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>32.8%</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>32,771</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>34,499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>32,945</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>34,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>38.3%</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>33,488</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>35,145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
* 1st Class: Includes grades A+, A, and A-
* 2nd Class: Includes grades B+, B, and B-
* Pass: Includes grades C+, C, and D
* Fail: Includes grades E, F, and N
* Headcounts: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
* Official Reporting: Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.

COURSE YEAR LEVEL

Applied filters: Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level AND Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Year Level</th>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 Level</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>29.6%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>8,158</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>8,556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>8,357</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>8,775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>29.9%</td>
<td>32.3%</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>7,987</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>8,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
<td>32.3%</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>7,793</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>8,147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>7,904</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>8,246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 Level</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>34.4%</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>6,938</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>7,288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>36.1%</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>8,051</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>8,437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>8,823</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>9,265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>8,769</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>9,195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
<td>34.0%</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>9,163</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>9,595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 Level</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>13,663</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>14,398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
<td>34.4%</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>13,292</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>14,023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>39.5%</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>13,290</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>13,957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>13,738</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>14,419</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

Program Course Level: PROGRAM_COURSE_LEVEL: Undergraduate
Course Faculty: COURSE_FACULTY_1: Faculty of Social Sciences

COURSE YEAR LEVEL

Applied filters: Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level AND Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Year Level</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300 Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>39.5%</td>
<td>33.5%</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>13,596</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>14,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>56.5%</td>
<td>32.3%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>2,438</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>2,539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>58.1%</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>2,548</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>2,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.37</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>59.5%</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2,671</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>2,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>62.1%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>2,645</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2,738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>61.5%</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>2,825</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>2,954</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
* 1st Class: Includes grades A+, A, and A-
* 2nd Class: Includes grades B+, B, and B-
* Pass: Includes grades C+, C, and D
* Fail: Includes grades E, F, and N
* Headcounts: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
* Official Reporting: Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
### Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

#### Program Course Level: Undergraduate

#### Course Faculty: Faculty of Social Sciences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPARTMENT LEVEL</th>
<th>Applied filters: Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) AND Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Department</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradetable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthropology</td>
<td>2014: 5.17</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
<td>24.6%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>2,611</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>2,741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015: 5.23</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
<td>23.4%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>2,517</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>2,646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016: 5.42</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>42.4%</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>21.0%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>2,547</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>2,665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017: 5.56</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>46.0%</td>
<td>31.1%</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>2,602</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>2,727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018: 5.53</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>43.7%</td>
<td>34.3%</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2,689</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>2,835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economics</td>
<td>2014: 4.70</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>33.2%</td>
<td>28.7%</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>7,539</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>7,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015: 4.49</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>30.2%</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>8,386</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>8,784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016: 4.70</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>33.6%</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>8,072</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>8,451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017: 4.67</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>32.3%</td>
<td>28.7%</td>
<td>33.6%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>8,049</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>8,379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018: 4.71</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>7,873</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>8,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>2014: 5.96</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>49.0%</td>
<td>37.6%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>1,825</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>1,912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015: 6.17</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>55.2%</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>1,765</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>1,842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016: 6.38</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>59.3%</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1,932</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>2,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017: 6.13</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>55.9%</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>1,892</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>1,978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018: 6.41</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>61.1%</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>1,951</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>2,041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geography</td>
<td>2014: 5.23</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>37.7%</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>3,777</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>3,930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015: 5.40</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
<td>34.3%</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>3,629</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>3,799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016: 5.44</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>3,814</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>3,958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017: 5.52</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>43.7%</td>
<td>37.7%</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>3,427</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>3,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018: 5.37</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>33.6%</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>4,354</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>4,504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interdisciplinary Studies</td>
<td>2014: 5.60</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015: 5.96</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>50.9%</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016: 6.19</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>54.4%</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017: 5.52</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>37.2%</td>
<td>45.7%</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018: 5.92</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>41.9%</td>
<td>49.3%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political Science</td>
<td>2014: 4.74</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
<td>48.6%</td>
<td>22.0%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>3,266</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>3,510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015: 4.73</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>24.6%</td>
<td>47.5%</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>3,262</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>3,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016: 4.63</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
<td>45.1%</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>3,187</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>3,395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017: 4.69</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
<td>44.0%</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>3,092</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>3,324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018: 4.77</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
<td>44.1%</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>2,960</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>3,187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychology</td>
<td>2014: 5.29</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>40.5%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>8,743</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>9,203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015: 5.43</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>45.4%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>8,722</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>9,167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016: 5.41</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>45.1%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>9,047</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>9,452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017: 5.42</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>45.8%</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>9,512</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>9,948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018: 5.31</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>41.6%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>9,805</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>10,304</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**
- **1st Class:** Includes grades A+, A, and A-
- **2nd Class:** Includes grades B+, B, and B-
- **Pass:** Includes grades C+, C, and D
- **Fail:** Includes grades E, F, and N
- **Headcounts:** Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
- **Official Reporting:** Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
### Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

**Program Course Level**: Undergraduate  
**Course Faculty**: Faculty of Social Sciences

#### DEPARTMENT LEVEL

**Applied filters**: Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) AND Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Department</th>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>3,134</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>3,283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>33.9%</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
<td>23.4%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>3,570</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>3,775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sociology</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
<td>42.1%</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>3,643</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>3,845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>40.3%</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>3,472</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>3,660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>40.8%</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3,629</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>3,794</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**  
- **1st Class**: Includes grades A+, A, and A-  
- **2nd Class**: Includes grades B+, B, and B-  
- **Pass**: Includes grades C+, C, and D  
- **Fail**: Includes grades E, F, and N  
- **Headcounts**: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.  
- **Official Reporting**: Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

Program Course Level: PROGRAM_COURSE_LEVEL: Undergraduate
Course Faculty: COURSE_FACULTY_1: PB Gustavson Schl of Business

FACULTY LEVEL

Applied filters: Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) AND Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>7,239</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>8,707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>48.5%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>7,736</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>9,383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>8,022</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>9,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>47.9%</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>8,172</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>9,692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>47.6%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>8,275</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>10,151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COURSE YEAR LEVEL

Applied filters: Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level AND Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Year Level</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>39.9%</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
<td>48.6%</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>48.0%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>44.2%</td>
<td>38.8%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>43.9%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1,251</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>1,805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
<td>34.1%</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>1,470</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>2,098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>1,552</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2,149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>34.4%</td>
<td>32.9%</td>
<td>28.4%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>1,507</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>2,122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
<td>34.1%</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>1,815</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>2,383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
<td>45.1%</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>2,683</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>2,684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>42.8%</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>3,031</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>3,034</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
* 1st Class: Includes grades A+, A, and A-
* 2nd Class: Includes grades B+, B, and B-
* Pass: Includes grades C+, C, and D
* Fail: Includes grades E, F, and N
* Headcounts: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
* Official Reporting: Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
### Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

**Program Course Level**: Undergraduate  
**Course Faculty**: PB Gustavson Schl of Business

#### COURSE YEAR LEVEL

**Applied filters**: Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level AND Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Year Level</th>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Mean Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% Pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300 Level</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>45.3%</td>
<td>43.4%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>3,045</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>44.2%</td>
<td>42.5%</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>2,964</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>42.8%</td>
<td>42.4%</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>3,275</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 400 Level         | 2014         | 6.54             | 80.3               | 8.3% | 60.4%      | 34.8% | 4.0%  | 0.8%               | 2,816 | 0.2%           | 3,498 |
|                   | 2015         | 6.52             | 80.5               | 7.1% | 59.6%      | 35.2% | 4.1%  | 1.1%               | 2,857 | 0.6%           | 3,544 |
|                   | 2016         | 6.54             | 80.3               | 8.4% | 59.4%      | 35.4% | 4.6%  | 0.6%               | 2,871 | 0.4%           | 3,636 |
|                   | 2017         | 6.48             | 80.0               | 6.8% | 57.8%      | 36.8% | 4.3%  | 0.9%               | 3,122 | 0.8%           | 3,675 |
|                   | 2018         | 6.59             | 80.5               | 7.0% | 61.4%      | 33.8% | 4.4%  | 0.4%               | 2,942 | 0.3%           | 3,495 |

#### Note:
- **1st Class**: Includes grades A+, A, and A-
- **2nd Class**: Includes grades B+, B, and B-
- **Pass**: Includes grades C+, C, and D
- **Fail**: Includes grades E, F, and N
- **Headcounts**: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
- **Official Reporting**: Please verify with Institutional Planning & Analysis.
Section Grading Patterns by Faculty

Program Course Level: Undergraduate
Course Faculty: PB Gustavson Schl of Business

**DEPARTMENT LEVEL**

**Applied filters:** Time 5 years ending with the last year (currently 2018) AND Course Year Level equal to 100 Level, 200 Level, 300 Level, 400 Level, 500 Level, 600 Level, 700 Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Department</th>
<th>Mean 9Point Grade</th>
<th>Mean Percent Grade</th>
<th>% A+</th>
<th>% 1st Class</th>
<th>% 2nd Class</th>
<th>% pass</th>
<th>% Fail</th>
<th>Gradeable Headcount</th>
<th>% Drop</th>
<th>Total Headcount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Business</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>7,239</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>8,707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>48.5%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>7,736</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>9,383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>8,022</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>9,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>47.9%</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>8,172</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>9,692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>47.6%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>8,725</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>10,151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
* **1st Class**: Includes grades A+, A, and A-
* **2nd Class**: Includes grades B+, B, and B-
* **Pass**: Includes grades C+, C, and D
* **Fail**: Includes grades E, F, and N
* **Headcounts**: Reflect the total number of students in all sections for each level of data aggregation, thus unique headcounts are only available when viewing data for an individual course section.
Appendix B: Accessing the SAS Portal

The UVic SAS Reporting System portal can be accessed via:

- Institutional Planning & Analysis homepage http://www.inst.uvic.ca
- https://sas.uvic.ca/

1.1 Supported Browsers

Currently, the SAS Portal fully supports:

- Internet Explorer 7.0 (or higher) for the PC
- Firefox 3.6 (or higher) or the PC or for the Mac
- Testing reveals that the portal also works with Safari (although not strictly “supported” by SAS and may not contain all functionality that is present in the other two browsers listed above).

Logging into the Portal

NOTE: If you are accessing the portal from off campus, you will need to use our Virtual Private Network client software (http://www.uvic.ca/systems/services/internettelephone/remoteaccess/).

If you are on campus, or have started the VPN client, navigate to the following URL using Internet Explorer (for the PC) or using Firefox (for the Mac):

https://sas.uvic.ca/

Once there, you will see the login screen where you will need to enter your NetlinkID and password.

Once you have successfully logged into the Portal you will see something like the following:
Locating the Grading Reports

From your “Home” tab, you will need to click on the “Courses” tab:

Viewing Each Grading Report

The UVic SAS Reporting System currently contains seven grading reports:

The first report “Grading Patterns – UVic” consists of two tables (and associated graphs) that present, by default, the last five academic years of summary undergraduate grades for the university as a whole, including 1st class (A+, A, and A-), 2nd class (B+, B, B-), pass (C+, C, and D), fail (E, F, N), and dropped, as well as mean grade point averages and headcounts. Note that the dropped percentages are based on initial course enrolment, while the other categories are based on final course enrolment. The second table expands the information by course year level such as, “100 level” or “200 level”.

Selecting the plus icon on the left of any row will expand that table to show the equivalent information on the three terms that make up the academic year. Selecting the down arrow (drill-down) has a filtering effect and will expand the information on only the item selected. Note that, depending on the time of year, not all three terms that make up the most recent academic year may yet be available.
There are two sets of options on the left of this screen. The first allows the user to examine summary grade information by graduate and law programs in addition to undergraduate programs. The second set allows the addition or subtraction of columns from the default tables. For example, the user may wish to remove the percentage of A’s displayed and add the percentage of fails instead.

The second home-page report, “Grading patterns – By Faculty”, is similar to the first except that it allows an examination of grades by faculty. The third report “Grading patterns – By Department” does the same for school or department. At the department level, each subject area can be expanded (plus symbol) or drilled-down (down arrow symbol) to the course and course section level of detail.

**Grading Patterns UVic.srx**
- Grading patterns at the University level (tables & charts):
  - All course levels
  - By course level

**Grading Patterns By Faculty.srx**
- Grading patterns at the Faculty level (tables & charts):
  - All courses at the faculty level
  - All courses by course year level
  - All courses by department

**Grading Patterns By Department.srx**
- Grading patterns by Department level:
  - All courses at the department level
  - All courses by course year level
  - All courses by subject (can go all the way down to the individual section level)

The next three reports: “Grading Pattern Distribution – UVic”, “Grading Pattern Distribution – Faculty”, and “Grading Pattern Distribution – Department”, operate in the same way as the first three, the main difference being that actual grades, such as D, C, C+, are displayed. Again, the expanding and drill-down buttons can present course and course section levels of detail.

**Grading Pattern Distribution - UVic.srx**
- Grading pattern distributions at the University level:
  - All course levels
  - All courses by PASS, 2nd CLASS, & 1st CLASS grades
  - All courses by course level (PASS, 2nd CLASS, & 1st CLASS)

**Grading Pattern Distribution - Faculty.srx**
- Grading pattern distributions at the Faculty level:
  - All courses at the faculty level
  - All courses by PASS, 2nd CLASS, & 1st CLASS grades
  - All courses by course level (PASS, 2nd CLASS, & 1st CLASS)
  - All courses by department (PASS, 2nd CLASS, & 1st CLASS)

**Grading Pattern Distribution - Department.srx**
- Grading pattern distributions at the Department level:
  - All courses at the department level
  - All courses by PASS, 2nd CLASS, & 1st CLASS grades
  - All courses by course level (PASS, 2nd CLASS, & 1st CLASS)
The seventh and final report is “Grading Pattern Alerts”, and is designed to show possible grading pattern anomalies for a given school or department over any of the last three academic years. The table allows the user to expand or drill down to the level of a course section for a given term. Grading anomaly criteria were chosen to capture possible grading issues, and include sections with mean GPAs 8.0 or greater, GPAs 2.0 or less, A’s accounting for 33% or more of the grades, A’s accounting for 50% or more of the grades, and failure or drop rates at 20% or more. Any section with an enrolment of 20 or less is flagged with an exclamation mark to indicate that an anomaly may say more about the individuals enrolled than about the characteristics or presentation of the section itself. Such sections should be viewed with even greater than usual circumspection.

---

**Grading Pattern Alerts.srx** → Possible grading pattern anomalies by department.

This report *only* contains sections that meet at least one of the following criteria:

- Mean GPA: Greater than or equal to 8.0
- Mean GPA: Less than or equal to 2.0
- % Students Receiving an A+: 33% or higher
- % Students Receiving an A: 50% or higher
- % Students Receiving a Fail: 20% or higher
- % Students who Dropped: 20% or higher
- Gradeable Headcount: 20 or less

---

**Navigating the Reports**

All reports have some common navigation methods:

**Table of Contents**

Use the **Table of Contents** item to directly select a sub-set of data for the report.

For example, in the report “Grading Patterns – By Faculty” the Table of Contents reveals that the data is first subdivided into “Undergraduate”, “Law,” and “Graduate” courses. Then the data is further sub-divided by faculty. Thus, in the example to the right, the data currently selected shows “Undergraduate” sections from the “Faculty of Education.” These selections are also reflected in the report’s red sub-titles.
Reveal More Detailed Data

To reveal more detailed data → click the “Expand” button, the plus sign (+). You will note that it changes to a “minus sign” once clicked.

In this example, you can see that we have “expanded” the “Faculty of Engineering” to reveal the next level of detailed information, while still keeping the rest of the information for the other faculties visible.

View a Subsection of Data (Drill Down)

To view a subsection of data → Use the “Drill Down” button, the down arrow button (▼).

In this example, if you click the drill down arrow for the course subject “A E”, you will change the table to view all “A E” course numbers (to the exclusion of all other data).

When you “drill down” into a subsection of data, a “breadcrumb” trail is formed (see the pink arrow to the right). To return “up” a level, click on the breadcrumb trail text (in this example click on “Subject Org”).
Export Data

To export table (or chart) data to MS Excel or MS Word, right-mouse-click over the table data you are interested in and select the “Export Table…” item from the resulting pop-up menu (Item E shown to the right).

**NOTE:** This will *only* export the table (or chart) data. We strongly encourage you to copy/paste the following information to your exported file to ensure that in the future you know where the data came from, along with all filters that were applied:

A. Report title
B. Report section
C. Report sub-section
D. All filters applied to the data

Print Data

To print a report to a PDF, select “Print…” from the File menu.

To print landscape or portrait, along with adjusting margin widths, select “Page Setup…” from the File menu.

SAS Training

Institutional Planning & Analysis provides regular training for the UVic SAS Reporting System. For a list of upcoming training dates visit [http://www.inst.uvic.ca](http://www.inst.uvic.ca)

To arrange for customized group training, contact Institutional Planning & Analysis.
Date: November 20, 2019

To: Senate

From: Senate Committee on Academic Standards

Re: Proposed Revision to Grading within the Post-Degree Professional Elementary program

At its meetings on September 17, 2019 and October 23, 2019, the Senate Committee on Academic Standards reviewed the attached proposed revision to grading within the Post-Degree Professional Elementary program. At the October 23, 2019 meeting, the committee approved the proposal.

Recommended Motion

That Senate approve the proposed revision to grading within the Post-Degree Professional Elementary program, effective September 1, 2020.

/attachments

Respectfully submitted,

2019/2020 Senate Committee on Academic Standards
Neil Burford, Chair, Faculty of Science
Janni Aragon, Faculty of Social Sciences
Laurie Barnas, Associate Registrar
Gillian Calder, Faculty of Law
Alexandra D’Arcy, Faculty of Humanities
Sarina de Havelyn, Student Senator
Stephen Evans, Faculty of Graduate Studies (VPAC’s designate)
Kathy Gaul, Faculty of Education
Andrea Giles, Acting Executive Director, Coop Education & Career Services
Sima Hajiaghaei Shanjani, GSS representative
Robert Hancock, Convocation Senator
Cindy Holder, Associate Dean, Academic Advising (Faculties of SCIE, SOSC and HUM)
Sabrina Jackson, Acting Director, Graduate Administration and Records
Yasmine Kandil, Faculty of Fine Arts
Caoimhe Laird, Student Senator
Susan Lewis, Acting Associate Vice-President Academic Planning (President's nominee)
Michele Martin, Division of Medical Sciences
Martha McGinnis, Faculty of Graduate Studies
Tanya Muir, Division of Continuing Studies
Esther Sangster-Gormley, Faculty of Human and Social Development
Henning Struchtrup, Faculty of Engineering
Wendy Taylor, Acting Registrar
Ken Thornicroft, Peter B Gustavson School of Business
Dalal Tubeishat, UVSS representative
Ada Saab, Associate University Secretary
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DATE: September 9, 2019
TO: Dr. Neil Burford, Chair of the Senate Committee on Academic Standards
FROM: Dr. Ralf St. Clair, Dean
RE: Proposed Revision to Grading within the Post-Degree Professional Elementary program (PDP Elementary)

We propose changes to the grading assessment structure of the courses within the PDP Elementary program. These changes are being created to allow for COM, N, F assessment in several of the current course offerings. The changes outlined and discussed below originate from 3 of the 4 departments providing courses in the PDP Elementary program, however these proposed calendar changes do not reflect a full program change.

1. The Faculty of Education has offered a PDP Elementary program since UVic’s establishment in 1963.

2. Since its inception, the practicum courses and several content courses in the PDP Elementary program have been offered as COM, N, F; in total, 6 of 19 courses. With these proposed changes, the total would rise to 14 of 19 courses.

3. These calendar changes are consistent within the BC context of Teacher Education programs. Of the 9 Teacher Education programs in BC, 7 include non-graded assessment (Complete/Fail, Pass/Fail) in practica and/or content courses, and 3 programs have moved to become fully non-graded (UBC, UFV, UBC-O).

4. These proposed calendar changes are consistent within the BC K-12 context, wherein Teacher Education programs are apprised of recent moves to holistic assessment, and especially for teachers in the
elementary classroom context, non-graded assessment is an accepted, and in some districts a mandated practice.

5. No provisions for current students in the PDP Elementary program are necessary as these changes relate only to students admitted for September 2020.

6. We propose these calendar changes to take effect for September 1, 2020.

No other academic units have students that will be affected by these calendar changes.

These proposed calendar changes were approved at a Department of Curriculum & Instruction department meeting on December 12, 2018 and at the Faculty Council meeting on September 6, 2019.

Motion: “That the Senate Committee on Academic Standards approves and recommends that the Senate approve the proposed revision to the requirements for the Faculty of Education, program effective September 2020”.

Thank you,

Ralf St. Clair,
Dean of Education
At the September 17th, 2019 meeting of the Senate Committee on Academic Standards, a proposal was presented on a revision to grading within the Post-Degree Professional Elementary program (PDP Elementary). This proposal entailed a change to the grading structure of the courses to allow COM, N, F assessment in several of the current course offering. The practicum courses and several content courses already incorporate a COM, N, F grading assessment with 6 of 19 courses. The proposed change would mean the total would rise to 14 of 19 courses leaving five courses continuing their assessment using letter grades.

Several questions arose during the discussion, including a general approval of the proposal for holistic assessment within the program. However, there was a concern raised as to how the program intends to handle issues of academic standing. Although consultation had occurred with Graduate Admissions and Records as well as the implications for student awards, there was no mention of consultation regarding academic standing. Situations in which students have received a low or failing grade among a term of holistically assessed courses will result in consequences that would not occur in circumstances where a student may combine a letter grade assessment with other courses. The result may mean that a student’s grade point average is disproportionally weighted to the letter grade assessment. In extreme situations, students who achieve a low or failing grade may be removed from the PDP program. Students who have left the program and are placed outside of the Faculty of Education could then be placed on academic probation or be required to withdraw from the university. As a result, the consequence of combining graded and holistically assessed courses with a resulting disproportionate letter grade assessment could produce unintended stress for students.

At the meeting, the committee decided to table the motion for approval until the program has built a plan to handle issues of academic standing and the calculation of grade point averages into their proposal. In this regard, the program is strongly encouraged to contact the Office of the Registrar. The next Senate Committee on Academic Standards meeting is scheduled for October 10, 2019 with the deadline for materials being October 3, 2019.

If you have further questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact the secretary of the committee, Ada Saab.
Response from Teacher Education Program to SCAS memo of September 20, 2019

Ralf St. Clair; Deborah Begoray; Wanda Hurren
(Department of Curriculum and Instruction, Faculty of Education)

October 1, 2019

Thank you for your query about academic standing within our Proposed Revision to Grading within the Post-Degree Professional Elementary Program (PDP Elementary). We understand the primary concerns to be:

Although consultation had occurred with Graduate Admissions and Records as well as the implications for student awards, there was no mention of consultation regarding academic standing:

Situations in which students have received a low or failing grade among a term of holistically assessed courses will result in consequences that would not occur in circumstances where a student may combine a letter grade assessment with other courses.

We have since consulted with Trish Birney and Pat Cretney in the Office of the Registrar, and are glad to offer more details on this matter.

Please understand that expectations for the programs, and grades within them, are strongly shaped by the requirements of BC teacher certification, which already set quite a high bar that is, in effect, binary (achieved or not achieved). We believe this model of course evaluation, in addition to the pedagogical benefits in this case, also reflects the external professional expectations more closely.

1) low grades: If a student receives a low grade among a term of holistically assessed courses, the following would apply.

The undergraduate calendar 7.5.2 currently states:

“Students registered in 3.0 units or more whose sessional grade-point average is 2.00 to 2.99 will be placed on faculty probation for the next session attended. Students who are on faculty probation and achieve a sessional grade-point average of 3.0 or better on a minimum of 6.0 units will clear their
probation status at the end of the session. Students whofail to obtain a sessional grade-point average of at least 3.0 on a minimum of 6 units during the probationary session will be required to withdraw from the Faculty."

We would propose a change to minimum units from 6 to 3 so that very few students would find themselves on probation:

New – 7.5.2 Teacher Education Programs Probationary Status: Students registered in 3.0 units or more whose sessional grade-point average is 2.00 to 2.99 will be placed on faculty probation for the next session attended. Students who are on faculty probation and achieve a sessional grade point average of 3.0 or better on a minimum of 3 units will clear their probation status at the end of the session. We would make these changes above at the next available cycle (2) of calendar changes with the approval of Faculty.

The question of low averages (D, C, C+) was another concern expressed by the committee. First, this situation is very rare. As we stated in our initial memo to SCAS, all the students in this program enter with degrees and very high GPAs. A look back at means for the Ed-D 400 level courses for the past five years were: 7.61, 7.60, 7.66, 7.60, 7.86. The percentage of students with low averages in this group range from a low of 0.1% to a high of 1.3%.

2) failing grades:

Within the Teacher Education Program (TEP), any failing grade in any course results in the student being denied practicum:

20.3.5 Practicum Denial and Withdrawal

a) Practicum Denial
   Students will be denied the practicum experience if
   • they violate the faculty's Code of Professional Conduct (Section 19.1), or
   • any course work is deemed unsatisfactory by their instructor(s), or
   • their practicum preparation is considered unsatisfactory by the mentor teacher, university supervisor, manager of teacher education.

   This applies whether the course is ‘holistically assessed’ (e.g. COM/N/F) or graded. If a student wishes to proceed to practicum, they are required to repeat the course and attain a passing grade/COM. This approach would continue under the revision currently proposed.

   If a student decides that they do not wish to proceed to practicum, they would not continue in TEP and would be on probation. The committee should note, however, that they still have a UVic degree.

   If a student receives a failing grade in any course in a teacher education program, they must repeat that course successfully prior to progressing in the program. This would occur regardless of whether the course was letter graded or holistically graded. There is no change to practice required for this situation.

   For example, currently the students in PDP Elementary are taking, in one term: Ed-D 410 and Ed-P 782, neither of which are graded. These are the only courses taken at present. As explained above, students who fail 410 or 782 must repeat to stay in TEP or if they leave they will be on university probation.
The Calendar 7.5.2 further goes on to state:

“Students who complete a minimum of 6 units of coursework with a grade-point average of 3.0 or better while outside the faculty may be readmitted to the faculty.” We propose a change:

New--Students who fail to obtain a sessional grade-point average of at least 3.0 on a minimum of 3 units during the probationary session will be required to withdraw from the faculty.

We would make this change at the next available cycle (2) of calendar changes with the approval of Faculty.

We believe this allows for students to have another opportunity to complete their teacher education program with less stress.

The result may mean that a student’s grade point average is disproportionately weighted to the letter grade assessment. We acknowledge that there may indeed be instances where this occurs. However, as discussed above, the cases will be very few in number due to overall high means in these courses. Indeed, we believe that, for example, a student finishing the summer session with a Requirement to Withdraw seems completely reasonable. In a 16 month program (14 months of coursework), it would mean they would have spent 11 of the 14 months earning grades below a 1.99 (over five courses). This assumes they receive a COM on all their other coursework (including practicum), and, in our view would need to withdraw. As a final failsafe of course, they have the right to appeal to SCART after the Summer Term, which gives all students the opportunity to be heard on appeal. We once again emphasis the small numbers of students who might find themselves in this situation.

In extreme situations, students who achieve a low or failing grade may be removed from the PDP program. We acknowledge the possibility of this occurrence, however, as noted above, they can be placed on probation and may re-join the program if they are successful.

Students who have left the program and are placed outside of the Faculty of Education could then be placed on academic probation or be required to withdraw from the university. Yes, however, they already have a degree so would not be leaving the university without a degree.

As a result, the consequence of combining graded and holistically assessed courses with a resulting disproportionate letter grade assessment could produce unintended stress for students. While very unlikely due to reasons discussed above, we acknowledge this stress is possible.

As members of the committee will no doubt appreciate, it is impossible to anticipate every eventuality. Please be assured that in addition to the information above, we work very closely with the instructors in this program. When a student begins to encounter difficulties, instructors report to the Manager of Teacher Education who, in consultation with the Chair, investigates further and seeks to remedy the situation.
Date: November 20, 2019

To: Senate

From: Senate Committee on Academic Standards

Re: Proposed Revision to Academic Standing within the Health Information Science Program

At its meeting on October 23, 2019, the Senate Committee on Academic Standards reviewed and approved the attached proposed revision to academic standing within the Health Information Science Program.

Recommended Motion

That Senate approve the proposed revision to academic standing within the Health Information Science Program, effective May 1, 2020.

/attachment

Respectfully submitted,

2019/2020 Senate Committee on Academic Standards
Neil Burford, Chair, Faculty of Science
Janni Aragon, Faculty of Social Sciences
Laurie Barnas, Associate Registrar
Gillian Calder, Faculty of Law
Alexandra D’Arcy, Faculty of Humanities
Sarina de Havelyn, Student Senator
Stephen Evans, Faculty of Graduate Studies (VPAC’s designate)
Kathy Gaul, Faculty of Education
Andrea Giles, Acting Executive Director, Coop Education & Career Services
Sima Hajiajhaei Shanjani, GSS representative
Robert Hancock, Convocation Senator
Cindy Holder, Associate Dean, Academic Advising (Faculties of SCIE, SOSC and HUM)
Sabrina Jackson, Acting Director, Graduate Administration and Records
Yasmine Kandil, Faculty of Fine Arts
Caoimhe Laird, Student Senator
Susan Lewis, Acting Associate Vice-President Academic Planning (President's nominee)
Michele Martin, Division of Medical Sciences
Martha McGinnis, Faculty of Graduate Studies
Tanya Muir, Division of Continuing Studies
Esther Sangster-Gormley, Faculty of Human and Social Development
Henning Struchtrup, Faculty of Engineering
Wendy Taylor, Acting Registrar
Ken Thornicroft, Peter B Gustavson School of Business
Dalal Tubeishat, UVSS representative
Ada Saab, Associate University Secretary
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DATE: Date: October 2, 2019

To: Dr. Neil Burford, Senate Committee on Academic Standards

From: Dr. Esther Sangster-Gormley, Associate Dean Academic, Faculty of Human and Social Development

Re: Cycle 1 Curriculum Change to UG-HINF-REQ

The School of Health Information Science (HINF) is proposing the following wording change (as underlined below) to HINF’s Academic Regulations in the calendar for Cycle 1:

“Academic Regulations
Course Regulations
Health Information Science students must normally have successfully completed all first, second and third year HINF requirements, and be in good standing, prior to taking 400-level HINF courses.

Students from other…”

The rationale for this change is to ensure that students are in good standing before registering in 400-level courses. This is essential to ensure consistency in the level and quality of the discussions and classwork at the senior final year of the program.
At its meeting on October 23, 2019, the Senate Committee on Academic Standards reviewed and approved the attached proposed revisions to transfer requirements for the Computer Science program, Faculty of Engineering.

**Recommended Motion**

*That Senate approve the proposed changes to the transfer requirements for the Computer Science program, Faculty of Engineering.*

/attachment

Respectfully submitted,
2019/2020 Senate Committee on Academic Standards
Neil Burford, Chair, Faculty of Science
Jann Aragon, Faculty of Social Sciences
Laurie Barnas, Associate Registrar
Gillian Calder, Faculty of Law
Alexandra D’Arcy, Faculty of Humanities
Sarina de Havelyn, Student Senator
Stephen Evans, Faculty of Graduate Studies (VPAC’s designate)
Kathy Gaul, Faculty of Education
Andrea Giles, Acting Executive Director, Coop Education & Career Services
Sima Hajiaghaei Shanjani, GSS representative
Robert Hancock, Convocation Senator
Cindy Holder, Associate Dean, Academic Advising (Faculties of SCIE, SOSC and HUM)
Sabrina Jackson, Acting Director, Graduate Administration and Records
Yasmine Kandil, Faculty of Fine Arts
Caoimhe Laird, Student Senator
Susan Lewis, Acting Associate Vice-President Academic Planning (President’s nominee)
Michele Martin, Division of Medical Sciences
Martha McGinnis, Faculty of Graduate Studies
Tanya Muir, Division of Continuing Studies
Esther Sangster-Gormley, Faculty of Human and Social Development
Henning Struchtrup, Faculty of Engineering
Wendy Taylor, Acting Registrar
Ken Thornicroft, Peter B Gustavson School of Business
Dalal Tubeishat, UVSS representative
Ada Saab, Associate University Secretary
This proposal is an update to the language surrounding the wording for admission to and remaining in the Computer Science Honours program.

Major and Honours Programs (Proposed calendar wording below this list).

- Changed wording “second year” to “Year 2” and “third year” to “Year 3”
  - This is to clarify for students that all Year 1 and Year 2 courses must be completed before they can apply to the Honours Program. Additionally, this explicitly states that all required courses in that year will be used in the calculation of their application GPA.

- Changed the existing GPA requirement to remain in the Honours Program to take into account only Year 3 CSC and SENG courses
  - This change is to ensure students are maintaining strong grades in Computer Science Honours Program required courses and are not relying on elective courses to boost a GPA to remain in the program.

- Added a section to require a minimum GPA for graduation from the Computer Science Honours Program
  - This change is to ensure that students who graduate with a Computer Science Honours designation have maintained a 6.0 GPA in Year 4
MEMO

Faculty of Engineering
Engineering Undergraduate Office
Engineering Office Wing, Room 206
engr@uvic.ca | 250-721-6023

This is a request, on behalf of the Faculty of Engineering, that the SCAS pass the following motion:

**MOTION:** That the Senate Committee on Academic Standards approve, and recommend that the Senate approve the proposed changes to the progression requirements in Computer Science, Faculty of Engineering.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Dr. L. Jackson, Engl.
Associate Dean Undergraduate Programs
Date: October 15, 2019
To: Senate
From: Senate Committee on Admission, Re-Registration & Transfer

The Terms of Reference for the Senate Committee on Admission, Re-Registration and Transfer define its scope and relationship with Senate and other Senate committees. Annually in January, the committee presents a report to Senate on its business and proceedings over the previous academic year.

As per the Terms of Reference, the Faculty of Graduate Studies will provide the committee with an annual report. This report is provided in Appendix 1.

The Senate Committee on Admission, Re-Registration and Transfer met nine times during 2018-2019: July 13, 2018, August 22, 2018, September 11, 2018, November 13, 2018, December 6, 2018, February 7, 2019, March 21, 2019, May 2, 2019, June 19, 2019. There were six meetings cancelled due to no cases. A total of 34 student appeals and 8 proposals to establish or revise admission requirements were considered within this timeframe. Additionally, the committee recommended revisions to the committees’ Terms of Reference.

Appeals considered:

There were 16 appeals from Undergraduate Admissions, of which 8 were allowed and 8 were dismissed. Of the Undergraduate Admissions appeals, the categories of appeal were as follows: 2 were from applicants who did not pass the English 12 provincial exam; 5 were below the high school admission cut-off; 6 were below the post-secondary admission cut-off; 2 were homeschooled and 1 was considered under the Special Access Aboriginal category. Most appeals were submitted under the SCART Terms of Reference specified grounds for “significant physical affliction or psychological distress.”

There were 18 appeals from Undergraduate Records, of which 12 were allowed, 6 were dismissed and 1 was deferred (later withdrawn). Of the Undergraduate Records appeals, 8 were from students who had been Required to Withdraw once from the university and 9 were from students who had been Required to Withdraw twice. One appeal was related to a transfer credit matter. Most appeals were submitted under the SCART Terms of Reference specified grounds for “significant physical affliction or psychological distress.”

To summarize, the appeal results for this timeframe were as follows: 20 were allowed; 14 were dismissed. 10 of the 34 appeals were from international students and 1 appeal was from an indigenous applicant.
Proposals considered:

The Senate Committee on Admission, Re-Registration and Transfer (SCART) also considered eight proposals to establish or revise admission requirements. The Senate Committee on Admission, Re-Registration and Transfer consults with the Senate Committee on Academic Standards on all approved policy recommendations before a further recommendation is made to Senate. These are as follows:

- **K-12 Curriculum Modernizations Working Group Recommendations**
- **School of Business, to establish a minimum grade for Pre-Calculus 12**
- **School of Social Work, to alter the admission GPA for the Bachelor of Social Work program**
- **Faculty of Graduate Studies, to discontinue the Qualifying Year entry option**
- **Faculty of Fine Arts, to establish Year 1 admission requirements for the Combined Program in Visual Arts and Computer Science**
- **Faculty of Engineering, to revise Year 1 admission requirements for the Bachelor of Engineering/Bachelor of Software Engineering programs**
- **Faculty of Education, School of Exercise Sciences, Physical and Health Education to revise Year 1 admission requirements for the Kinesiology program**
- **Faculty of Education, School of Exercise Sciences, Physical and Health Education to revise Year 2 admission requirements for the Kinesiology program**

Additionally, the committee recommended revisions to the committees’ Terms of Reference to the Senate Committee on Agenda and Governance.

Recommendations to Senate:

To approve recommendations to establish a minimum final grade of 67% for English Studies 12/English 12 First Peoples for admission to all Year 1 programs, as well as to revise the “Admission requirements” and “Applicants from Secondary School: British Columbia/Yukon” paragraphs in the undergraduate academic calendar.

At the July 2018 meeting, the committee considered a proposal from the K-12 Curriculum Modernizations Working Group that detailed a recommendation to revise university admission requirements in response to the redesigned BC high school curriculum, as well as a recommendation to revise wording in the preamble paragraphs. The evaluation of the K-12 curriculum was undertaken with a goal of supporting students’ success and providing clear advice for applicants who plan to transition to postsecondary studies at UVic. Both recommendations were approved by Senate at its October 2018 meeting.
To approve recommendations to revise the admission requirements for the School of Business to include a minimum final grade of 67% for Pre-Calculus 12.

At the December 2018 meeting, the committee considered a proposal from the School of Business to establish a minimum grade of 67% for Pre-Calculus 12. The goal is to ensure students coming into the program are able to succeed. Students who do not have the minimum of 67% in Pre-Calculus 12 may still gain admission to UVic through another faculty and complete MATH151 (C+ or higher) during their first year. The recommendation was approved by Senate at its February 2019 meeting.

To approve recommendations from the School of Social Work to revise the admission GPA requirement for the Bachelor of Social Work from a 4.0 to a 3.5.

At the December 2018 meeting, the committee considered a proposal to revise the admission requirement for the School of Social Work from a 4.0 to a 3.5. The goal is to give more weight to applicants’ personal experience as detailed in other application materials. The recommendation was approved by Senate at its February 2019 meeting.

To approve recommendations from the Faculty of Graduate Studies to discontinue the Qualifying Year entry option.

At the February 2019 meeting, the committee considered a proposal to discontinue the Qualifying Year entry option. The goal is to eliminate a seldom-utilized pathway that was administratively complex and resulted in a lack of update from academic units. The recommendation was approved by Senate at its April 2019 meeting.

To approve recommendations from the Faculty of Fine Arts to establish Year 1 admission requirements for the Combined Program in Visual Arts and Computer Science.

At the February 2019 meeting, the committee considered a proposal to establish Year 1 admission requirements for the Combined Program in Visual Arts and Computer Science. The goal is to allow high school students to streamline admission processes and allow students to begin the program in their first year of study. The recommendation was approved by Senate at its April 2019 meeting.

To approve recommendations from the Faculty of Engineering to revise Year 1 admission requirements for the Bachelor of Engineering/Bachelor of Software Engineering programs.

At the March 2019 meeting, the committee considered a proposal to revise Year 1 admission requirements for the Bachelor of Engineering/Bachelor of Software Engineering programs. The goal is to allow high school applicants the option of
using either Physics 12 or Chemistry 12 in the admission requirement criteria. The recommendation was approved by Senate at its May 2019 meeting.

**To approve recommendations from the Faculty of Education, School of Exercise Science, Physical and Health Education to revise Year 1 admission requirements for the Kinesiology program.**

At the March 2019 meeting, the committee considered a proposal to revise Year 1 admission requirements for the Kinesiology program. The goal is to respond to changes in the redesigned BC high school curriculum, as well as respond to changes to the UVic Kinesiology program curriculum. The recommendation was approved by Senate at its May 2019 meeting.

**To approve recommendations from the Faculty of Education, School of Exercise Science, Physical and Health Education to revise Year 2 admission requirements for the Kinesiology program.**

At the March 2019 meeting, the committee considered a proposal to revise Year 2 admission requirements for the Kinesiology program. The goal is to better prepare students for success and retention in the program, as well as engage students with course content earlier in their academic experience. The recommendation was approved by Senate at its May 2019 meeting.

**Senate Committee on Admission, Re-Registration and Transfer, revisions to the Terms of Reference:**

At the November and December 2018 meetings, the committee considered a proposal to revise the membership of the committee to increase the number of voting faculty members in an effort to address quorum challenges. In addition, the proposal sought to formalize the ‘Director (or designate) of International Student Services’ position, a role that has been trialed for the last two years. The proposal was later approved by Senate at its January 2019 meeting.

**Continued Projects for the Upcoming Year**

At the November 2018 meeting, the committee members offered several longer-term suggestions related to the committees procedures and the Terms of Reference. It is anticipated that further recommendations will be presented to the committee for its consideration in 2019/2020.
Respectfully submitted,

2018/2019 Senate Committee on Admission, Re-Registration and Transfer
Dr. Sandra Hundza, Chair, Faculty of Education
Ms. Tricia Best, International Student Services
Ms. Susan Butler, Computer Science Academic Advising
Ms. Adrienne Graham, Student Senator
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Mr. Cameron Leckenby, Student Senator
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Ms. Wendy Taylor, Acting Registrar
Dr. Diana Varela, Faculty of Science
Dr. Scott Woodcock, Faculty of Humanities
Mr. Patrick Woo, UVSS Representative
Mr. Pierre-Paul Angelblazer, UVSS Representative
Mr. Jonathan Granirer, UVSS Representative
Ms. Laurie Barnas, Associate Registrar, Secretary
Ms. Patricia Konkin, Recording Secretary

2019/2020 Senate Committee on Admission, Re-registration and Transfer
Dr. Sandra Hundza, Chair, Faculty of Education
Dr. Anne Bruce, Vice-Chair, Faculty of Human & Social Development
Ms. Susan Butler, Computer Science Academic Advising
Ms. Tricia Best, International Student Services
Dr. Dale Ganley, Peter B. Gustavson School of Business
Dr. Fraser Hof, Faculty of Science
Dr. Cindy Holder, Associate Dean, Academic Advising, Faculties of Science, Social Sciences and Humanities
Dr. LillaAnne Jackson, Representative to the BC Council on Transfer Credit
Mr. Joel Lynn, Executive Director, Student Services
Dr. Stuart MacDonald, Faculty of Social Sciences
Ms. Emma Mason, Counselling Services
Dr. Phalguni Mukhopadhyaya, Faculty of Engineering
Mr. Jack Ni, Student Senator
Dr. Kathy Sanford, Faculty of Education
Mr. Marshall Scott-Bigsby, Student Senator
Ms. Wendy Taylor, Acting Registrar
Mr. Efe Turker, UVSS Representative
Ms. Laurie Barnas, Associate Registrar, Secretary
Ms. Patricia Konkin, Recording Secretary
Date: September 27, 2019

To: Senate Committee on Admission, Re-registration and Transfer

From: David Capson, Dean, Faculty of Graduate Studies

Re: Faculty of Graduate Studies Annual Report 2018/2019

The Faculty of Graduate Studies received 66 admission appeals and 15 re-registration requests for 2018-2019. In each case, the academic unit wishing to admit the student was required to submit a compelling justification for consideration by one of the Associate Deans of Graduate Studies. Many of the appeals met the established Faculty of Graduate Studies policy for Admission as a Mature Student or Admission without a Baccalaureate. The remaining admission appeals were for waivers of either the English Language Proficiency requirement or the minimum GPA requirement. The categories and results of the appeals are outlined below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Accepted</th>
<th>Denied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Admission as a Mature Student</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission without a Baccalaureate</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiver of the English Language Proficiency requirement</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiver of the minimum GPA requirement</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-registration</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Date: October 31, 2019

To: Members of Senate

From: Dr. Sandra Hundza
Chair, Senate Committee on Admission, Re-registration and Transfer

Re: Year 2 Admission requirements for the Kinesiology program, Faculty of Education

At its meeting of October 8th, 2019, the Senate Committee on Admission, Re-registration and Transfer (SCART) considered a proposal from Dr. John Meldrum, Director of the School of Exercise Science, Physical and Health Education, Faculty of Education.

SCART recommended some minor changes for clarity around the requirements for the required 1.5 units of English, which have been addressed on the proposal dated October 9th, 2019. With these revisions complete, SCART supports the attached proposal and has voted to endorse the proposed revised Year 2 admission requirements.

The proposal was then referred to the Senate Committee on Academic Standards (SCAS) for further consultation. Although the committee had no academic standards concerns related to the rational of the proposal, members noticed a confusion between the proposed intention and the final revision. The Faculty of Education have confirmed that this was a typographical error in course number, which has now been corrected. The attached proposal dated October 25th, 2019 is now presented to Senate for approval.

Recommended Motion:

That Senate approve the enclosed revised Year 2 admission requirements for the Faculty of Education, Bachelor of Science (BSc) Kinesiology program and that these requirements be published in the May 2020 edition of the undergraduate academic calendar.

Respectfully submitted,

2019/2020 Senate Committee on Admission, Re-registration and Transfer
Dr. Sandra Hundza (Chair), Faculty of Education
Dr. Anne Bruce (Vice-Chair), Faculty of Human & Social Development
Ms. Tricia Best, International Student Services
Dr. Dale Ganley, Peter B. Gustavson School of Business
Dr. Fraser Hof, Faculty of Science
Dr. Cindy Holder, Associate Dean, Academic Advising, Faculties of Science, Social Sciences and Humanities
Dr. LillAnne Jackson, Representative to the BC Council on Transfer Credit
Mr. Joel Lynn, Executive Director, Student Services
Dr. Stuart MacDonald, Faculty of Social Sciences
Ms. Emma Mason, Counselling Services
Dr. Phalguni Mukhopadhyaya, Faculty of Engineering
Mr. Jack Ni, Student Senator
Ms. Shauna Underwood, Indigenous Student Support Centre
Dr. Kathy Sanford, Faculty of Education
Mr. Marshall Scott-Bigsby, Student Senator
Ms. Wendy Taylor, Acting Registrar
Mr. Efe Turker, UVSS Representative
Ms. Laurie Barnas, Associate Registrar (Secretary)
Ms. Patricia Konkin (Recording Secretary)
At its meeting on October 23, 2019 the Senate Committee on Academic Standards considered a proposal to the Senate Committee on Admission, Re-registration and Transfer (SCART) regarding a proposal from the Director of the School of Exercise Science, Physical and Health Education regarding changes to Year 2 admission requirements for the Faculty of Education, Bachelor of Science (BSc) Kinesiology Program.

Although the committee had no academic standards concerns related to the rationale of the proposal, members noticed a confusion between the proposed intention and the final revision.

While EPHE 242 was proposed to be removed from the Year 2 admission requirements, the insertion of EPHE 241 in the bulleted list of required courses created the replacement course, EPHE 241, to be counted twice; once in the list of required courses and once again in the additional 3.0 units of science.

Understanding that although EPHE 242 is difficult to achieve by those applicants outside of the University of Victoria, it was suggested that this should be included in the list of 3.0 units of science courses for those who are able to enroll in this course, or one that directly transfers.
MEMO

Date: October 25th, 2019

To: The Senate Committee on Admission, Re-registration and Transfer

From: Dr. John Meldrum, Director of the School of Exercise Science, Physical and Health Education

Re: Proposed changes to Year 2 admission requirements for the Faculty of Education, Bachelor of Science (BSc) Kinesiology Program

Background

In September 2019, the School of Exercise Science, Physical and Health Education Bachelor of Science (BSc) Kinesiology program admitted their first Year 1 cohort. Entry at Year 2 or higher is still available to postsecondary students, however. Applicants wishing to enter this program after Year 1 must first complete 12 or more units of prescribed courses while registered in another faculty or while attending another postsecondary institution. The current admission requirements are as follows:

Entry to Year 2

The requirements for admission to Year 2 or higher of the BSc Kinesiology program are:

1. At least 12 units of credit, including:
   - 1.5 units each of
     - EPHE 141
     - EPHE 242
     - EPHE 143
     - Math 100 or 102 or 109
   - Additional 3.0 units of science (from BIOL, CHEM, MATH, PHYS, STAT 255, EPHE 241)
   - 1.5 units of ENGL 135 or ENGR 110 or any ACWR-designated 10L course that meets the AWR requirement
   - units of additional course work

2. a minimum grade point average of 5.0 (“B” average) on the most recent 12 units. Achieving the minimum course grades or GPA for the program does not ensure acceptance.

3. all requirements for admission must be complete by April 30.

Proposed Changes and Rationale

Proposed changes to 2nd year or higher level admission requirements and the rationale include:
- **EPHE 242 be removed as an admission requirement** – There is poor transfer articulation for this Cellular Physiology course which will create barriers for transfer students from other post secondary institutions in applying for Year 2 or higher entry into the BSc Kinesiology program.
- **EPHE 241 be added as an admission requirement** – Systemic Physiology course has good transfer articulation from other post secondary institutions allowing for students from other post secondary institutions to apply for Year 2 or higher entry into the BSc Kinesiology program.

In summary, these proposed changes to the admission requirements will:
- Reduce barriers to admission to the BSc Kinesiology program from other post secondary institutions.
- Maintain appropriate program flow

**Recommended Motion**
That Senate approve new Year 2 or higher admission requirements for the Faculty of Education, BSc Kinesiology program effective for September 2021 entry, to be published in the May 2020 edition of the undergraduate academic calendar.

**Year 2 Entry**
The requirements for admission to Year 2 or higher of the BSc Kinesiology program are:

4. At least 12 units of credit, including:
   - 1.5 units each of
     - EPHE 141
     - EPHE 143
     - EPHE 241
     - Math 100 or 102 or 109
   - Additional 3.0 units of science (from BIOL, CHEM, MATH, PHYS, STAT 255, EPHE 242)
   - ENGL 135, ENGR 110 or any other 1.5 unit course that meets the Academic Writing Requirement
   - 1.5 units of additional course work

5. a minimum grade point average of 5.0 (“B” average) on the most recent 12 units. Achieving the minimum course grades or GPA for the program does not ensure acceptance.

6. all requirements for admission must be complete by April 30.
At its meeting of October 8th, 2019, the Senate Committee on Admission, Re-registration and Transfer (SCART) considered a proposal from Dr. LillAnne Jackson, Associate Dean Undergraduate Programs, Faculty of Engineering.

SCART recommended some minor changes for clarity, which have been addressed on the proposal dated October 10th, 2019. With these revisions complete, SCART supports the attached proposal and has voted to endorse the proposed revised transfer requirements.

The proposal was then referred to the Senate Committee on Academic Standards (SCAS) for further consultation. SCAS considered the proposal at its meeting of October 23rd, 2019 and expresses no academic standards concerns.

**Recommended Motion:**

*That Senate approve the enclosed revised transfer requirements for the Faculty of Engineering Computer Science program and that these requirements be published in the May 2020 edition of the undergraduate academic calendar.*

Respectfully submitted,

2019/2020 Senate Committee on Admission, Re-registration and Transfer
Dr. Sandra Hundza (Chair), Faculty of Education
Dr. Anne Bruce (Vice-Chair), Faculty of Human & Social Development
Ms. Tricia Best, International Student Services
Dr. Dale Ganley, Peter B. Gustavson School of Business
Dr. Fraser Hof, Faculty of Science
Dr. Cindy Holder, Associate Dean, Academic Advising, Faculties of Science, Social Sciences and Humanities
Dr. LillAnne Jackson, Representative to the BC Council on Transfer Credit
Mr. Joel Lynn, Executive Director, Student Services
Dr. Stuart MacDonald, Faculty of Social Sciences
Ms. Emma Mason, Counselling Services
Dr. Phalguni Mukhopadhyaya, Faculty of Engineering
Mr. Jack Ni, Student Senator
Ms. Shauna Underwood, Indigenous Student Support Centre
Dr. Kathy Sanford, Faculty of Education
Mr. Marshall Scott-Bigsby, Student Senator
Ms. Wendy Taylor, Acting Registrar
Mr. Efe Turker, UVSS Representative
Ms. Laurie Barnas, Associate Registrar (Secretary)
Ms. Patricia Konkin (Recording Secretary)
At its meeting on October 23, 2019 the Senate Committee on Academic Standards considered a proposal to the Senate Committee on Admission, Re-registration and Transfer (SCART) regarding a proposal from the Associate Dean, Undergraduate Programs, Faculty of Engineering, to change the transfer requirements into Computer Science. Committee members had no concerns related to the academic standards of the proposal.
Faculty of Engineering  
Engineering Undergraduate Office  
Engineering Office Wing, Room 206  
engr@uvic.ca | 250-721-6023  

DATE: October 10th, 2019  
TO: Senate Committee on Admission, Re-registration & Transfer  
COPY: Senate Committee on Academic Standards  
FROM: Dr. LillAnne Jackson, Associate Dean Undergraduate Programs, Faculty of Engineering  
RE: Changes to transfer requirements into Computer Science  

This proposal is an update to the language surrounding to transfer requirements into Computer Science to make the Computer Science related course requirements explicit and to ensure the same admission standards are applied to those transferring from other institutions, faculties and departments.

Below is an overview of these changes including an itemized list of the wording changes made and the rationale behind these changes.

Transfers from Other Faculties and Transfers from Other Institutions (Proposed calendar wording below this bulleted list).

- Added transfer from other ‘program/programs’ in addition to ‘other faculty/faculties’
  - This change is proposed to ensure the calendar explicitly states that students transferring to Computer Science from other programs within the Faculty of Engineering (BEng, BSEng) will be held to the same standards as those transferring from other faculties.

- Add CSC 111 as an acceptable substitute for CSC 110 as a transfer requirement.
  - This is currently our practice as CSC 110 and CSC 111 are considered equivalent courses. This change to the calendar will make this practice explicit and avoid any confusion.

- Expand the list of required courses to all Year 1 CSC and MATH courses and require that students meet the program’s minimum grade requirement by earning a minimum grade of ‘C’ in all CSC, SENG and MATH courses undertaken.
  - The explicit list of required courses with a ‘C’ grade is intended to ensure transfer students have a grasp of the fundamental first year related Computer Science courses to increases their success rate as they progress towards a Bachelor of Science in Computer Science.

- Remove MATH 100 or MATH 109 as a specific course requirement for transfer
  - MATH 100 and 109 are pre-requisites for the newly added MATH 101. We are finding that students transferring from some international institutions (primarily in China) are granted transfer credit for MATH 101, but not MATH 100/109. The Math department
feels that the two courses build upon one another, so if a student has credit for MATH 101, it is understood that they have a good understanding of the concepts taught in MATH 100/109.

Transfers from Other Faculties or Programs

A student in another faculty or program who wishes to transfer into a BSc program in the Faculty of Engineering must have completed 12 units of courses including CSC 110 or CSC 111; CSC 115 or CSC 116; and MATH 100/101, and have obtained a minimum grade of C in all program required CSC, SENG and MATH 106 courses taken. Transfer applicants must also have a minimum C+ average on the most recent 12 units of courses at the time of transfer. A student in another faculty program who has completed one or more sessions at the University must also have satisfactory standing as defined by the University at the time of transfer.

Transfers from Other Institutions

To be eligible for admission to a BSc program in the Faculty of Engineering on the basis of work completed at another postsecondary institution, a student must be eligible for transfer credit for at least 12 units of courses and have at least a C+ average on their most recent 12 units of courses. Transfer students must also have completed the equivalent of CSC 110 or CSC 111; CSC 115 or CSC 116; and MATH 100/101, and have obtained a minimum grade of C in all program required CSC, SENG and MATH transferable 106 courses.

Admission to Specific Computer Science Programs

On All admission students admitted into the Computer Science program must declare into either a Major or Honours degree program once they have successfully completed CSC 226. Students CSC are 230 normally and placed SENG 265. In order to declare their degree program, a student must have a minimum grade of C in all required courses (according to the BS-specific Major program Program requirements) at the time of declaration.

Applications for admission to Computer Science Co-op programs are normally completed at the end of the student's first term of studies but are accepted until the beginning of a student's third year. Application deadlines are September 15 and January 15. Detailed information is available at the Computer Science Co-op Office.

Applications for admission to the Honours Program in Computer Science are normally made at the end of the Year student's second year of studies.

On admission to a Major or Honours Program in Computer Science, a student from outside the faculty must register in the Faculty of Engineering.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Dr. U. Jackson, EngL
Associate Dean Undergraduate Programs
The Senate Committee on Agenda and Governance nominations sub-committee met on November 22, 2019 to consider the appointments to the Senate Committee on Appeals and the Joint Senate-Board Retreat Committee.

The proposed new appointment to the Senate Committee on Appeals is bolded in the attached document.

The Senate Committee on Agenda and Governance recommends the appointments of JoAnne Clarke (Division of Continuing Studies), Brian Leacock (Peter B. Gustavson School of Business), and Sean Oliver (Student Senator) to join the Joint Board Senate Retreat committee for a term beginning January 1, 2020 and ending on December 31, 2020.

Motion

*That Senate approve the appointment to the Senate Committee on Appeals for the term indicated in the attached document.*

Motion

*The Senate approve the appointments of Jo-Anne Clarke, Brian Leacock, and Sean Oliver to the Joint Board Senate Retreat Committee for a term beginning January 1, 2020 and ending on December 31, 2020.*

/attachment

Respectfully submitted,

2019/2020 Senate Committee on Agenda and Governance

Jamie Cassels, Chair, President and Vice-Chancellor*
Saul Klein, Vice-Chair, Peter B. Gustavson School of Business*
Carrie Andersen, Acting University Secretary
Chandra Beaveridge, Convocation Senator
Aaron Devor, Faculty of Social Sciences
Robin Hicks, Faculty of Science
Valerie Kuehne, Vice-President Academic and Provost
Helen Kurki, Faculty of Social Sciences
Annalee Lee, Faculty of Humanities*
Dean Seeman, Libraries*
(Alivia) Tianyi Wang, Student Senator*
Ada Saab, Secretary, Acting Associate University Secretary*

*members of the Nominations Sub-committee
# Senate Committee on Appeals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Faculty or Department</th>
<th>Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michelle Lawrence (Chair) (NS)</td>
<td>Law</td>
<td>2021 (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Mark Gillen replacing Michelle while she is on leave Jan 1 – Jun 30, 2020)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauricio Garcia-Barrera (S) (Vice-Chair)</td>
<td>Graduate Studies</td>
<td>2021 (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CindyAnn Rose-Redwood (S)</td>
<td>Social Sciences</td>
<td>2022 (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neil Burford (S)</td>
<td>Science</td>
<td>2021 (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dale Ganley (NS)</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>2022 (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jillian Roberts (NS)</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>2020 (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poman So (NS)</td>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>2022 (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Ross (NS)</td>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>2021 (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Strega (S)</td>
<td>HSD</td>
<td>2022 (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carolyn Butler-Palmer (S)</td>
<td>Fine Arts</td>
<td>2021 (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caelen Cook (S)</td>
<td>Student Senator</td>
<td>2020 (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eslam Mehina (S)</td>
<td>Student Senator</td>
<td>2020 (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Afnan Juma (S)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Student Senator</strong></td>
<td><strong>2020 (2019)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maan Hani (NS)</td>
<td>Student Representative (GSS)</td>
<td>2020 (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ada Saab (Secretary)</td>
<td>Associate University Secretary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
At the January 19, 2018 meeting of the Senate Committee on Agenda and Governance, the committee reviewed a request from the Chairs and Directors of the Faculties of Humanities and Social Sciences (Appendix A). This request proposed a revision to the current 10-Year Sessional Calendar (Appendix B). The suggestion was to increase to a five business day break between the last day of December exams and the start of the spring term to allow for greater planning and preparation. Following a discussion of the issue, a sub-committee was convened for further examination and to make a recommendation to the committee as a whole.

The sub-committee met regularly since October 2018 to review the suggested revision to the calendar by examining policies and practices at UVic. The committee was informed of the University Secretary’s Principles of the 10-Year Sessional Calendar, which determined the process to assign the university’s term dates. Consultations with the Office of the Registrar were conducted with a specific focus on the exam timetable.

During the research and preliminary consultation phase, it was found that a variety of restrictions must be considered. The start of each term must lie within the confines of a 365-day calendar of statutory holidays and weekends and also adhere to the Senate approved operational requirements of 59-62 instructional days per term. Consultation with the Senate Committee on Academic Standards was held to determine if there were any issues with a more consistent minimum 59-day term. It was concluded that as the term varies from 59-62 instructional days currently, curriculum planning has already accommodated this into the academic term.

As it was not possible to adjust to the requested five business day break between the first business day in January and the start of classes for the spring term, various alternatives were considered to recognize the work of teaching faculty required to work throughout the holiday to meet grading deadlines while preparing for the following term. In an attempt to include as much of a break as time would allow, several different scenarios were mapped out and are explained in this memo to recommend and explain the most optimal solution.

First, a three business day break was inserted using the existing calendar principles. Although this lengthened the break for an increased number of years, it left other years without any break between the last day of exams in April and the first day of classes for the summer term (Appendix C).

An adjustment was made to the principles to allow for classes to begin on the first Monday of the new year. Once again, this ran into issues with exams in April (Appendix D).

As a final point to the initial investigation, a solution was found using another adjusted principle for those classes currently scheduled to begin on Wednesday, Thursday, or Friday, to be moved to begin on the following Monday. After consultation with the Centre for Accessible Learning and the Faculty Association, the committee recommended to Senate at its meeting April 5, 2019 this solution (Appendix E). It was felt that this would allow enough
time for instructors to prepare for spring classes while maintaining a consistent set of principles for the preparation of the 10-Year Sessional Calendar.

Discussion among Senate members resulted in a request to investigate further scenarios to allow for less restriction due to the exam timetable. Senate members felt the length of the exam timetable was detrimental to the efforts to allow for a greater break between terms. The restrictions created were recognized as an important issue for investigation but are beyond the scope of the sub-committee.

Using the feedback received from Senate, a coordination with the K-12 calendar was investigated and resulted in a calendar that did not increase the number of days before classes began. This revision defeated the purpose of the adjustment (Appendix F).

A new proposed calendar revision incorporated a revision to the summer session classes with a revised start on the 1st Wednesday in May and the following revised calendar principles (Appendix G):

- In the current calendar, classes that currently begin on Wednesday, Thursday or Friday were moved to the following Monday; however, when the 1st business day starts on a Monday, classes will begin on Wednesday after the 1st business day of the year
- Total of 14 exams days for April exams (excluding Sundays)
- May/August (K) classes begin 1st Wednesday of May

It is this final calendar revision that the committee recommends to Senate as the proposal for revision to the 10-year Sessional Calendar.

Motion:
That Senate approve the revised principles for creating the Winter and Summer Sessions of the 10-Year Sessional Calendar and that these changes be implemented for the next iteration of the 10-Year Sessional Calendar.

/respectfully submitted,
2019/2020 Senate Committee on Agenda and Governance
Jamie Cassels (Chair), President and Vice-Chancellor
Saul Klein (Vice-Chair), Dean, Peter B. Gustavson School of Business
Carrie Andersen, University Secretary
Chandra Beaveridge, Convocation Senator
Aaron Devor, Faculty of Social Sciences
Robin Hicks, Faculty of Science
Helen Kurki, Faculty of Social Sciences
Valerie Kuehne, Vice-President Academic and Provost
Annalee Lepp, Faculty of Humanities
Dean Seeman, Libraries
Alivia Wang, Student Senator
Ada Saab (Secretary), Associate University Secretary
APPENDIX A – REQUEST TO REVISE ANNUAL SESSIONAL CALENDAR

SUBJECT: Request to Revise Annual Sessional Calendar

FROM: Faculty of Social Sciences and Faculty of Humanities Chairs and Directors

DATE: November 2017

We write to follow-up on a concern raised by the Faculty of Social Sciences Chairs and Director in October 2016 that seems to have gained no traction but has substantive implications for issues of “Quality” as articulated in UVic’s Strategic Plan and Enhanced Planning processes. As the Chairs and Directors of the Social Sciences and Humanities Faculties, we write to ask that steps be taken to review and revise the annual sessional calendar and exam schedule. We are motivated to press for this review in light of the unduly short turn-around time between the official end of the fall and the beginning of the winter term. This places faculty under considerable pressure to complete marking and prepare course materials for the new term during the official holiday closure, particularly in those years when winter classes begin a day or two after New Year’s Day. Faculty can be found working in unheated offices without access to library or computer staff (for reserve and CourseSpaces help) as they organise course materials for the new term and department. Office staff also have little time to prepare for the flurry of student activity that accompanies the term’s beginning. A similar tight turnaround is confronted by teaching faculty with a summer obligation, though without the added difficulty of university closure.

We are particularly concerned about this unduly short turn-around time for the well-being of Assistant and Associate Teaching Professors who are faced with heavier teaching loads and have little down-time between terms to recharge. We can all point to instances when ATPs had their finals scheduled late in the exam period. As a result they were marking papers up to and beyond the holiday closure and were faced with preparing for several new courses after a break of only a few days. This is a recipe for faculty burn-out that can be remediated through modest adjustments to the sessional calendar. Finally, the high rate of student absenteeism in the first week of the winter term suggests that students are voting with their feet on this matter, and in the process compromising pedagogical aims as instructors cope with consequences of students first attending classes several days or a week into term. Both have substantive implications for our institution’s aspirations to maintain and enhance quality.

We strongly urge the university to seek a solution that ensures that faculty and staff have at least three and up to five working days after New Year’s Day when the university is open and services are restored to prepare for the start of the new teaching term. This could be achieved through some combination of the following possible solutions:

Reduce the length of the fall and spring exam periods. Though this may lead to the problem of conflicting finals for some students, other universities address this through clearly formulated policies. Reducing the
length of both exam periods will ‘buy’ days that can be used to provide a more substantive winter break without affecting the length of either teaching term.

Reduce the length of exam sessions from three to two hours, enabling more exams to be scheduled in a shorter exam period.

Extend the end date of spring term if no accommodation can be reached through adjustments to the exam schedule in order to provide a slightly later start date to spring term.

We urge the Senate and its relevant committees, administrators and the Faculty Association to work together to address this issue which has central relevance to the issues of quality highlighted by our governing frameworks.

---

1 https://www.mcgill.ca/students/exams/conflicts; http://www.concordia.ca/students/exams/conflicts.html
# APPENDIX B - CURRENT UNIVERSITY OF VICTORIA SESSIONAL CALENDAR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUMMER SESSION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May/Aug (&quot;K&quot;) courses begin</td>
<td>May 7</td>
<td>May 6</td>
<td>May 4</td>
<td>May 3</td>
<td>May 2</td>
<td>May 1</td>
<td>May 6</td>
<td>May 5</td>
<td>May 4</td>
<td>May 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May and June (&quot;A&quot;&amp;&quot;M&quot;) courses begin - May</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Day-May</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May (&quot;A&quot;) courses end</td>
<td>June 6</td>
<td>June 5</td>
<td>June 3</td>
<td>June 2</td>
<td>June 1</td>
<td>June 7</td>
<td>June 4</td>
<td>June 3</td>
<td>June 2</td>
<td>June 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June (&quot;F&quot;) courses begin - June</td>
<td>June 7</td>
<td>June 6</td>
<td>June 4</td>
<td>June 3</td>
<td>June 2</td>
<td>June 3</td>
<td>June 6</td>
<td>June 5</td>
<td>June 4</td>
<td>June 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring Convocation-June</td>
<td>11,12,13,14,15</td>
<td>8,9,10,11,12</td>
<td>13,14,15,16,17</td>
<td>12,13,14,15,16,17</td>
<td>10,11,12,13,14</td>
<td>9,10,11,12,13</td>
<td>8,9,10,11,12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May/June and June (&quot;M&quot; &amp; &quot;J&quot;) courses end - June</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada Day-July 1</td>
<td>Sun</td>
<td>Mon</td>
<td>Wed</td>
<td>Thu</td>
<td>Fri</td>
<td>Sat</td>
<td>Mon</td>
<td>Tue</td>
<td>Wed</td>
<td>Thu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July and July/August (&quot;P&quot;&amp;&quot;R&quot;) courses begin</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July courses (&quot;P&quot;&amp;&quot;R&quot;)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August (&quot;Q&quot;) courses begin-July</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last day of classes - May/August (&quot;K&quot;) courses</td>
<td>Aug 3</td>
<td>Aug 2</td>
<td>July 31</td>
<td>July 30</td>
<td>July 29</td>
<td>July 28</td>
<td>Aug 2</td>
<td>Aug 1</td>
<td>July 31</td>
<td>July 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.C. Day - August</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examinations begin - May/August courses-August</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examinations end - May/August courses-August</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July/August and August (&quot;R&quot;&amp;&quot;Q&quot;) courses end-August</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WINTER SESSION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour Day-September</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes start</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thanksgiving-October</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 11</td>
<td>Sun</td>
<td>Mon</td>
<td>Wed</td>
<td>Thu</td>
<td>Fri</td>
<td>Sat</td>
<td>Mon</td>
<td>Tue</td>
<td>Wed</td>
<td>Thu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall Convocation-November</td>
<td>13 &amp; 14</td>
<td>12 &amp; 13</td>
<td>9 &amp; 10</td>
<td>10 &amp; 12</td>
<td>9 &amp; 10</td>
<td>14 &amp; 15</td>
<td>12 &amp; 13</td>
<td>10 &amp; 12</td>
<td>9 &amp; 10</td>
<td>10 &amp; 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes end-December</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examinations-December</td>
<td>8 - 22</td>
<td>7 - 21</td>
<td>6 - 20</td>
<td>5 - 19</td>
<td>4 - 18</td>
<td>7 - 21</td>
<td>6 - 20</td>
<td>7 - 21</td>
<td>6 - 20</td>
<td>7 - 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>2028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes start-January</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Day – February</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes end-April</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examinations-April</td>
<td>8 - 27</td>
<td>6 - 24</td>
<td>6 - 22</td>
<td>6 - 25</td>
<td>11 - 26</td>
<td>8 - 23</td>
<td>7 - 25</td>
<td>7 - 22</td>
<td>8 - 23</td>
<td>10 - 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer class days</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days lost</td>
<td>MMT</td>
<td>MMT</td>
<td>MWR</td>
<td>MTF</td>
<td>MRF</td>
<td>MMT</td>
<td>MMT</td>
<td>MWR</td>
<td>MRF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall class days</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days lost</td>
<td>MMTW</td>
<td>MMTW</td>
<td>MMTW</td>
<td>MWTW</td>
<td>MWRF</td>
<td>MMTW</td>
<td>MMTW</td>
<td>MMTW</td>
<td>MMTW</td>
<td>MWRF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter class days</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days lost</td>
<td>MMTRF</td>
<td>MMTRF</td>
<td>MMTRF</td>
<td>MMTW</td>
<td>MMTRF</td>
<td>MMTRF</td>
<td>MMTRF</td>
<td>MMTRF</td>
<td>MMTWF</td>
<td>MMTRF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPOSED CALENDAR DATES</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>2024 (LEAP YEAR)</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>2028</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st business day in January</td>
<td>Apr 2 – 5</td>
<td>Apr 15 – 18</td>
<td>Apr 7 – 10</td>
<td>Mar 29 – Apr 1</td>
<td>Apr 18 – 21</td>
<td>Apr 3 – 6</td>
<td>Mar 26 – 29</td>
<td>Apr 14 - 17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes start-January</td>
<td>7 (Thursday)</td>
<td>10 (Monday)</td>
<td>9 (Monday)</td>
<td>8 (Monday)</td>
<td>7 (Tuesday)</td>
<td>7 (Wednesday)</td>
<td>7 (Thursday)</td>
<td>7 (Friday)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes end-April</td>
<td>8 (Thursday)</td>
<td>7 (Thursday)</td>
<td>6 (Thursday)</td>
<td>8 (Monday)</td>
<td>4 (Friday)</td>
<td>8 (Wednesday)</td>
<td>8 (Thursday)</td>
<td>6 (Thursday)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easter weekend</td>
<td>5 (Friday – Mon)</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>5 (Friday – Mon)</td>
<td>5 (Friday – Mon)</td>
<td>4 (Friday – Mon)</td>
<td>3 (Wed. – Fri)</td>
<td>Saturday and Sunday only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examinations-April</td>
<td>12 – 27 (Mon. – Tues.)</td>
<td>11 – 29 (Wed. – Fri.)</td>
<td>11 – 26 (Thur. – Fri.)</td>
<td>7 – 25 (Mon. – Fri.)</td>
<td>11 – 27 (Sat. – Mon.)</td>
<td>12 – 27 (Mon. – Tues.)</td>
<td>10 – 28 (Mon – Fri)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter class days</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUMMER SESSION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of days between end of April exams and beginning of May (K) courses</td>
<td>3 (Wed. – Fri.)</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>2 (Thur. – Fri.)</td>
<td>5 (Mon. – Fri.)</td>
<td>5 (Mon. – Fri.)</td>
<td>4 (Tues. – Fri.)</td>
<td>3 (Wed. – Fri)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May/Aug (&quot;K&quot;) courses begin</td>
<td>May 3 (Monday)</td>
<td>May 2 (Monday)</td>
<td>May 1 (Monday)</td>
<td>May 6 (Monday)</td>
<td>May 5 (Monday)</td>
<td>May 4 (Monday)</td>
<td>May 3 (Monday)</td>
<td>May 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May and May/June (&quot;A&quot;&amp;&quot;M&quot;) courses begin - May</td>
<td>10 (Monday)</td>
<td>9 (Monday)</td>
<td>15 (Monday)</td>
<td>13 (Monday)</td>
<td>12 (Monday)</td>
<td>11 (Monday)</td>
<td>10 (Monday)</td>
<td>May 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX D: PROPOSED 10-YEAR SESSIONAL CALENDAR
WITH CLASSES BEGINNING ON THE 1ST MONDAY IN JANUARY

** given that the 1st business day in January was Monday, classes where delayed by 1 week

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROPOSED CALENDAR DATES</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024 (LEAP YEAR)</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2026</th>
<th>2027</th>
<th>2028</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WINTER SESSION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st business day in January</td>
<td>4 (Monday)</td>
<td>4 (Tuesday)</td>
<td>3 (Tuesday)</td>
<td>2 (Tuesday)</td>
<td>2 (Thursday)</td>
<td>2 (Friday)</td>
<td>4 (Monday)</td>
<td>4 (Tuesday)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of business days before classes begin (plus weekend)</td>
<td>4 days plus Sat and Sun</td>
<td>4 days plus Sat and Sun</td>
<td>4 days plus Sat and Sun</td>
<td>4 days plus Sat and Sun</td>
<td>2 days plus Sat and Sun</td>
<td>1 day plus Sat and Sun</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>3 plus Sat and Sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes start 1st Monday in January</td>
<td>11**</td>
<td>10**</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes end-April</td>
<td>12 (Monday)</td>
<td>7 (Thursday)</td>
<td>6 (Thursday)</td>
<td>8 (Monday)</td>
<td>4 (Friday)</td>
<td>8 (Wednesday)</td>
<td>8 (Thursday)</td>
<td>6 (Thursday)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easter weekend</td>
<td>Apr 2 – 5 (Friday – Monday)</td>
<td>Apr 15 – 18 (Friday – Monday)</td>
<td>Apr 7 – 10 (Friday – Monday)</td>
<td>Mar 29 – Apr 1 (Friday – Monday)</td>
<td>Apr 18 – 21 (Friday – Monday)</td>
<td>Apr 3 – 6 (Friday – Monday)</td>
<td>Mar 26 – 29 (Friday – Monday)</td>
<td>Apr 14 – 17 (Friday – Monday)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examinations-April</td>
<td>15 – 30 (Thur. – Fri.)</td>
<td>11 – 26 (Wed. – Fri.)</td>
<td>11 – 26 (Thur. – Fri.)</td>
<td>11 – 26 (Thur. – Fri.)</td>
<td>7 – 25 (Mon. – Fri.)</td>
<td>11 – 27 (Sat. – Mon.)</td>
<td>12 – 27 (Mon. – Tues.)</td>
<td>10 – 28 (Mon. – Fri.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter class days</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUMMER SESSION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of days between end of April exams and beginning of May (K) courses</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>2 (Thur. – Fri.)</td>
<td>5 (Mon. – Fri.)</td>
<td>5 (Mon. – Fri.)</td>
<td>4 (Tues. – Fri.)</td>
<td>3 (Wed. – Fri.)</td>
<td>0 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May/Aug (&quot;K&quot;) courses begin</td>
<td>May 3 (Monday)</td>
<td>May 2 (Monday)</td>
<td>May 1 (Monday)</td>
<td>May 6 (Monday)</td>
<td>May 5 (Monday)</td>
<td>May 4 (Monday)</td>
<td>May 3 (Monday)</td>
<td>May 1 (Monday)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May and May/June (&quot;A&quot;&amp;&quot;M&quot;) courses begin - May</td>
<td>10 (Monday)</td>
<td>9 (Monday)</td>
<td>15 (Monday)</td>
<td>13 (Monday)</td>
<td>12 (Monday)</td>
<td>11 (Monday)</td>
<td>10 (Monday)</td>
<td>8 (Monday)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### APPENDIX E: PROPOSED 10-YEAR SESSIONAL CALENDAR

**CLASSES THAT CURRENTLY BEGIN ON WEDNESDAY, THURSDAY OR FRIDAY MOVE TO FOLLOWING MONDAY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROPOSED CALENDAR DATES (If classes currently begin on Wednesday, Thursday or Friday, move to following Monday)</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024 (LEAP YEAR)</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2026</th>
<th>2027</th>
<th>2028</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>WINTER SESSION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st business day in January</td>
<td>4 (Monday)</td>
<td>4 (Tuesday)</td>
<td>3 (Tuesday)</td>
<td>2 (Tuesday)</td>
<td>2 (Thursday)</td>
<td>2 (Friday)</td>
<td>4 (Monday)</td>
<td>4 (Tuesday)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of business days before classes begin (plus weekend)</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>4 days plus Sat and Sun</td>
<td>4 days plus Sat and Sun</td>
<td>4 days plus Sat and Sun</td>
<td>2 days plus Sat and Sun</td>
<td>1 day plus Sat and Sun</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>3 plus Sat and Sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes start-January</td>
<td>4 (Monday)</td>
<td>10 (Monday)</td>
<td>9 (Monday)</td>
<td>8 (Monday)</td>
<td>6 (Monday)</td>
<td>5 (Monday)</td>
<td>4 (Monday)</td>
<td>10 (Monday)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes end-January</td>
<td>1 (Thursday)</td>
<td>7 (Thursday)</td>
<td>6 (Thursday)</td>
<td>8 (Monday)</td>
<td>4 (Friday)</td>
<td>2 (Thursday)</td>
<td>5 (Monday)</td>
<td>6 (Thursday)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easter weekend</td>
<td>Apr 2 – 5 (Friday – Monday)</td>
<td>Apr 15 – 18 (Friday – Monday)</td>
<td>Apr 7 – 10 (Friday – Monday)</td>
<td>Mar 29 – Apr 1 (Friday – Monday)</td>
<td>Apr 18 – 21 (Friday – Monday)</td>
<td>Apr 3 – 6 (Friday – Monday)</td>
<td>Mar 26 – 29 (Friday – Monday)</td>
<td>Apr 14 – 17 (Friday – Monday)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examinations-April</td>
<td>6 – 22 (Tues. – Thurs.)</td>
<td>11 – 29 (Wed. – Fri.)</td>
<td>11 – 26 (Tues. – Wed.)</td>
<td>11 – 26 (Thur. – Fri.)</td>
<td>7 – 25 (Mon. – Fri.)</td>
<td>7 – 22 (Tues. – Wed.)</td>
<td>8 – 23 (Thur. – Fri.)</td>
<td>10 – 28 (Mon. – Fri.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter class days</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUMMER SESSION**

| Number of days between end of April exams and beginning of May (K) courses | 6 (Fri. – Fri.) | 0 days | 2 (Thur. – Fri.) | 5 (Mon. – Fri.) | 5 (Mon. – Fri.) | 7 (Thur. – Fri.) | 5 (Mon. – Fri.) | 0 days |
| May/Aug ("K") courses begin | May 3 (Monday) | May 2 (Monday) | May 1 (Monday) | May 6 (Monday) | May 5 (Monday) | May 4 (Monday) | May 3 (Monday) | May 1 (Monday) |
| May and May/June ("A"&"M") courses begin - May | 10 (Monday) | 9 (Monday) | 15 (Monday) | 13 (Monday) | 12 (Monday) | 11 (Monday) | 10 (Monday) | 8 (Monday) |
## APPENDIX F: PROPOSED 10-YEAR SESSIONAL CALENDAR WITH CLASSES STARTING SAME AS K-12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024 LEAP YEAR</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2026</th>
<th>2027</th>
<th>2028</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>WINTER SESSION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st business day in January</td>
<td>4 (Mon)</td>
<td>4 (Tue)</td>
<td>3 (Tue)</td>
<td>2 (Tue)</td>
<td>2 (Thu)</td>
<td>2 (Fri)</td>
<td>4 (Mon)</td>
<td>4 (Tue)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of business days before classes begin (plus weekend)</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>4 days</td>
<td>2 days plus Sat and Sun</td>
<td>0 days plus Sat and Sun</td>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>0 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes start-January</td>
<td>4 (Monday)</td>
<td>4 (Tuesday)</td>
<td>3 (Tuesday)</td>
<td>8 (Monday)</td>
<td>6 (Monday)</td>
<td>5 (Monday)</td>
<td>4 (Monday)</td>
<td>4 (Tuesday)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes end-April</td>
<td>6 (Tuesday)</td>
<td>4 (Monday)</td>
<td>3 (Monday)</td>
<td>9 (Tuesday)</td>
<td>4 (Friday)</td>
<td>7 (Tuesday)</td>
<td>6 (Tuesday)</td>
<td>3 (Monday)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easter weekend (Friday – Monday)</td>
<td>Apr 2 – 5</td>
<td>Apr 15 – 18</td>
<td>Apr 7 – 10</td>
<td>Mar 29 – Apr 1</td>
<td>Apr 18 – 21</td>
<td>Apr 3 – 6</td>
<td>Mar 26 – 29</td>
<td>Apr 14 - 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examinations - April 14 exam days</td>
<td>9 – 24 (Fri – Sat)</td>
<td>7 – 26 (Thu – Tue)</td>
<td>6 – 25 (Fri – Sat)</td>
<td>12 – 27 (Mon–Fri)</td>
<td>7 – 25 (Fri – Sat)</td>
<td>10 – 25 (Fri – Sat)</td>
<td>9 – 24 (Fri – Sat)</td>
<td>6 – 25 (Thu – Tue)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 Winter class days</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUMMER SESSION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of business days between end of April exams and beginning of May (K) courses</td>
<td>5 days plus Sat and Sun</td>
<td>3 days plus Sat and Sun</td>
<td>3 days plus Sat and Sun</td>
<td>5 days plus Sat and Sun</td>
<td>5 days plus</td>
<td>5 days plus 1 ⚓️ weekend</td>
<td>5 days plus 1 ⚓️ weekend</td>
<td>3 days plus Sat and Sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May/Aug (&quot;K&quot;) courses begin (Monday)</td>
<td>May 3</td>
<td>May 2</td>
<td>May 1</td>
<td>May 6</td>
<td>May 5</td>
<td>May 4</td>
<td>May 3</td>
<td>May 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May and May/June (&quot;A&quot;&amp;&quot;M&quot;) courses begin (Monday)</td>
<td>May 10</td>
<td>May 9</td>
<td>May 15</td>
<td>May 13</td>
<td>May 12</td>
<td>May 11</td>
<td>May 10</td>
<td>May 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX G: PROPOSED 10-YEAR SESSIONAL CALENDAR

Revised Principles used
- In the current calendar, classes that currently begin on Wednesday, Thursday or Friday were moved to the following Monday, however, when the 1st business day starts on a Monday, classes will start on Wednesday after the 1st business day of the year
- Total of 14 exams days for April exams (excluding Sundays)
- May (K) classes begin 1st Wednesday of May

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROPOSED CALENDAR</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024 (LEAP YEAR)</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2026</th>
<th>2027</th>
<th>2028</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>WINTER SESSION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st business day in January</td>
<td>4 (Monday)</td>
<td>4 (Tuesday)</td>
<td>3 (Tuesday)</td>
<td>2 (Tuesday)</td>
<td>2 (Thursday)</td>
<td>2 (Friday)</td>
<td>4 (Monday)</td>
<td>4 (Tuesday)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of business days before classes begin (plus weekend)</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>4 days plus Sat and Sun</td>
<td>4 days plus Sat and Sun</td>
<td>4 days plus Sat and Sun</td>
<td>2 days plus Sat and Sun</td>
<td>1 day plus Sat and Sun</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>4 days plus Sat and Sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes start-January</td>
<td>6 (Wednesday)</td>
<td>10 (Monday)</td>
<td>9 (Monday)</td>
<td>8 (Monday)</td>
<td>6 (Monday)</td>
<td>5 (Monday)</td>
<td>6 (Wednesday)</td>
<td>10 (Monday)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes end-April</td>
<td>7 (Wednesday)</td>
<td>7 (Thursday)</td>
<td>6 (Thursday)</td>
<td>8 (Monday)</td>
<td>4 (Friday)</td>
<td>2 (Thursday)</td>
<td>7 (Wednesday)</td>
<td>7 (Friday)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easter weekend</td>
<td>Apr 2 – 5 (Friday – Monday)</td>
<td>Apr 15 – 18 (Friday – Monday)</td>
<td>Apr 7 – 10 (Friday – Monday)</td>
<td>Mar 29 – Apr 1 (Friday – Monday)</td>
<td>Apr 18 – 21 (Friday – Monday)</td>
<td>Apr 3 – 6 (Friday – Monday)</td>
<td>Mar 26 – 29 (Friday – Monday)</td>
<td>Apr 14 – 17 (Friday – Monday)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examinations-April 14 exam days</td>
<td>10 – 26 (Sat. – Mon.)</td>
<td>11 – 29 (Wed. – Fri.)</td>
<td>11 – 26 (Tues. – Wed.)</td>
<td>11 – 26 (Thur. – Fri.)</td>
<td>7 – 25 (Mon. – Fri.)</td>
<td>7 – 22 (Tues. – Wed.)</td>
<td>10 – 26 (Sat. – Mon.)</td>
<td>10-28 (Mon. – Fri)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter class days</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUMMER SESSION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of days between end of April exams and beginning of May (K) courses (including Sat. and Sun.)</td>
<td>8 (Tue. – Tuc.)</td>
<td>4 (Sat. – Tue.)</td>
<td>6 (Thur. – Tue.)</td>
<td>11 (Sat. – Tue.)</td>
<td>11 (Sat. – Tue.)</td>
<td>13 (Thur. – Tue.)</td>
<td>11 (Sat. – Tue.)</td>
<td>4 (Sat. – Tue)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May/Aug (&quot;K&quot;) courses begin 1st Wednesday of May</td>
<td>May 5 (Wednesday)</td>
<td>May 4 (Wednesday)</td>
<td>May 3 (Wednesday)</td>
<td>May 8 (Wednesday)</td>
<td>May 7 (Wednesday)</td>
<td>May 6 (Wednesday)</td>
<td>May 5 (Wednesday)</td>
<td>May 3 (Wednesday)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May and May/June (&quot;A&quot;&amp;&quot;M&quot;) courses begin - May</td>
<td>10 (Monday)</td>
<td>9 (Monday)</td>
<td>15 (Monday)</td>
<td>13 (Monday)</td>
<td>12 (Monday)</td>
<td>11 (Monday)</td>
<td>10 (Monday)</td>
<td>8 (Monday)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Date: 13 November 2019
To: Senate
From: Senate Committee on Awards
Re: New and Revised Awards

The Senate Committee on Awards met on November 8, 2019 and approved a number of new and revised awards for Senate’s approval. Terms of these awards are attached.

Recommended motion:

That Senate approve, and recommend to the Board of Governors that it also approve, the new and revised awards set out in the attached document:

- Ted and Helen Hughes Entrance Award (revised)
- One Heart for Reconciliation Award* (revised)
- Vincent Short Memorial Theatre Scholarship* (revised)
- Visca/Dais-Visca Scholarship in Public Law/Legal Studies (new)
- The Joyce Family Foundation Award Bursary for Indigenous Students* (revised)
- Leeder Family Memorial Scholarship Bursary in Economics* (revised)
- Leeder Family Memorial Scholarship Bursary in Mathematics* (revised)
- Pearson Family Africa Award (revised)
- Royal Jubilee Hospital School of Nursing Alumnae Association Student Award* (revised)
- University of Victoria Youth in Care Award (revised)
- Murray & Lynda Farmer Scholarship* (revised)
- David McGillivray Scholarship in Science* (new)
- Mairi Riddel Memorial Book Prize* (revised)
- Brendan Gaunt Environmental Law Award (new)
- Harold G. Craven Scholarship* (revised)

* Administered by the University of Victoria Foundation
Terms for New and Revised Awards

Additions are underlined
Deletions are struck through

Ted and Helen Hughes Entrance Award (Revised-UG)

One or more awards will be given to full-time or part-time (minimum 9.0 units) undergraduate students entering the Faculty of Law who have demonstrated academic ability together with determination, resilience, contribution and compassion in areas of life such as prior work experience, graduate study, community service, family care or disability. Preference will be given to an Indigenous student. Approval of the recipients will be made by the Senate Committee on Awards upon recommendation of the Faculty of Law.

One Heart for Reconciliation Award* (Revised-UG)

One or more entrance awards are given to Indigenous undergraduate students in the Faculty of Law who identify as women, non-binary or Two-Spirit people and who intend to support the work of further reconciliation through their studies and the practice of law. Students must submit a letter of application (maximum one page) to the Law Admissions Officer by June 15 demonstrating how they intend to further support the work of reconciliation. Preference will be given to students with demonstrated financial need. Approval of the recipients will be made by the Senate Committee on Awards upon the recommendation of the Faculty of Law.

Vincent Short Memorial Theatre Scholarship* (Revised-UG)

One or more A scholarships are awarded to academically outstanding undergraduate students in the Department of Theatre who are entering third or fourth year and who displays outstanding ability in the Design, Directing, or Production & Management specialties in the Department of Theatre. Approval of the recipients will be made by the Senate Committee on Awards upon the recommendation of the Scholarship recipients will be selected by the Department of Theatre.

Visca/Dais-Visca Scholarship in Public Law/Legal Studies (New-UG)

One or more scholarships are awarded to academically outstanding undergraduate students entering third or fourth year in the Faculty of Social Sciences who intend to pursue a degree in Law. Approval of the recipient(s) will be made by the Senate Committee on Awards upon the recommendation of the Faculty of Social Sciences.

Preference will be given in the following priority:

1. Students who have written the LSAT
2. Students who are taking common pre-law majors such as Political Science, Economics or Psychology
3. Students who intend to apply to UVic Law School.

Applicants must submit the following:

1. A cover letter (maximum 250 words) explaining why the student is interested in pursuing a degree in law
2. A list of Law schools to which they intend to apply
3. A research paper (maximum 2,000 words) examining a current challenge faced by the federal government in responding to a demand for change in the law to reflect current social, political and/or legal pressures. The recipient will demonstrate an understanding of limits within which the executive, legislative and judicial branches operate and be able to articulate the importance of the rule of law in the Canadian system of justice, for example:
   i. “dialogue” between the legislative and executive branches of government and the judiciary
   ii. threats to the rule of law
   iii. treaty making and challenges to state sovereignty (can be international trade treaties or Aboriginal treaties)
   iv. demand for alternative criminal justice tribunals for addressing needs of disadvantaged communities as was done with Aboriginal defendants with the creation of the Gladue court.

*The student may submit a class paper

**The Joyce Family Foundation Award Bursary for Indigenous Students*** (Revised-UG)

One or more awards bursaries are given to Indigenous undergraduate students with financial need. Preference will be given to Indigenous students who have graduated from high school within the last five years, and have not qualified for funding from their First Nation Band or an Indigenous organization. Applicants must be Canadian citizens or have permanent resident status.

This award is renewable and eligible recipients may apply for the award in subsequent years.

**The Leeder Family Memorial Scholarship Bursary in Economics*** (Revised-UG)

One or more scholarships bursaries are awarded to academically outstanding full-time undergraduate students entering third or fourth year in the Department of Economics with demonstrated financial need. Preference will be given to students who are from outside the Greater Victoria or Lower Mainland Metro Vancouver Regional District areas. Scholarships will be approximately one-half of a student’s full-time (minimum 12 units) Winter Session tuition and fees.
If awarded to a third year student, the scholarship may be renewed for the recipient’s fourth year of full-time studies in the Department of Economics. To be automatically renewed a student must have completed a total of 12 or more academic units in two terms of study between May and April in the previous academic year and maintained a grade point average of 7.50/9.00 or higher on the best 12 units. A student whose grade point average falls below 7.50/9.00 may file a written appeal with the Senate Committee on Awards to seek special consideration for the renewal of the scholarship.

Students registered in a co-op or work experience work-term will automatically be renewed when they next complete 12 or more academic units in two terms, provided they have a grade point average of 7.50/9.00 or higher in the two terms. Any student who takes neither a co-op, work experience/work-term, nor academic units for more than one term may forfeit their scholarship.

**Leeder Family Memorial Scholarship Bursary in Mathematics* (Revised-UG)**

One or more scholarships bursaries are awarded to academically outstanding full-time undergraduate students entering third or fourth year in the Department of Mathematics and Statistics with demonstrated financial need. Preference will be given to students who are from outside the Greater Victoria or Lower Mainland Metro Vancouver Regional District areas. Scholarships will be approximately one-half of a student’s full-time (minimum 12 units) Winter Session tuition and fees.

If awarded to a third year student, the scholarship may be renewed for the recipient’s fourth year of full-time studies in the Department of Mathematics and Statistics. To be automatically renewed a student must have completed a total of 12 or more academic units in two terms of study between May and April in the previous academic year and maintained a grade point average of 7.50/9.00 or higher on the best 12 units. A student whose grade point average falls below 7.50/9.00 may file a written appeal with the Senate Committee on Awards to seek special consideration for the renewal of the scholarship.

Students registered in a co-op or work experience work-term will automatically be renewed when they next complete 12 or more academic units in two terms, provided they have a grade point average of 7.50/9.00 or higher in the two terms. Any student who takes neither a co-op, work experience/work-term, nor academic units for more than one term may forfeit their scholarship.

**Pearson Family Africa Award (Revised-UG)**

One or more awards of $2,000 each are given to undergraduate students entering the second, third or fourth year of a program related to health and who are sponsored by the World University Service of Canada (WUSC) Student Refugee Program to attend UVic. Preference will be given to students in one of the following departments: Biochemistry & Microbiology, Health Information Science, Nursing or Public Health and Social Policy.
Royal Jubilee Hospital School of Nursing Alumnae Association Student Award* (Revised-UG/GS)

One or more awards are given to undergraduate or graduate students continuing in the School of Nursing or enrolled in a program related to Nursing in either Health Information Science or the School of Public Health and Social Policy, BSN program, distance or on campus, who are descendants of an alumna of the Royal Jubilee Hospital School of Nursing. Applicants must state their relationship to the alumna and the year the alumna graduated.

Eligibility is based on the following order or priority:

1. Alumnae of the Royal Jubilee School of Nursing.
2. Descendants of an alumna of the Royal Jubilee Hospital School of Nursing. Applicants must state their relationship to the alumna, their alumna’s maiden name (if applicable) and the year the alumna graduated.
3. Undergraduate students in the BSN program.

Undergraduate students can apply via the online transfer in-course application via My Page under Student Awards and Financial Aid. Graduate students can apply by April 30 at the Dean of Human and Social Development office. Approval of the recipients will be made by either the Senate Committee on Awards or the Faculty of Graduate Studies Graduate Awards Committee, upon the recommendation of the Faculty of Human and Social Development School of Nursing. Undergraduate students registered in at least 4.50 academic units and graduate students registered in at least 3.0 academic units are eligible for this scholarship.

University of Victoria Youth in Care Award (Revised-UG)

One or more awards are given to students in their first undergraduate degree program. To be eligible for these awards, students must demonstrate financial need and be residents of British Columbia, and were formerly in any Government of BC Ministry of Children and Family Development (MCFD) Legal Status or the Government of BC Ministry of Social Development and Poverty Reduction’s Child in Home of Relative Program for at least twelve months (consecutive or accumulated in any combination).

MCFD Legal Statuses refer to and include the following, consecutive or accumulated in any combination pursuant to the Child, Family and Community Service Act (the Act) regarding student eligibility for the University of Victoria Youth in Care Tuition Award:

- **Continuing Custody Order** pursuant to sections 41 (1) (d), 42.2 (4) (d), 42.2 (7) or 49 (4), 49 (5) or 49 (10) of the Act;
- **Temporary Custody Order** pursuant to sections 41 (1) (b) of the Act;
- **Special Needs Agreement** pursuant to section 7 of the Act;
- **Voluntary Care Agreement** pursuant to section 6 of the Act;
- **Youth Agreement** pursuant to section 6 42.2 of the Act;
- **Extended Family Plan** pursuant to section 8 of the Act;
- **Permanent Transfer of Custody Order** with person other than a parent pursuant to section 54.01 or 54.1 of the Act;
- **Temporary Transfer of Custody** pursuant to section 41(1)(b) of the Act;
- **Interim Custody Order with a Director** pursuant to section 35(2)(a);
The award covers actual tuition costs and mandatory fees for the terms required for completion of a first undergraduate degree. Eligible applicants may also receive funding to assist with the costs of books, supplies and living expenses. Students who transfer to UVic from a university or college to complete their first undergraduate degree and meet all eligibility criteria will be considered for the award. Applicants must submit the application form, including the Release of Information consent form required to confirm eligibility, to Student Awards and Financial Aid by the application deadline of May 1st.

Murray & Lynda Farmer Scholarship* (Revised-UG)

One or more scholarships are awarded to academically outstanding third or fourth 3rd or 4th year students in the Bachelor of Commerce program who demonstrate dedication to community organizations and have a strong interest in business ethics and social responsibility. Students must have completed or be enrolled in one or more courses related to the study of ethics or social responsibility. Applications together with a 500 word essay on business ethics, personal goals and community involvement must be submitted to the Peter B. Gustavson School of Business by April 30. Scholarships may be awarded to graduating students. Approval of the recipients will be made by the Senate Committee on Awards upon the recommendation of the Peter B. Gustavson School of Business.

David McGillivray Scholarship in Science* (New-GS)

One or more scholarships are awarded to academically outstanding graduate students in the Faculty of Science. Preference is given to students who are not receiving major external funding (Tri-Agency or equivalent) or a UVic Fellowship in the same year. Approval of the recipients will be made by the Faculty of Graduate Studies Graduate Awards Committee upon the recommendation of the Faculty of Science.

Mairi Riddel Memorial Book Prize* (Revised-GS)

A book prize of $100 is awarded to a graduate student in the English department for the best seminar essay. Approval of the recipient will be made by the Faculty of Graduate Studies Graduate Awards Committee upon the recommendation of the Department of English.

Brendan Gaunt Environmental Law Award (New-UG)

One or more awards are given to undergraduate students entering the Faculty of Law who have volunteer or work experience with an environmental organization and an interest in pursuing
Environmental Law. Preference will be given to students with an undergraduate degree in Environmental Studies. Approval of the recipient will be made by the Senate Committee on Awards upon the recommendation of the Faculty of Law.

**Harold G. Craven Scholarship* (Revised-UG)**

One or more scholarships of not less than $1,000 are awarded to academically outstanding undergraduate fourth 4th year students in the Department of Economics or the Peter B. Gustavson School of Business who intend to pursue a career as a Chartered Accountant. Scholarships may be awarded to graduating students. Approval of the recipients will be made by the Senate Committee on Awards upon the recommendation of the Department of Economics or the Peter B. Gustavson School of Business.

Respectfully submitted,
2019/2020 Senate Committee on Awards
Annalee Lepp (Chair), Department of Gender Studies
Anne Cirillo, International Student Services
David Foster, Graduate Student Society Representative
Helga Hallgrimsdottir, School of Public Administration
Lori Nolt, Director, Student Awards and Financial Aid
Yvonne Rondeau, Scholarship Officer, Faculty of Graduate Studies
Marsha Runtz, Chair, Faculty of Graduate Studies Graduate Awards Committee
Charlotte Schallié, Faculty of Graduate Studies
Brock Smith, Peter B. Gustavson School of Business
Wendy Taylor, Acting Registrar
Ciel Watt, Alumni Association
Dawit Weldemichael, Student Senator
Linda Welling, Department of Economics
Lauren Hume (Secretary), Student Awards and Financial Aid
At its meeting of November 5, 2019, the Senate Committee on Planning considered the proposed modifications to the Master of Arts in Musicology – Thesis Option, as described in the memorandum dated February 23, 2019.

The following motion is recommended:
That Senate approve the proposed modifications to the Master of Arts in Musicology – Thesis Option, as described in the memorandum dated February 23, 2019.

At its meeting of November 5, 2019, the Senate Committee on Planning considered the proposal to add a project option to the Master of Arts in Musicology, as described in the memorandum dated February 23, 2019.

The following motion is recommended:
That Senate approve and recommend to the Board of Governors, that it also approve the proposal to add a project option to the Master of Arts in Musicology, as described in the memorandum dated February 23, 2019.

At its meeting of November 5, 2019, the Senate Committee on Planning considered the proposals to discontinue the Master of Arts in Musicology (with Performance) Thesis Option, and add a project option, as described in the memorandum dated February 23, 2019.

The following motions are recommended:
That Senate approve and recommend to the Board of Governors, that it also approve the proposal to discontinue the Master of Arts in Musicology (with Performance) Thesis Option, as described in the memorandum dated February 23, 2019.

and

That Senate approve and recommend to the Board of Governors, that it also approve the proposal to add a project option to the Master of Arts in Musicology (with Performance), as described in the memorandum dated February 23, 2019.
Respectfully submitted,

**2019-2020 Senate Committee on Planning**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chair, Acting AVPAP</td>
<td>Susan Lewis</td>
<td>Curriculum and Instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law</td>
<td>Gillian Calder</td>
<td>Graham McDonough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Studies</td>
<td>David Capson</td>
<td>Patrick Nahirney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuing Studies</td>
<td>Jo-Anne Clarke</td>
<td>Sang Nam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economics</td>
<td>Merwan Engineer</td>
<td>Carla Osborne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychology</td>
<td>Mauricio Garcia-Barrera</td>
<td>Abdul Roudsari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-op Education</td>
<td>Andrea Giles</td>
<td>Rishi Gupta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Engineering</td>
<td>Rishi Gupta</td>
<td>Dan Russek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemistry</td>
<td>Neil Burford</td>
<td>Ada Saab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP Research</td>
<td>Lisa Kalynchuk</td>
<td>Ralf St. Clair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPAC</td>
<td>Valerie S. Kuehne</td>
<td>Wendy Taylor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Arts</td>
<td>Adam Con</td>
<td>Cindy Holder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary, VPAC</td>
<td>Sandra Duggan</td>
<td>Humanities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Proposed Changes to: MA in Musicology and MA in Musicology-with Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dean's Name:</th>
<th>Signature Dean:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Susan Lewis</td>
<td>Original signed by Susan Lewis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact Name and Number:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Christopher Butterfield, SOM Director, 7903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Salem, SOM Graduate Advisor, 7910</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date approved by Department:</th>
<th>Chair/Director:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 April 2018</td>
<td>Christopher Butterfield</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date approved by Faculty of Fine Arts:</th>
<th>Dean:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13 March 2019</td>
<td>Susan Lewis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Evanthia Baboula (Associate Dean)      |
Date: February 23, 2019
To: Dr. David Capson, Dean, Faculty of Graduate Studies
From: School of Music
Re: Changes to MA Musicology—Thesis Option (current), MA Musicology Project Option (new), and MA Musicology with Performance (from Thesis to Project Option)

The School of Music proposes three program initiatives to update its graduate offerings at the Master of Arts level.

The School offers the following Master’s programs: MA in Musicology; MA in Musicology (with Performance); MMus in Composition; MMus in Performance; MMUS in Performance—Emphasis in String Quartet; and MMus in Music Technology. The proposed changes will affect the MA in Musicology and MA in Musicology with Performance. The changes are included in a single memo so that the relationships between all program changes can be evident.

The School recently went through an invigorating Academic Program Review in June of 2018; the following changes were thoroughly planned at that time and received unequivocally positive feedback by the APR committee.

**Proposed changes**

Two current programs – the MA in Musicology and the MA in Musicology with Performance – will receive basic recalibrations of thesis parameters alongside the removal of secondary requirements in order to improve time to degree and to align research outcomes with international standards in the discipline.

**MA in Musicology—Thesis Option: program modifications**
The first program change involves adjustments to the current MA Musicology—Thesis Option.
- First, we remove an onerous, three-topic *oral comprehensive exam component (Oral Comprehensive Examination, [https://web.uvic.ca/calendar2019-01/grad/programs/mus/program-requirements.html#](https://web.uvic.ca/calendar2019-01/grad/programs/mus/program-requirements.html#)), which is taken normally at the start of the second year. Similar to typical candidacy exams, this requirement is now irrelevant to terminal MA degrees in the discipline and often distracts students from focused research on a single topic; it also generally increases time to degree.*
- Second, the total number of unit requirements specific to musicology was lowered from 12.0 to 9.0 units (an additional 3.0 units of music electives are also required; no change in this).
- Third, the unit value of the thesis is raised from 3.0 to 4.5 units to reflect modern research expectations, and the format of the thesis is adjusted to correspond with current conference proceedings and publication opportunities.
- Together, these changes actually lower the total degree requirements from 18 to 16.5 units while ensuring faster completion rates, more intense supervision in a single research area, and more focused and polished thesis work.

**MA in Musicology—Introduction of a Project Option**
The MA in Musicology currently has a Thesis Option only. The School proposes to add a new Project Option (MUS 598M).
The new option – an **MA in Musicology—Project Option** – will provide students with an opportunity to focus on applied applications for musicology that correspond with current needs in arts administration, library science, and other non-academic professions, while also expanding elective opportunities to align with the emphasis on community-engaged learning and interdisciplinary collaboration found in UVic’s Strategic Plan. Students will be encouraged to complete shorter written projects that speak to the research needs of non-academic institutions, with an emphasis on arts administration, including the development of stronger grant-writing and presentation skills.

- The program includes similar core requirements to our thesis option. However, the project option slightly expands the number of elective credits and promotes fulfilling these with interdisciplinary coursework that will support project-based research in new domains and formats.

**MA in Musicology (with Performance)**

This program currently has a **Thesis Option only**. The School proposes to:

a. **Discontinue the Thesis Option**, and

b. **Introduce a Project Option**.

These changes involve our popular MA in Musicology with Performance. Students are attracted to this degree because it combines a focused research component in musicology with the opportunity to continue performance studies on an instrument. Currently, the degree includes all of the core requirements of the Musicology MA (including comprehensive exams and a thesis) while also including substantial performance requirements and a major project: the Lecture-Recital.

- Replacing the thesis option with a project option emphasizes the collaborative nature of the degree by synthesizing the role of the lecture-recital with that of the written project and its corresponding defense.
- The revisions also remove the comprehensive and language exams, allowing students to focus on the (already challenging) requirements of combined performance and musicological research.
- Similar to the Thesis Option above, these adjustments result in a lowering of the overall requirements by 2.5-3.5 units from 19-21 to 16.5-17.5 while undoubtedly improving completion times.
- A secondary improvement is that resulting projects will more closely align with real-world applications that combine musical performance with community engagement opportunities such as pre-concert talks, promotional lectures, and fundraising outreach.

All of these changes improve our resource management while simultaneously providing opportunities for program expansion. No additional course responsibilities are added by these changes, and many administrative inefficiencies are removed by decreasing scheduling requirements and secondary supervision for comprehensive and language exams for faculty and administrators alike. Meanwhile, units for thesis and project options now reflect the necessary supervisory attention needed for strong, research-intensive topics.

All course creations and revisions reflect this: course revisions increase unit-value credit for the thesis and lecture-recital, and the new project option – MUS 598M – fits the typical parameters for project supervision.

We expect these options to be available in May 2020. Current students will be granted permission to switch to the new options on a case-by-case basis. Switching options will result in load reliefs for students and faculty alike and should present no logistical problems.
Given that these changes affect in-house offerings, inter-Faculty consultation is limited to notifying chairs of likely interdisciplinary areas of new opportunities for promoting their courses and sharing collaborative projects with our new MA Musicology Project Option students.

The School of Music is very excited about these refinements to our current offerings. Although nuanced, they remain substantial, combining a careful and considerate review of successful graduates with deliberate and progressive revisions to align our programs with changing student needs, disciplinary expectations, and professional opportunities. We hope the university at large shares our enthusiasm for these revised options.

Respectfully Submitted,

Original signed by Christopher Butterfield

Christopher Butterfield, Director, School of Music
Joseph Salem, Head of Musicology, School of Music
Summary Course Curriculum Changes:

| Effective Date: | 01SEP2018 |
| Faculty: | FINE ARTS |
| Academic Unit: | MUSI – School of Music |
| Contact Name: | Dr. Joseph Salem |
| Local: | 6451 |
| Email: | salemjr@uvic.ca |

Types of course change:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course code or number</th>
<th>Type(s) of change: (if new or reinstated, include title)</th>
<th>Other units consulted: (list all)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MUS 596</td>
<td>Unit value change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUS 598M</td>
<td>New Course: MA Project</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUS 599</td>
<td>Unit value change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All course changes should be in alphabetical and numerical order with a corresponding submission number.
### UVic Course Curriculum Change

**Faculty:** Fine Arts  
**Academic Unit:** Music  
**Date of submission:** DDMMMYY  
**Effective date of change:** 01SEP18

**Type(s) of course change:**
- [☐] New or reinstated course
- [☐] Change or addition of a pre- or co-requisite
- [☒] Other: Change in unit value
- [☐] Course code or number
- [☐] Deletion
- [☐] Course title or description
- [☐] Retention of a course not offered for five years

**Current calendar entry:**
- **MUS 596**  
  Lecture-Recital  
  A lecture-recital of substantial duration, its topic likely related to the student’s thesis. For students in the MA program in Musicology with Performance.  
  *Grading: INP, COM, N, F*

**Proposed calendar entry:**
- **MUS 596**  
  Lecture-Recital  
  A lecture-recital for students in the MA program in Musicology with Performance. The lecture-recital normally results in a research paper that becomes the written component of the student’s oral defense.  
  *Grading: INP, COM, N, F*

**Condensed 30-character title (required for all new and revised titles of 31-65 characters):**

**Rationale for proposed change:**
Unit change corresponds to other changes in the core requirements for this degree. The lecture-recital is now a project-based degree that combines the recital and project components under the single “596” code, thus requiring a change in total unit value.

**Consultation:**
- Other units consulted in preparation of submission:  
  - List all units if applicable  
- [☒] No consultation required

Written evidence of all consultations should be included in a single PDF for the entire program package.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty: Fine Arts</th>
<th>Academic Unit: Music</th>
<th>Date of submission:</th>
<th>Effective date of change: 01SEP18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Type(s) of course change:**

- ☒ New or reinstated course
- ☐ Change or addition of a pre- or co-requisite
- ☐ Other: Describe.
- ☐ Course code or number
- ☐ Deletion
- ☐ Course title or description
- ☐ Retention of a course not offered for five years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current calendar entry:</th>
<th>Proposed calendar entry:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>MUS 598M MA Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project required for project-based MA degrees in Musicology and related disciplines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grading: INP, COM, N, F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Condensed 30-character title (required for all new and revised titles of 31-65 characters): MUS 598M MA Project</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rationale for proposed change:**

This course addition complements program changes to our MA degrees in Musicology and Musicology and Performance. This course provides for a “Project-based option” for these degrees.

**Consultation:**

Other units consulted in preparation of submission: List all units if applicable

☒ No consultation required

Written evidence of all consultations should be included in a single PDF for the entire program package.
# UVic Course Curriculum Change

**Faculty:** Fine Arts  
**Academic Unit:** Music  
**Date of submission:** DDMMYY  
**Effective date of change:** 01SEP18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type(s) of course change:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ New or reinstated course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Change or addition of a pre- or co-requisite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒ Other: Change in unit value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Course code or number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Deletion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Course title or description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Retention of a course not offered for five years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current calendar entry:</th>
<th>Proposed calendar entry:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| MUS 599  
MA Thesis  
*Grading: INP, COM, N, F* | MUS 599  
MA Thesis  
*Grading: INP, COM, N, F* |
| Units: 3.0 | Units: 4.5 |

**Rationale for proposed change:**

Unit change corresponds to other changes in the core requirements for this degree. A key reason for the change is a recognition of the additional time, work, and planning required for the thesis degree as demonstrated by recent issues with time-to-completion of students and by changes across North America in comparable degrees at other institutions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultation:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Other units consulted in preparation of submission: List all units if applicable  
☒ No consultation required |

Written evidence of all consultations should be included in a single PDF for the entire program package.
At its meeting of November 5, 2019, the Senate Committee on Planning considered the proposal to discontinue the language requirement for the Master of Arts in English, as described in the memorandum dated March 26, 2019.

The following motion is recommended:

That Senate approve the proposal to discontinue the language requirement for the Master of Arts in English, as described in the memorandum dated March 26, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

2019-2020 Senate Committee on Planning
Susan Lewis, (Chair), Acting AVPAP
Gillian Calder, Law
David Capson, Graduate Studies
Jo-Anne Clarke, Continuing Studies
Merwan Engineer, Economics
Mauricio Garcia-Barrera, Psychology
Andrea Giles, Co-op Education
Rishi Gupta, Civil Engineering
Neil Burford, Chemistry
Lisa Kalychnuk, VP Research
Valerie S. Kuehne, VPAC
Adam Con, Fine Arts
Sandra Duggan, Secretary, VPAC
Graham McDonough, Curriculum and Instruction
Patrick Nahirney, Medical Sciences
Sang Nam, Business
Carla Osborne, GSS Representative
Kai Richins, Student Senator
Abdul Roudsari, Health Information Science
Nilanjana Roy, Economics
Dan Russek, Hispanic & Italian Studies
Ada Saab, Associate University Secretary
Ralf St. Clair, Education
Wendy Taylor, Office of the Registrar
Cindy Holder, Humanities
MEMO

To: Nancy Wright (Chair, Senate Committee on Academic Planning), David Capson (Dean, Faculty of Graduate Studies)

From: Adrienne Williams Boyarin (Graduate Advisor, Department of English)

Date: 26 March 2019

Re: Proposal to discontinue the language requirement for the ENGL MA students

CC: Michael Nowlin (Chair, Department of English), Chris Goto-Jones (Dean, Faculty of Humanities), Margaret Cameron (Associate Dean, Research, Faculty of Humanities), Lisa Surridge (Associate Dean, Academic, Faculty of Humanities), Tim Haskett (Chair, Senate Committee on Curriculum)

The Department of English MA program (all degree paths) currently requires that students demonstrate reading knowledge of one language other than English, either through undergraduate coursework or by examination. With fewer relevant language courses offered at UVic, and fewer students entering the program with sufficient undergraduate language training, this requirement is increasingly difficult to complete, negatively affects students’ time to completion (especially when undergraduate language-course timetables conflict with graduate seminars and TA duties), and entails an unfair financial burden on students who must extend their degree time and/or take language courses at other institutions.

On 7 December 2018, the Department of English voted in agreement to discontinue the language requirement for all MA students. Nevertheless, in the Department’s Graduate Handbook, students who plan to continue their studies in a doctoral program will be advised to begin language training during their MA if possible, and those working in areas that traditionally require extensive knowledge of languages other than English for advanced research (e.g., medieval literature) will be advised to work with a supervisor to plan appropriately for related doctoral program applications and career goals.

All associated calendar changes were submitted to the HUMS Curriculum Committee on 15 March 2019, which marks the culmination of extensive consultation between ENGL department members, graduate students, and the Graduate Committee. A Cycle 3 submission is required for this change so that the requirement can be effectively deleted in 2019-2020. Delay will mean that next year’s MA cohort must complete the language requirement, even though we know that it is harming students financially and out of line with comparable institutions across Canada (Queen’s is now the only other English MA program in Canada that has such a language requirement). Finally, the most recent ENGL External Review (2017-2018) recommended that the MA language requirement be abolished.

Sincerely,

Dr. Adrienne Williams Boyarin
Associate Professor and English Graduate Program Advisor
aboyarin@uvic.ca
ENGL Motion (passed 7 December 2018), with Graduate Handbook changes
ENGL MA Language Requirement: details on current requirement, plus tables showing how students have completed language requirements since 2010
Motion from the Graduate Committee – Passed in December 7, 2018 Department meeting

December 2018

Motion
THAT the Department agree to abolish the MA language requirement.

Rationale

The graduate committee unanimously proposes this motion to abolish the language requirement for all MA students. We're leaving the PhD language requirement as it is for the time being.

Although we share the belief that additional languages are highly desirable, this is no longer practical or even possible:

- UVic language departments don't offer the reading courses we used to rely on;
- The language requirement as it stands is no longer working, relying on a limited supply of first-year UVic language courses and online reading courses offered by other universities (such as Athabasca and Wisconsin Madison);
- MA students struggle to fit first-year language courses (which are not reading knowledge courses) into their timetable;
- With the exception of LATI 101 and FRAN 180, none of the courses mentioned in the handbook are offered anymore.
- For some students, fulfilling the requirement significantly delays their degree completion;
- Many students attempt the departmental language exam, to avoid taking a course, and recently most students have failed this exam (largely because they are ill prepared for it, and an increasing number of students have no prior additional language experience);
- The student reps express overwhelming feedback from the MAs that they dislike the requirement;
- Our MA program is out of line with comparable programs: no other MA in English in Canada, except for Queen's, has a language requirement;
- Finally, the recommendation of the departmental external assessors’ report was to abolish the requirement.

Note that MEMS Faculty members agreed to abolish the language requirement, but recommended that the Handbook prompt students to discuss any additional language needs with faculty members.
Proposed Graduate Handbook addition:

6.1.4 Concentration in Medieval and Early Modern Studies (MEMS)

The Medieval and Early Modern Studies concentration is designed for M.A. students who wish to make an intensive study of medieval and early modern literature. Students may declare the concentration at any time. Graduates receive an M.A. in English, with a concentration in Medieval and Early Modern Studies. The substance of the concentration will vary according to individual interests and annual course offerings.

Towards the start of their program, M.A. students in the MEMS concentration are encouraged to discuss whether they have any additional language needs, as appropriate to their concentration and their particular academic interests, with the Graduate Adviser and the MEMS Area Chairs. Note that any language courses taken by M.A. students are in addition to course unit requirements for the program.

Course-Only

ENGL 500 (Textual Studies and Methods of Research) ....1.5 units
Other English graduate courses* ..................................13.5 units
15.0 units
*A minimum of 6.0 units must be in the medieval and/or early modern areas (choosing from ENGL 510, 515, 516, 520, 521, 530, and 531); if necessary, and if the usual conditions are met, students can also obtain concentration credit through Directed Studies or by taking interdisciplinary courses in the Medieval Studies Program. ENGL 502 (Teaching Literature and Composition) may be taken as 1.5 of the units outside the MEMS area.

With Master’s Essay or Project

ENGL 500 (Textual Studies and Methods of Research) ....1.5 units
Other English graduate courses* ..................................9.0 units
ENGL 598 (Master’s Essay or Project) ............................4.5 units
15.0 units
*A minimum of 4.5 units must be in the medieval and/or early modern areas (choosing from ENGL 510, 515, 516, 520, 521, 530, and 531); if necessary, and if the usual conditions are met, students can also obtain concentration credit through Directed Studies or by taking interdisciplinary courses in the Medieval Studies Program. ENGL 502 (Teaching Literature and Composition) may be taken as 1.5 of the units outside the MEMS area.

Students complete a Master’s Essay or Project (max. 10,000 words, plus notes and bibliography) on a topic in medieval and/or early modern areas, and a final oral examination.

Proposed Graduate Handbook deletions:

6 THE ENGLISH M.A. PROGRAM................................................................. 18 6.1
Course Requirements................................................................. 19
6.2Time Limits........................................................................... 23
6.3 Program Fees ................................................................................................................................. 23
6.4 Scheduling of Courses ..................................................................................................................... 24
6.5 Standing .......................................................................................................................................... 24
6.6 Language Requirement ................................................................................................................... 24
6.67 The Course-Only M.A. ................................................................................................................... 25
6.78 The Master’s Essay or Project and Oral Examination ..................................................................... 25
6.89 The Thesis and Oral Examination .................................................................................................. 30

(pg.9) **4.2.3 Recommended Schedule for the Course-Only M.A. with Co-Op**

**Year 1:** Complete two-thirds of required coursework, language requirement (if necessary), and Co-Op orientation.

- September: Register for 3.0 units in the first term (including ENGL 500) and 4.5 units in the second term (or vice versa).

- September to December: Complete first term courses (including ENGL 500). Possibly complete six required Co-Op orientation sessions (starting in September). Possibly take language course to fulfill language requirement OR write language exam in December.

- January to April: Take the courses registered for in September. Possibly complete six required Co-Op orientation sessions if these were not completed in the first term (starting in January). Possibly take language course to fulfill language requirement OR write language exam in March.

April to August: Register for and complete two graduate courses (3.0 units) in the summer session.

*(pg-10)* **4.2.3 Recommended Schedule for the Master’s Essay or Project M.A. with Co-Op**

**Year 1:** Complete coursework, language requirement (if necessary), and Co-Op orientation.

- September: Register for 3.0 units in the first term (including ENGL 500) and 4.5 units in the second term (or vice versa).

- September to December: Complete first term courses (including ENGL 500). Possibly complete six required Co-Op orientation sessions (starting in September). Possibly take language course to fulfill language requirement OR write language exam in December. Discuss Master’s Essay or Project topic and committee membership with supervisor, and by 30 April submit the M.A. Essay/Project Approval Form.
• January to April: Take the courses registered for in September. Possibly complete six required Co-Op orientation sessions if these were not completed in the first term (starting in January). Possibly take language course to fulfill language requirement OR write language exam in March.

(Pg-10) 4.2.5 Recommended Schedule for the Thesis M.A. with Co-Op

Year 1: Complete coursework, language requirement (if necessary), and Co-Op orientation.

• September: Register for 3.0 units in the first term (including ENGL 500) and 4.5 units in the second term (or vice versa).

• September to December: Complete first term courses (including ENGL 500). Possibly complete six required Co-Op orientation sessions (starting in September). Possibly take language course to fulfill language requirement OR write language exam in December.

• January to April: Take the courses registered for in September. Possibly complete six required Co-Op orientation sessions if these were not completed in the first term (starting in January). Possibly take language course to fulfill language requirement OR write language exam in March. Discuss M.A. thesis topic and committee membership with supervisor. Write and submit M.A. thesis proposal by 30 April.

(pg 24) 6.4 SCHEDULING OF COURSES

Students may take no more than 4.5 units of course work in each of the first term (September-December) and second term (January-April) of the Winter Session; however, ENGL 502 and language courses may be taken in addition to these units. Students may take no more than 4.5 units of course work in the Summer Session (May-August). (leaving this in since they still have the option to take a language)

(pg 24/25) 6.6 LANGUAGE REQUIREMENT

Students must demonstrate a reading knowledge of one appropriate language other than English. The language requirement is usually fulfilled by French, German, or Italian, but any other language may be substituted (including a student’s mother tongue if other than English), after consultation with the English Graduate Adviser.

Students can satisfy the language requirement in French, German, or Italian by passing FRAN 180, GMST 405 (an on-line course), ITAL 149, or ITAL 300 respectively (if offered). The minimum passing grade in these courses is “B” (or a “Pass,” if the course is evaluated on a “Pass” or “Fail” basis). Students who wish to satisfy the language requirement by taking online courses offered at other institutions, at grade B or above (or a “Pass,” if the course is evaluated on a “Pass” or “Fail” basis) must receive the prior approval of the Graduate Adviser.

Students in the LWC concentration may use a West Coast aboriginal language to fulfill this requirement if a qualified examiner can be found. Students also have the option of completing this requirement with at least a “B” in LING 401 (Salish) or LING 403 (Dene Athabaskan) (these courses are not offered in 2018/19). Students in the MEMS concentration will normally fulfill the language requirement by a language (Latin, French, Spanish, Italian, etc.) appropriate to both the concentration and the student’s
particular interest. The language should be chosen in consultation with the Graduate Adviser or with the student's Supervisor.

Students should check the undergraduate Calendar to ensure they have the necessary pre-requisites for taking undergraduate language courses, and students must register with a Graduate Course Change form.

Language tests are held in mid-December, mid-March, and mid-July. Students who have a second language at third-year university level on their transcript, with a minimum grade of "B" (or a "Pass," if the course is evaluated on a "Pass" or "Fail" basis), may apply to the Department Graduate Adviser for a waiver of the language test. Sample copies of past tests are available in the English Graduate Office.

Students who have completed three units of second-year course work in a given language are usually able to pass the test. A perfect translation on the language test is not necessary; however, the student must demonstrate a reading knowledge of the language, with a focus on comprehension, and the student must demonstrate an adequate knowledge of the grammar of the source language and the ability to render the source text at a satisfactory level of accuracy and in grammatical English.

- Students who choose to write the language test instead of taking course work will be given a maximum of two chances to pass. Students who fail the test twice will be required to satisfy the language requirement by doing course work.

(5.25) 6.7.3 Sample Chronology for Students Completing a Course-Only M.A. Who Wish to Complete in 16 Months (4 Terms)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>September</th>
<th>Register for 4.5 units in the first term and 4.5 units in the second term.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>Possibly write language exam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>Take the courses you registered for in September.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>Possibly write language exam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>Register for 3.0 units in the Summer Session.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>Possibly write language exam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>Register for 3.0 units for the first term (for a total of 15 units).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>Possibly write language exam. All degree requirements fulfilled.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(5.28) The following conditions must be met in order for the Oral Examination to take place:

- the final draft of the Master's Essay or Project must be submitted to the Supervisor at least 35 working days (and to the Department Member at least 25 working days) in advance of the desired date of the examination (in exceptional circumstances, the Supervisory Committee as a whole may waive these mandated timelines; or individual members of the committee may waive the timelines directly relevant to their own
participation, provided this does not impinge upon the student's meeting the timelines stipulated for other committee members);

- the Master's Essay or Project must be approved by the Supervisory Committee;

- all other requirements for the degree (including the language requirement) must have been satisfied;

   (pg 29) **6.8.5 Sample Chronology for Students Completing a Master's Essay or Project Who Wish to Complete in 12 Months (3 Terms)**

   September    Register for 4.5 units in the first term and 4.5 units in the second term.
   December     Possibly write language exam.
   January      Take the courses you registered for in September.

   **March**   Possibly write language exam.

   (pg 3:6.9.6) The following conditions must be met in order for the Oral Examination to take place:

- the final draft of the thesis must be approved by the Supervisory Committee;

- all other requirements for the degree (including the language requirement) must have been satisfied;

   (pg 35) **6.9.7 Sample Chronology for Students Completing a Thesis Who Wish to Complete in 12 Months (3 Terms)**

   September    Register for 4.5 units in the first term and 3.0 units in the second term (or vice versa).

   **December** Possibly write language exam.

   January      Take the courses you registered for in September.

   **March**    Possibly write language exam.
ENGL MA LANGUAGE REQUIREMENT DETAILS & TABLES SHOWING HOW STUDENTS HAVE COMPLETE THE REQUIREMENT SINCE 2010

LANGUAGE REQUIREMENT (MA)
Students must demonstrate a reading knowledge of one appropriate language other than English. The language requirement is usually fulfilled by French, German, or Italian, but any other language may be substituted (including a student’s mother tongue if other than English), after consultation with the English Graduate Adviser.

Students can satisfy the language requirement in French, German, or Italian by passing FRAN 180, GMST 405 (an on-line course), ITAL 149, or ITAL 300 respectively (if offered). The minimum passing grade in these courses is “B” (or a “Pass,” if the course is evaluated on a “Pass” or “Fail” basis). Students who wish to satisfy the language requirement by taking online courses offered at other institutions, at grade B or above (or a “Pass,” if the course is evaluated on a “Pass” or “Fail” basis) must receive the prior approval of the Graduate Adviser.

Students in the LWC concentration may use a West Coast aboriginal language to fulfill this requirement if a qualified examiner can be found. Students also have the option of completing this requirement with at least a “B” in LING 401 (Salish) or LING 403 (Dene-Athabaskan) (these courses are not offered in 2018/19). Students in the MEMS concentration will normally fulfill the language requirement by a language (Latin, French, Spanish, Italian, etc.) appropriate to both the concentration and the student’s particular interest. The language should be chosen in consultation with the Graduate Adviser or with the student’s Supervisor.

Students should check the undergraduate Calendar to ensure they have the necessary pre-requisites for taking undergraduate language courses, and students must register with a Graduate Course Change form. Language tests are held in mid-December, mid-March, and mid-July. Students who have a second language at third-year university level on their transcript, with a minimum grade of “B” (or a “Pass,” if the course is evaluated on a “Pass” or “Fail” basis), may apply to the Department Graduate Adviser for a waiver of the language test. Sample copies of past tests are available in the English Graduate Office.

Students who have completed three units of second-year course work in a given language are usually able to pass the test. A perfect translation on the language test is not necessary; however, the student must demonstrate a reading knowledge of the language, with a focus on comprehension, and the student must demonstrate an adequate knowledge of the grammar of the source language and the ability to render the source text at a satisfactory level of accuracy and in grammatical English.

Students who choose to write the language test instead of taking course work will be given a maximum of two chances to pass. Students who fail the test twice will be required to satisfy the language requirement by doing course work.

Handbook approved languages offered:
FRAN 300 cancelled, offered from 201009-201805
LING 401 (Salish) or LING 403 (Dene-Athabaskan) no longer exist, they are now LING 301 “Topics in the Structure of an Indigenous Language Family”
FRAN 180: two sections every fall and spring
GMST 405: recent course, offered rarely, 201401 (1), 201601 (1), 201801 (1)
ITAL 149: usually in the summer term, has not been offered since 201605
ITAL 300: was only offered in 201201
Latin 101: offered every fall, usually three sections
Language exams

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th># of students</th>
<th>Passed</th>
<th>Failed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I have records of markers and the amount they marked but the stats do not include which students passed or failed. My email does not go past 2015.

Online Courses:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>U Wisconsin-Madison</th>
<th>Athabasca U</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2 MA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2 MA, 1 PhD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1 MA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Acadia 1MA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Waived due to upper level from previous degree/transfer:

2015: 3 MA
2017: 2 PhD, 3 MA

Mother Tongue

2016: 3 MA, 1 PhD (for both languages)
2017: 2 MA

Language Courses:

**FRAN 300**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>MA</th>
<th>PhD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### FRAN 180

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>MA</th>
<th>Phd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1 (below a B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### LATI 101

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>MA</th>
<th>Phd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### LATI 102

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>MA</th>
<th>Phd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1 (audit)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2 (1 audit)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### LING 401/403

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>MA</th>
<th>Phd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1 (LING 403)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1 (LING 401) below B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### GMST 405

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>MA</th>
<th>Phd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ITAL 149/300

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>MA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1 (ITAL 300)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GER 390 (offered 2009-2012, option in older versions of handbook)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>MA</th>
<th>Phd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Misc:

SPAN 250 B- 2018- MA
MEMO

Date: November 20, 2019

To: Senate

From: Senate Committee on Planning

Re: Proposal to discontinue the concentration in Literatures of the West Coast (LWC) for the Master of Arts in English

At its meeting of November 5, 2019, the Senate Committee on Planning considered the proposal to discontinue the concentration in Literatures of the West Coast (LWC) for the Master of Arts in English, as described in the memorandum dated March 19, 2019.

The following motion is recommended:

That Senate approve the proposal to discontinue the concentration in Literatures of the West Coast (LWC) for the Master of Arts in English, as described in the memorandum dated March 19, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

2019-2020 Senate Committee on Planning
Susan Lewis, (Chair), Acting AVPAP
Graham McDonough, Curriculum and Instruction
Gillian Calder, Law
Patrick Nahirney, Medical Sciences
David Capson, Graduate Studies
Sang Nam, Business
Jo-Anne Clarke, Continuing Studies
Carla Osborne, GSS Representative
Merwan Engineer, Economics
Kai Richins, Student Senator
Mauricio Garcia-Barrera, Psychology
Abdul Roudsari, Health Information Science
Andrea Giles, Co-op Education
Nilanjana Roy, Economics
Rishi Gupta, Civil Engineering
Dan Russek, Hispanic & Italian Studies
Neil Burford, Chemistry
Ada Saab, Associate University Secretary
Lisa Kalynchuk, VP Research
Ralf St. Clair, Education
Valerie S. Kuehne, VPAC
Wendy Taylor, Office of the Registrar
Adam Con, Fine Arts
Cindy Holder, Humanities
Sandra Duggan, Secretary, VPAC
MEMO

To: Nancy Wright (Chair, Senate Committee on Academic Planning), David Capson (Dean, Faculty of Graduate Studies)

From: Adrienne Williams Boyarin (Graduate Advisor, Department of English)

Date: 19 March 2019

Re: Proposal to discontinue Department of ENGL MA Concentration in Literatures of the West Coast (LWC)

CC: Michael Nowlin (Chair, Department of English), Chris Goto-Jones (Dean, Faculty of Humanities), Margaret Cameron (Associate Dean, Research, Faculty of Humanities), Lisa Surridge (Associate Dean, Academic, Faculty of Humanities), Tim Haskett (Chair, Senate Committee on Curriculum)

The Department of English’s MA Concentration in Literatures of the West Coast (LWC) was approved in 2007 and launched in September 2008. In addition to other ENGL units, completion of the LWC concentration requires that students take ENGL 582 (Core Seminar in Literatures of the West Coast) as well as 4.5 units of LWC-tagged courses (for a course-only MA) or 3.0 units of LWC-tagged courses for an MA with an LWC-associated essay/project or thesis.

The LWC concentration has not attracted many students, and there have been increasing structural barriers to staffing LWC-associated courses over recent years. Since 2014, only 8 MA students have graduated with the LWC designation (14 since 2010), and the concentration requires significant human resources through the annual ENGL 582 and LWC-tagged units. Since 2014, LWC students have required 8 sections of ENGL 590 (Directed Reading) to support degree completion. The resources required to run this concentration are thus incommensurate with the number of students it serves (see appended tables).

There is 1 student currently enrolled in the LWC concentration, and he is on target to complete his MA this term (April 2019). No current or incoming students have requested the LWC concentration, and we will not run ENGL 582 in the 2019/20 academic year. On 15 February 2019, the Department of English voted unanimously to discontinue the LWC concentration. All associated calendar changes were submitted to the HUMS Curriculum Committee on 15 March 2019 (for Cycle 3).

All faculty members who teach LWC have been consulted, and HUMS graduate advisors have been notified. There is no need to move to suspend the concentration before discontinuation because the final enrolled student will complete his requirements by the end of April 2019.

Sincerely,

Dr. Adrienne Williams Boyarin

Associate Professor and English Graduate Program Advisor
aboyarin@uvic.ca
### TABLE 1

**LWC Admissions Stats**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of MA applicants</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. who declared LWC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. who came to UVic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. who graduated with LWC*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*These numbers do not indicate the number of LWC students who graduated in that year; rather, they indicate the LWC-declared applicants who came to UVic in that year and then finished their degree with the concentration.

### TABLE 2

**ENGL 582 Enrollment Stats (no. registered in class vs. number of those registrants declared LWC)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number enrolled</th>
<th>Declared LWC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6 (+1 as Directed Reading)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>10 (+1 as Directed Reading)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not all students who declared LWC and took ENGL 582 graduated with the LWC concentration.

Original signed by Chris Goto Jones

Chris Goto-Jones, Dean, Faculty of Humanities

Original signed by Lisa Surridge

Lisa Surridge, Associate Dean, Academic, Faculty of Humanities

cc: Michael Nowlin, Chair, Department of English
Margaret Cameron, Associate Dean, Research, Faculty of Humanities
Tim Haskett, Chair, Senate Committee on Curriculum
At its meeting of November 5, 2019, the Senate Committee on Planning considered the proposed changes to the requirements for all Bachelor’s degrees in the Faculty of Humanities, as described in the memorandum dated September 24, 2019.

The following motion is recommended:

That Senate approve and recommend to the Board of Governors, that it also approve the proposed changes to the requirements for all Bachelor’s degrees in the Faculty of Humanities, as described in the memorandum dated September 24, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

2019-2020 Senate Committee on Planning

Susan Lewis, (Chair), Acting AVPAP
Gillian Calder, Law
David Capson, Graduate Studies
Jo-Anne Clarke, Continuing Studies
Merwan Engineer, Economics
Mauricio Garcia-Barrera, Psychology
Andrea Giles, Co-op Education
Rishi Gupta, Civil Engineering
Neil Burford, Chemistry
Lisa Kalynchuk, VP Research
Valerie S. Kuehne, VPAC
Adam Con, Fine Arts
Sandra Duggan, Secretary, VPAC

Graham McDonough, Curriculum and Instruction
Patrick Nahirney, Medical Sciences
Sang Nam, Business
Carla Osborne, GSS Representative
Kai Richins, Student Senator
Abdul Roudsari, Health Information Science
Nilanjana Roy, Economics
Dan Russek, Hispanic & Italian Studies
Ada Saab, Associate University Secretary
Ralf St. Clair, Education
Wendy Taylor, Office of the Registrar
Cindy Holder, Humanities
MEMORANDUM TO PROPOSE A MAJOR CHANGE TO A PROGRAM

To: Dr. S. Lewis, Chair, Senate Committee on Planning
From: Dr. Chris Goto-Jones, Faculty of Humanities
Date: 6 Nov. 2019

The faculty of Humanities is proposing to change its undergraduate breadth requirement in order to serve four goals:

1. To promote language learning;
2. To align with the UVic International Plan;
3. To align with the UVic Indigenous Plan; and
4. To promote experiential learning.

Background:
Currently, there are 5 requirements common to all Humanities bachelor’s degrees. We propose to modify 2 of the 5. These two, numbered #2 and #3 in the calendar, https://web.uvic.ca/calendar2019-09/pdfs/undergraduate-201909_Part9.pdf, specify the degree of breadth required for the degree. Requirement #2 currently specifies that students must take at least 1.5 units from each of three areas of study from a list spanning from Arts of Canada through Statistics and Mathematics. Most, but not all of these areas, are within the faculty. Requirement #3 specifies that students must take at least 6.0 units outside the Faculty of Humanities.

Proposed revisions:
The proposed revisions are as follows:

Requirement #2 will change to specify **6.0 units outside the student’s area of study**. Students will henceforth be able to choose to take these courses within or outside the Faculty of Humanities.

Requirement #3 will become the new 4.5-unit Humanities **Global Language and Culture requirement**. It will be satisfied in one of two ways:

a) the **on-campus option**: completion of courses in language and culture outside the student’s primary area and drawn from a list including American Sign Language and Indigenous Studies (language courses are especially encouraged).

b) the **off-campus option**: completion of courses from field schools, exchange credit, experiential learning courses, or credit from an international university obtained through a UVic Letter of Permission. These courses can be from within the student’s primary area of study.
Rationale:

These changes reflect strategic priorities and values as follows:

- We believe strongly in the value of language learning for all university students, but especially for those in our faculty. Without mandating that Humanities students must acquire an additional language, we are promoting this as much as possible;
- We believe that a knowledge of diverse cultures is essential not only to individual career success but to the building of a more egalitarian world;
- These changes strongly align our faculty with the UVic International Plan, which endorses learning opportunities for students in which they “gain an understanding of global histories, cultures, [and] languages” and encourages units to provide learning opportunities that increase “intercultural understanding and effectiveness” in order to prepare students to be “global-ready graduates”;
- While not requiring students to study Indigenous languages or cultures, these changes will promote such study, aligning the faculty with the Indigenous plan;
- These changes promote international exchange and study abroad, aligning us strongly with the International Plan; and
- These changes promote experiential learning through field schools, in line with the university’s emphasis on hands-on learning.

Revision and Consultation Process:

We have worked on this requirement for more than two years, taking advice from Advising and the Registrar’s Office in order to clarify and streamline it. We are extremely grateful for the time and care taken by these groups in assisting us in bringing forward this version of the plan.

The report (attached) run by the Registrar’s office in April 2019 indicated that 60% of the graduating class of 2018 (that is, a class that had not set out to meet this requirement) would have met the requirement based on their CAPP reports. Based on this report, the registrar’s office made further suggestions for revisions which Humanities has subsequently implemented in order to minimize confusion, manual oversight, or adjustment.

Implementation Plan:

On the advice of Advising and the Registrar’s office, our faculty has committed to the following to promote student understanding of the requirement:

- In Nov. 2019, our faculty voted to move to early declaration of majors in line with the rest of the tri-faculty to facilitate students’ advance planning to meet this requirement;
- In cycle 2, we will change all program descriptions to draw students’ attentions to the need to satisfy faculty requirements as well as program requirements;
- In fall 2020, we will launch a publicity campaign to inform incoming students of fall 2020 of these changes;
- In Summer 2020, we will prepare a list of FAQs for students and advisors and offer training to all unit advisors.
Signed:

Original signed by Chris Goto-Jones

____________________________________
Chris Goto-Jones, Dean

Original signed by Lisa Surridge

Lisa Surridge, Associate Dean Academic
Requirements Common to All Bachelor’s Degrees

Each candidate for a bachelor’s degree must complete:

1. the Academic Writing Requirement;

2. 6.0 units of courses outside the student’s area of study (with no duplication of courses between items 2 and 3)[1]

3. the 4.5-unit Humanities Global Language and Culture Requirement[2], which can be satisfied with a combination of any of the following:

a) completion of courses in language and culture outside the student’s primary area and drawn from the following (language courses are especially encouraged):

- ASL (American Sign Language)
- EUS (European Studies)
- FRAN (French)
- GMST (Germanic Studies)
- GREE (Greek)
- GRS (Greek and Roman Studies)
- ISP (Intercultural Studies and Practice)
- IS (Indigenous Studies), plus Humanities courses that count for the IS major (ENGL 207, 476, 477, GNDR 100, 203, 308, 310, 340, 341, 343, HSTR 120, 328, 330C, 427, PHIL 209, 232)
- ITAL (Italian)
- LAS (Latin American Studies) including Humanities courses that count for the LAS programs (HSTR 376A, 376B, 376C, 376D, 376E, 476)
- LATI (Latin)
- LING (Linguistics) 156[3], 157[3], 158[3], 159, 180A, 180B[3], 181, 182[3], 183A[3], 183B[3], 184[3], 185[3], 186[3], 187[3], 256[3], 258[3], 259[3], 272, 301, 345, 358[3], 359[3], 372, 377, 379, 391, 397, 431, 456[3], 458[3], 459[3]
- PAAS (Pacific and Asian Studies)
- SPAN (Spanish) and
- SLST (Slavic Studies)

Or,
b) completion of courses from the following options; these courses can be drawn from the student’s primary area of study:

• UVic field schools or experiential-learning courses from the following: EUS 390A, 390B, FRAN 360, 250, GMST 389, 489, GRS 360, 395, 495, HSTR 430, 474, IS 391D, 450, ITAL 204, LAS 320, MEDI 495, PAAS 299, 397, 398, SLST 389, SPAN 204;
• International exchange credit from a UVic partner institution;
• transfer credit from an international university obtained through a UVic Letter of Permission;
• credit for a UVic-recognized study abroad program.

4. at least 21 units of courses numbered at the 300 or 400 level, at least 18 of which must be taken at UVic;

5. a minimum of 60 units of courses, at least 30 of which must normally be completed at UVic.

Students must also attain a graduating grade point average of at least 2.0. See Standing at Graduation for details.

Notes

1. A student completing a degree in two disciplines (e.g. double major) is considered to have met requirement 2.

2. A student completing a program with two or more areas of study (e.g. double major, general) in which one of the areas is EUS, FRAN, GMST, GRS, IS, ITAL, LAS, PAAS, SPAN or SLST is considered to have met requirement 3.

3. Students not in an Indigenous Language Revitalization program may enroll in these courses with permission of the Linguistics department.
As you know, citations for the honorary graduands for whom the Senate approves degrees are written and read at convocation by the university's orators. The citations convey to those attending convocation what the individuals have achieved and why we are recognizing them, as well as communicating to the honorands the university’s recognition and appreciation. The terms of reference for orators are attached.

The university currently has a compliment of orators who have served for a number of years. These orators have done extremely important work and have revered our honorands with sensitivity and eloquence.

Dr. John Archibald has served as an orator since May 2011, and has agreed to continue to serve should Senate re-appoint him.

In addition, in order to increase the number of Orators available for this role, the following list of faculty members have stepped up to also work in this important capacity:

- Valerie Irvine
- Linda Hardy
- Sudhakar Ganti
- Grace Wong Sneddon
- Aaron Devor
- Eric Higgs
- Mary Ellen Purkis
- Helga Hallgrimsdottir
- Stuart MacDonald

**MOTION:**
That Senate re-appoint Dr. John Archibald as Orator for a 3-year term beginning January 1, 2020 and ending June 30, 2022.

**MOTION:**
That the Senate appoint the following as Orators for a 3-year term beginning January 1, 2020 and ending June 30, 2022:

- Valerie Irvine
- Linda Hardy
- Sudhakar Ganti
- Grace Wong Sneddon
- Aaron Devor
- Eric Higgs
- Mary Ellen Purkis
- Helga Hallgrimsdottir
TERMS OF REFERENCE

The Senate of the University of Victoria recognizes and honours individuals for their outstanding achievements by awarding them honorary degrees. Such degrees are presented at Convocation. Orators play a vital role in recognizing and celebrating the recipients. They research, write and deliver citations that articulate for both a general and an academic audience, the reasons why the honorary degree is being granted.

The University maintains a roster of orators, appointed by the Senate, that includes a University Orator, a Deputy University Orator and other orators.

I University Orator

The University Orator will:

1. research and write citations for honorary graduands and read those citations at Convocation;
2. provide instruction and advice to other orators;
3. assign orators to work on particular citations;
4. edit draft citations; and
5. assist in the recruitment and orientation of new orators.

II Deputy University Orator

The Deputy University Orator will:

1. research and write citations for honorary graduands and read those citations at Convocation; and
2. act for the University Orator when he or she is absent.

III Orators

The Orators will:

1. research and write citations for honorary graduands and read their citations at Convocation.
PROCEDURES

1. The University Orator, Deputy University Orator and other Orators will be appointed by the Senate upon the recommendation of the President. The Chair and Vice-Chair of the Convocation Committee will advise the President on such appointments.

2. The Chair and Vice-Chair of the Convocation Committee will seek to appoint as orators members of the university community who:
   - are excellent public speakers;
   - are able to shape biographical materials into a portrait which explains the achievements and qualities of the recipient of the honorary degree (i.e., not a mere c.v.);
   - are available at the times of Convocation (spring, fall); and
   - enjoy seeing students convocate and participating in Convocation.

3. The term of appointment will normally be three years, renewable, from July 1 to June 30.

NOTES

1. Service as an orator is deemed to be service to the university under the Framework Agreement (Joint Committee on the Administration of the Framework Agreement Annual Report 2006).

2. An individual who has not yet been appointed as an orator by the Senate may be invited by the University Orator to prepare and deliver a citation.
Date: November 20, 2019
To: Senate
From: Office of the Registrar
Re: Curriculum & Calendar Project Update and Revision to AC1120 Policy on Calendar Submissions

Proposal

This report outlines the steps taken by the Office of Registrar leading up to and throughout the Curriculum & Calendar project, and provides historical context of the enhancements to policy and procedure that led to the acquisition of technology. This report provides an update of each phase of the project, highlights known and expected changes, and includes a recommendation for Senate to designate the online version of the University Calendar as the authoritative source of record.

Recommended Motion

That Senate approve the revision to AC1120 Policy on Calendar Submissions, Responsibility to Publish (Policy 12.00).

Proposed Policy on Calendar Submissions – Appendix A
Current Policy on Calendar Submissions – Appendix B

Key considerations

The following relevant sections of the University Act reference the manner in which the university academic calendar is published:

University Act:

- Section 37 (1)(n) “Powers of senate of university named in section 3”, it states the following: “to provide for the preparation and publication of a university calendar;”

Background

The growing size, complexity and diversity of undergraduate and graduate academic programs at the University of Victoria have motivated a number of
significant developments within the curriculum and calendar processes. These changes were undertaken in an effort to better meet the institutional requirements, to reduce the manual and cumbersome efforts at the faculty and Senate level, and to provide additional transparency and enhanced consultation. A number of key academic and administrative requirements were considered by a 2012/13 ad hoc Senate committee to consider the curriculum process. A report was approved by Senate in March 2013 which included an in-depth review of the Calendar Submissions Policy (AC1120), including the procedures and processes, and provided subsequent recommendations. A copy of the full report, excluding appendices, has been included as Appendix C.

Since that time, the Office of the Registrar, in partnership with the Senate Committee on Curriculum, has implemented several policy and process recommendations, most notably the shift to a three-cycle curriculum process (Recommendation 1 in the report). Recent highlights also include: the Guide for Calendar and Curriculum Changes, the Curriculum & Calendar Connect site, as well as revisions to the Policy and procedures for Calendar and Curriculum Submissions, AC1120, which were approved by Senate in May 2018.

In addition to the desire to enhance policy and procedure was the subsequent need to acquire technology that would support automated curriculum approval and University Calendar publication processes. The approved policy change needed to be implemented and the shift to a three-cycle curriculum process in May 2014 was a significant operational and administrative change. The intent of this change was to increase the ability to respond to curriculum initiatives and ensure timely delivery of academic programs. Further, an easily-searchable and accessible academic calendar was identified as a requirement to meet the expectations of the university community and better highlight the renowned academic programs that the university offers.

While Senate approved the introduction of the three cycle curriculum process in March 2013 (effective May 2014), the curriculum approval process remained manual. In early 2016, a Request for Proposal (RFP) was initiated and ultimately unsuccessful due to the unique nature of three overlapping curriculum cycles. A second RFP was executed in 2017 and the contract was awarded to Kuali, the vendor for Kuali Curriculum and Kuali Academic Catalog. It is noteworthy that Kuali did not respond to the initial RFP in 2016. In the fall of 2018, the formal Curriculum & Calendar project was launched.

Curriculum & Calendar Project Overview

The goal of the Curriculum & Calendar project is to successfully implement Kuali Curriculum and Kuali Academic Catalog to manage and streamline the curriculum submission and approval processes, as well as publish the University Calendar.

Among the principal benefits of implementing Kuali Curriculum along with Kuali Academic Catalog (University Calendar), are data integrity and assurance. When
managed and approved in an integrated system, the flow of secure data between
the Curriculum Management and the Calendar software is seamless, without the
inherent risks of multiple systems and manual data entry. The software provides
reassurance that the University Calendar content, which serves as the authoritative
contract between the university and its students, is of high quality and accurate.

The project has been executed in two distinct phases. The first phase of the project
focused on the implementation of Curriculum Management, which supports the
online submission of curriculum submission changes; the second phase is focused
on the implementation of Catalog, which supports the publication of online
undergraduate and graduate University Calendars. The project phases are outlined
in the following two sections.

**Curriculum & Calendar Project, Phase 1 – Curriculum Management (Kuali
Curriculum)**

Alleviating the pressure of managing curriculum changes for academic units was the
priority during the first phase of the Curriculum and Calendar project. This entailed
working closely with the vendor to outline University of Victoria’s unique functional
requirements, migrate the current curriculum data into the system, configure the
forms and workflow, and work with the academic units to validate imported content
and ensure accuracy.

The Curriculum Management workflow was designed to follow the Procedures on
Curriculum Submissions in [AC1120](#) (Authority: Procedures 6.00 to 15.00, Processes for
Curriculum Submissions: Procedures 17.00 – 22.00 and Progression of
Approvals: Procedures 23.00 – 34.00), whereby proposals are initiated by the
academic units, sent to Faculty Curriculum Committees, then to Senate Committee
on Curriculum and finally to Senate for approval. Of note, the Senate Committee
processes and procedures for other Senate Committees (i.e., Senate Committee on
Admission, Re-Registration and Transfer, Senate Committee on Academic
Standards, etc.) have remained unchanged, and are out of scope for the electronic
workflow.

Diagrams of the Kuali curriculum workflow for undergraduate and graduate
curriculum are noted in [Appendix D](#), sections 3 and 4. Once all approvals are in
place, the Office of the Registrar will publish the University Calendar on the
university website (AC1120 Calendar Submissions, Responsibility to Publish: Policy
12.00).

After the initial data migration of May 2019 and September 2019 University
Calendar content, extensive validation of course and program data was carried out
by the project team and academic stakeholders from April – October 2019.
Graduate content was reviewed by both the academic unit and a designate from the
Faculty of Graduate Studies. In total, 11,625 courses (5382 active) were managed
with a scripted import; 422 programs and 134 concentrations were manually
imported due to the complex nature of migrating text to a structured database.
Validation instructions and system reporting mechanisms managed by the Office of the Registrar were made available to all stakeholders involved in validation in an effort to ensure that there were no changes to Senate-approved content.

Updates about the project were presented at the March 2019 and August 2019 Senate Committee on Curriculum meetings. Four update memos were sent to Deans, Associated Deans, Chairs, Directors, members of curriculum committees (Faculty and Senate) and all staff involved in the curriculum process; these memos have been included as Appendix E. Additionally, regular updates were included in the monthly OREG Bulletin.

**Curriculum & Calendar Project, Phase 2 - Academic Calendar (Kuali Academic Catalog)**

The aim of the second phase of the Curriculum & Calendar project is to produce refreshed, user-friendly, accessible and accurate undergraduate and graduate University Calendars while maintaining the regular publishing timelines as set out by AC1120. The configuration and development efforts for this phase of the project began in October 2019.

The identified requirements of the revitalized University Calendars are as follows:

- Include content as per AC1120 (Content: Policy 7.00).
- Support for the three annual University Calendar publishing cycles.
- Separate University Calendars for undergraduate and graduate content, with distinct landing pages.
- A refreshed and modern design.
- Improved and highly intuitive search functionality (for courses, programs, etc.).
- Achieve standard accessibility\(^1\) requirements.
- Access to historical University Calendar content via the web and/or via a web-based PDF.
- Provision of a hard copy of the University Calendar to Archives, in accordance with document retention requirements.

The project team has been working closely with the vendor to advocate for the University of Victoria’s functional requirements for the calendars. Among the core functional requirements that were not baseline in Kuali, but essential to the University Of Victoria, included the ability to produce separate graduate and undergraduate calendars. This functionality has been delivered and is currently being tested. As with any software, cloud-based in particular, continuous enhancements will be considered and introduced based on user feedback and ongoing use.

---

\(^1\) UVic Policy: [https://www.uvic.ca/home/about/accessibility/index.php](https://www.uvic.ca/home/about/accessibility/index.php) and Kuali Standards: [https://www.kuali.co/resource/cm-cat-incorporate-accessibility-standards](https://www.kuali.co/resource/cm-cat-incorporate-accessibility-standards)
The anticipated publication date for the first University Calendars using Kuali Academic Catalog is February 2020, for the May 2020 undergraduate and graduate editions.

Discussion

At present, University Systems is working to embed the Kuali academic calendar content using Cascade and develop a user-friendly interface to view content via all web-enabled devices with the same quality and user experience. Senate will be provided with a demonstration of the new University Calendar once it is available.

Prior to the new University Calendar being released, academic units will be provided with an opportunity to proof their content; it is anticipated this will occur in mid-January 2020. Any errors resulting from the migration of content will be corrected to ensure the new University Calendar aligns with content previously approved by Senate. Requests to change content beyond editorial changes will proceed through the normal approval process.

In the meantime, Senate members are advised of the expected changes and benefits:

Expected Changes

In the spirit of modernizing technology to support automated approval and publication processes, this document proposes that the university transition to an online University Calendar being the authoritative source of record, and will benefit from a more modern, searchable and user-friendly design.

At present, campus users make use of the online, PDF, and print calendars which are generated three times a year. While Kuali Catalog will produce a fully functional and user-friendly PDF version of each University Calendar, print calendars will no longer be produced. The output from Kuali is not optimized for print, meaning that there will be an increase in overall white space, causing the page count to increase significantly. As such, it will no longer be environmentally responsible to produce the printed versions. Users will be able to print relevant sections from the PDF at their discretion. The PDF versions of the Academic Calendar, as they are currently, will continue to be fully searchable (for words, phrases, etc.), and provide contents, bookmarks and functional links for intuitive user navigation and a comfortable user experience. The project team met with University Archives on November 29, 2018 and October 29, 2019; Archives has confirmed that the PDF satisfies their requirements in full and expressed no additional concern over the formatting or increased page count.

---

2 David Young, Records Management Archivist, University of Victoria Archives
Previously published versions of the University Calendar will remain available as they are accessed currently (the same web pages). Moving forward, these University Calendars could be managed in a variety of ways, subject to further discussion; this decision is out of scope for Phase 2 of the project. Ongoing dialogue with University Archives, University Systems, University Communications and Marketing, the University Secretary’s office, and other key stakeholders will continue to inform the decision-making process. Options include maintaining one or more years’ worth of University Calendar publications on the UVic website and providing archived University Calendars as PDFs only. No decisions will be made until analytics on web traffic are evaluated in order to accurately balance cost, benefit and service.

Benefits

In addition to the features noted in the previous section, direct benefits for the online Academic Calendar include:

- **Up-to-date** – With the introduction of the three curriculum cycles, the printed calendar is often out of date shortly after printing when the next academic calendar is published.
- **Alignment with current practice in the post-secondary sector** – Technology changes ensure that the online University Calendar is the most accurate and accessible version available worldwide. Many other comparator institutions have transitioned to an online-only, academic calendar, where the authoritative source resides with the online edition(s). Institutions surveyed in the 2012/2013 Environmental Scan include the University of British Columbia, Okanagan Campus, Simon Fraser University, Concordia, University of Manitoba, University of Saskatchewan. Notably, UVic is considered a leader in the full-scale implementation of both Kuali Curriculum and Catalog in Canadian higher education³.
- **Sustainability** – Discontinuing the printed copies will reduce paper waste by approximately 500,000 pages per year⁴; this change reflects our commitment to the Strategic Framework Implementation Plan to be a global leader in environmental, social and institutional sustainability.

Conclusion

The Office of the Registrar respectfully submits this report to inform Senate of the progress on the Curriculum & Calendar project. Further, the report includes a

---

³ Currently, 3 institutions are using Kuali Catalog in production: Southern New Hampshire University, Colorado State University Global, the University of Utah. Of note, the Canadian institutions currently using Kuali Curriculum are the University of Toronto and York University.

⁴ 3-year average based on 2017 – 2019 academic calendar production.
recommendation that Senate approve the designation of the online version of the University Calendar as the authoritative source of record.
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Associated Procedures: Procedures on Curriculum Submissions

Purpose
1.00 The University Calendar includes information for undergraduate and graduate students about relevant university policies and procedures, academic policies and regulations, university fees, courses and programs of study. The purpose of this policy is to outline requirements for publishing the University Calendar and the approval mechanism for changes to the University Calendar.

Scope
2.00 This policy applies to the information published in the University Calendar.

Definitions
For the purposes of this policy:

3.00 Curriculum Submission is defined in the Procedures on Curriculum Submissions.

4.00 Registrar means the university’s Registrar, not the University Secretary, who is the registrar under the University Act.

5.00 University Calendar includes the undergraduate and graduate calendars.

Policy

Authority
6.00 Under the University Act, responsibility for academic governance is vested in the Senate.

6.01 Under section 37(1)(n) of the University Act, Senate has the power to provide for the preparation and publication of the University Calendar.
6.02 Under sections 37 and 40(d) of the *University Act*, Senate has the authority to approve submissions from faculties to make changes to the curriculum.

7.00 The University Calendar will include, but is not limited to:

7.01 general university academic policies and regulations approved by Senate on recommendation of the appropriate Senate Committee;

7.02 faculty or division specific academic policies and regulations approved by the faculties or divisions and/or Senate, as appropriate;

7.03 Curriculum Submissions approved by Senate on recommendation of the Senate Committee on Curriculum and the faculties or divisions in accordance with the Procedures on Curriculum Submissions;

7.04 general information for students on matters within the purview of Senate, approved by Senate;

7.05 general information for students approved by the Registrar;

7.06 information about relevant university policies and procedures approved by the appropriate authority;

7.07 fees approved by the Board of Governors or delegate; and

7.08 academic year important dates approved by Senate.

8.00 The University Secretary may provide direction about which calendar matters listed in section 7.00 require Senate approval and which matters may be approved by the Registrar or other authority.

9.00 The Registrar may make editorial changes to the University Calendar.

**Responsibility to Publish**

10.00 The Registrar, under the authority of the Senate, publishes the official version of the University Calendar three times annually, effective May 1, September 1 and January 1.

11.00 The Registrar will take reasonable steps to ensure accuracy of the University Calendar and will archive the University Calendar.

12.00 The current version of the University Calendar will be published on the university website.

**Authorities And Officers**

i) Approving Authority – Senate

ii) Designated Executive Officer – University Secretary
iii) Procedural Authority – Senate
iv) Procedural Officer - Registrar

Relevant Legislation

*University Act*

Related Policies And Documents

*University Calendar*
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Associated Procedures: Procedures on Curriculum Submissions

Purpose
1.00 The University Calendar includes information for undergraduate and graduate students about relevant university policies and procedures, academic policies and regulations, university fees, courses and programs of study. The purpose of this policy is to outline requirements for publishing the University Calendar and the approval mechanism for changes to the University Calendar.

Scope
2.00 This policy applies to the information published in the University Calendar.

Definitions
For the purposes of this policy:

3.00 Curriculum Submission is defined in the Procedures on Curriculum Submissions.

4.00 Registrar means the university’s Registrar, not the University Secretary, who is the registrar under the University Act.

5.00 University Calendar includes the undergraduate and graduate calendars.

Policy Authority
6.01 Under the University Act, responsibility for academic governance is vested in the Senate.

6.01 Under section 37(1)(n) of the University Act, Senate has the power to provide for the preparation and publication of the University Calendar.
6.02 Under sections 37 and 40(d) of the *University Act*, Senate has the authority to approve submissions from faculties to make changes to the curriculum.

**Content**

7.00 The University Calendar will include, but is not limited to:

7.01 general university academic policies and regulations approved by Senate on recommendation of the appropriate Senate Committee;

6.02 faculty or division specific academic policies and regulations approved by the faculties or divisions and/or Senate, as appropriate;

6.03 Curriculum Submissions approved by Senate on recommendation of the Senate Committee on Curriculum and the faculties or divisions in accordance with the Procedures on Curriculum Submissions;

6.04 general information for students on matters within the purview of Senate, approved by Senate;

6.05 general information for students approved by the Registrar;

6.06 information about relevant university policies and procedures approved by the appropriate authority;

6.07 fees approved by the Board of Governors or delegate; and

6.08 academic year important dates approved by Senate.

8.00 The University Secretary may provide direction about which calendar matters listed in section 7.00 require Senate approval and which matters may be approved by the Registrar or other authority.

9.00 The Registrar may make editorial changes to the University Calendar.

**Responsibility to Publish**

10.00 The Registrar, under the authority of the Senate, publishes the official version of the University Calendar three times annually, effective May 1, September 1 and January 1.

11.00 The Registrar will take reasonable steps to ensure accuracy of the University Calendar and will archive the University Calendar.

12.00 A copy of the current version of the University Calendar will be published on the university website.

**Authorities And Officers**

v) Approving Authority – Senate

vi) Designated Executive Officer – University Secretary
vii) Procedural Authority – Senate
viii) Procedural Officer - Registrar

Relevant Legislation
*University Act*

Related Policies And Documents
*University Calendar*
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I. Background and review of issues with the current process

A curriculum change process needs to meet academic and administrative requirements. The growing size, complexity, and diversity of academic programs at the University of Victoria have contributed to a widespread sense across campus that key academic and administrative requirements are no longer being met by our current curriculum change process. In March 2012, the Senate Committee on Agenda and Governance submitted to Senate a memo outlining the rationale and terms of reference for an *ad hoc* committee to consider the curriculum process at the University of Victoria. The committee was proposed in response to concerns raised in recent years by deans, members of the Senate Committee on Curriculum and others about challenges inherent in the curriculum change process currently in place at UVic. As noted in the memo, some of these challenges are:

- The bulk of curriculum changes are typically done once per year and the timing for implementation of these changes is restrictive.
- The process is cumbersome and much time is spent at the faculty and Senate level reviewing technical changes and editorial matters.
- Consultation across units and faculties is not undertaken consistently or effectively.
- There are no clear rules or processes for referring changes to academic standards to the Senate Committee on Academic Standards, or for referring curriculum changes that constitute program changes to the Senate Committee on Planning.
- Cross-Faculty, interdisciplinary programs do not fit well into the current process.
- The process for considering curriculum changes for graduate courses is confusing, cumbersome and requires adherence to different timelines than undergraduate changes.

In response to concerns such as these, the Senate Committee on Curriculum made some changes and recommendations in 2009/10 and 2010/11. Arising from the January 2011 Senate Committee on Curriculum meetings, for example, was that committee’s recommendation for a second Senate Committee on Curriculum meeting in the spring to consider curriculum changes that support new programs. The committee also recommended that the annual meetings to consider the bulk of the curriculum submissions be held in December rather than January. While the intent of these recommendations was positively received by Dean’s Council, it was felt that they did not do enough to address the concerns that had been raised.

In response to this feedback, and in order to adequately consider and address concerns, the Senate Committee on Agenda and Governance recommended to Senate the creation of an *ad hoc* committee to consider the curriculum process. Senate moved to create the committee at its April 13, 2012 meeting. The *ad hoc* committee’s terms of reference are:

- to undertake a comprehensive review of the current curriculum change process;
to review the curriculum change processes at other Canadian universities, and the systems and technology at the university that are currently used and could be leveraged in the process;

- to consult with the Senate Committee on Curriculum, the Senate Committee on Planning, and members of the faculties and administrative units involved in the curriculum change process; and

- to provide recommendations to Senate on whether revisions to the current curriculum change process are called for and, if so, what these revisions should be, and how they might be reflected in Senate policy and procedures.

The *ad hoc* committee was directed to provide a report and recommendations to Senate by November, 2012. As the chair of the *ad hoc* committee explained to Senate at its November 2, 2012 meeting, the committee decided to extend the time frame of its review in order to thoroughly consider the issues involved, as well as the potential impact of its recommendations on other university processes such as scheduling and calendar production.

### II. Current process

As an early step in considering the current curriculum change process at UVic, the *ad hoc* committee mapped the current process and timeline for the submission and approval of curriculum change proposals. As there is some variation among Faculties in terms of their internal processes before a proposal is brought forward to the Senate Committee on Curriculum, the committee contacted administrative personnel in different Faculties to clarify their processes. A flowchart, or process chart, was created which captures the different routes a proposed change might take on its way to approval (see Appendix A). The flowchart also maps the higher-level processes that are taking place as the proposal is being developed and makes its way through the various levels of approval.

### III. Best practices

The committee has undertaken a review of current practices at UVic and other Canadian universities. This has helped to inform and contextualize the committee’s work. The results have been informative; however, the committee found it easier to collect information on current practices than on best practices. This is consistent with other similar endeavors at other universities with which the committee is aware. For the most part, curriculum change processes have not attracted systematic attention beyond the level of individual universities. In 2002-4, the Association of Registrars of the Universities and Colleges of Canada (ARUCC) commissioned a study and report investigating best practices around the production of calendars, particularly online calendars, at Canadian postsecondary institutions. The project consultant performed an extensive search of material on policies and practices around calendar production.
production but found that there was little published material on the topic. For that reason, the 2004 ARUCC study relied on the results of a survey sent to member schools which garnered responses from about half of ARUCC’s member universities. The project and resulting report provided a good snapshot of then-current practices, and identified some issues and trends that member schools saw as important.

In the case of this report, attempts to review the literature on the subject led to a similar finding: there simply hasn’t been much discussion of “best practices” in the area of curriculum approval processes in post-secondary institutions. As the 2004 ARUCC report mentions, the notion of “best practices” presupposes that there are criteria against which such practices can be measured, and that these criteria are accepted by those working in the field. When that is not the case, “existing practices” need to replace “best practices” as the object of study. To accomplish this, the committee’s research and data collection focused on two areas: the curriculum change approval process currently in place at UVic, and those currently in place at other Canadian universities.

**Best practices at UVic**

In order to assess the current process in place at UVic, the ad hoc committee sought input from UVic deans, members of the senior administration, department and faculty-level administrators responsible for aspects of the curriculum change process, and staff involved in the production of the calendar. In general, the feedback received concerned particular aspects of the process, rather than criticism of the process as a whole. The committee agrees that some key features of the current process are valuable and should be retained. These include:

1. **The situating of curriculum as an academic responsibility of faculty members and academic units.** The University Act grants Senate authority to determine curriculum. All aspects of curriculum design, development, implementation, and change currently are the responsibility of faculty members associated with particular academic units. Administrative assistance is provided largely at the unit level, with some support from central offices. The committee recommends that existing divisions of responsibility between faculty and staff, and between unit and central staff, be maintained, with enhancements to the advice and guidance available centrally (see Recommendation 2, below).

2. **The general progression of approvals.** There is strong support for the current practice whereby curriculum changes originate within the academic unit offering the program in

---

5 For example, integrating the approval process for calendar changes (of any sort) with the technical updating necessary for production of the calendar was identified as a key issue in the ARUCC survey. Also, there was general agreement that a school’s e-calendar (in whatever form that took) ought to be considered the official version of the calendar, rather than the print version. ARUCC presented their “Best Practices and Guidelines for Institutional Calendars” at their 2006 biennial meeting. First on their list of recommendations: “Move solely to the production of an e-calendar.”
question, move through a hierarchy of approvals with consultation and input from those units directly or indirectly impacted by the proposed change, and become part of the official University Calendar after approval at the Senate level. Each Faculty will continue to have a curriculum committee (except Graduate Studies; see recommendation 7, below) and will continue to approve curriculum submissions prior to consideration by Senate Committee on Curriculum. The chairs of Faculty curriculum committees will remain members of the Senate Committee on Curriculum with similar responsibilities for reviewing and approving submissions from other Faculties.

3) The principle that a curriculum change proposal must be well prepared, error-free, and properly vetted in order to progress to the next stage in the process. The onus for ensuring the quality of submissions will continue to be on the initiating unit, assisted by better information and simplified process requirements.

4) The recommendations are intended to be cost-neutral, implying acceptance of the current allocation of resources to the curriculum-change process. Improved processes will spread the workload for faculty and staff more evenly over the year and avoid the current concentration of effort once per year.

**Commonly seen practices at other Canadian universities**

The committee reviewed available information on curriculum change processes at nine Canadian universities. There is wide variation across universities in approval processes and administrative mechanisms, and it is not possible to identify best practices; what is best depends on circumstances at each university, including the provincial legislation establishing the powers of different governing bodies. Curriculum-change processes everywhere are complicated, involving numerous university offices, lengthy time lines, and complex instructions. However, there are approaches that appear with enough regularity at other Canadian universities that it is useful to list them here as examples of best practice:

1) **Categorization of curriculum changes.** While there is no consistent pattern in the categorization of type of curriculum and program change, many Canadian universities use a distinction between course changes and program changes, or a distinction between changes having implications outside of the originating department and those without external implications, as the basis for categorizing curricular changes. When universities do distinguish among different types of changes, the associated approval processes differ depending in part on the legislated powers of different governing bodies.

2) **Administrative approval mechanisms and paths.** Most universities require Senate-level approval for most kinds of curriculum changes. Some schools delegate approval of the more minor class of changes to a Senate-level committee and, at some schools, changes can be
approved at the faculty level if they are clearly minor and have no implications beyond that faculty.

A number of universities use a “challenge” system for curriculum change deemed less substantial (though the definition of substantial varies greatly). In these systems, the unit initiating the change is required to make the proposed change available for review by other units, with those other units given a specified period of time in which to respond with any concerns or questions. Challenge systems essentially put the onus for consultation on units other than the one initiating the change, the reverse of the current situation at UVic. Responsibility for preparing and formatting curriculum change submissions appears to be decentralized to academic units in virtually all other universities, rather than being the responsibility of a central office. This is similar to UVic practice.

Different mechanisms exist for undergraduate and graduate curriculum changes at virtually all universities. Two general patterns for approval processes appear, with many variations. In one, similar to the current practice at UVic, graduate curriculum changes are initiated by the specific unit offering the program and submitted to the school or faculty of graduate studies for its approval prior to incorporation into the calendar or approval by a body equivalent to UVic’s Senate. In the other, line-Faculty approval is required prior to incorporation in the calendar or (if higher-level approval is required) approval by a body equivalent to UVic’s Senate, in most cases accompanied by a mechanism to ensure the proposed changes also have the approval of the school or faculty of graduate studies.

3) Frequency of meetings to consider curriculum changes and of calendar publication. It is common for university bodies that make decisions on calendar changes to meet regularly throughout the year (in contrast to our practice of major Senate Committee on Curriculum meetings once per year.) At most of the schools studied, for example, university-level curriculum committees meet monthly for at least nine or ten months of the year. It is also common to have an academic calendar that changes on two or three dates per year with approved curriculum changes taking effect only on the fixed dates for issuing a new version of the calendar. Annual calendars fixed for a full academic year are uncommon, and none changes on an ongoing basis rather than on fixed dates. This frequency seems to be unrelated to whether a school possesses an electronic system for continuous calendar updating.

4) Provision of information and guidance. There is tremendous variation across universities in the nature and detail of guidance given to units for preparing submissions. Similarly, responsibility for providing guidance is distributed and shared among a wide variety of university offices, including the registrar’s office, the university secretary’s office, and the offices of individual faculties. However, at most of the nine schools, information on the process and the associated policies was easy to find and was accessible from multiple places on the school’s web pages. It was also clear, in most cases, which administrative unit “owned” the information and guided the process and, thus, where one would go to access support or more information.
Information on specific practices at the nine universities surveyed can be found in Appendix C.

IV. Recommendations

The *Ad Hoc* Senate Committee to Consider the Curriculum Process has undertaken a review of the process currently in place at the University of Victoria, has reviewed practices at other Canadian universities, and has solicited and reviewed feedback from stakeholders including Senate members, other faculty members, members of the senior administration, and administrative personnel. Meeting regularly over the summer and fall of 2012, the *ad hoc* committee has discussed and evaluated various proposed changes and their potential to streamline and improve the current curriculum change process.

This section of the report presents the committee’s recommendations. They are organized as responses to the key issues identified by stakeholders and explored by the committee.

**Key Issue: More frequent opportunities to change curriculum are needed to enhance flexibility and allow innovation in academic programming. The current process permits changes only once per year and is slow and cumbersome.**

- **Recommendation 1:** Move from one approval cycle per year to three approval cycles per year.

The current process for curriculum change has one entry point. If a proposal has not been approved at the departmental and faculty level by October or November, it cannot proceed onward to the Senate level for approval in time to be included in the Calendar for the next academic year starting May 1. Moving from a once-yearly to three-times-yearly process will allow the university to implement curriculum changes in a way that is more responsive to the needs of students and increases flexibility for launching new initiatives. It will also allow the Senate Committee on Curriculum to return inadequately prepared submissions to the originating unit for resubmission in the next cycle without causing inordinate delay. The Senate Committee on Curriculum’s terms of reference will be revised to clarify its authority to do so. Spreading the workload across three cycles will enable committees to review and approve proposed changes in a more thorough and timely fashion.

The committee recommends the creation of three approval cycles per year, with approved curriculum changes taking effect at the beginning of each of the three terms, i.e., May, September, and January. The *ad hoc* committee has mapped what a three-cycle year would look like (see Appendix B); it shows the timeframes within which work will be done at each level of the process: unit, faculty, The Senate Committee on Curriculum, Senate, and the Registrar’s
Office (the current cycle is similar to that shown as Cycle 1). Pending the possible adoption of a fully online Calendar, the University will continue to publish a Calendar for the academic year effective May 1, with changes taking effect on September 1 and January 1 published in revised versions by those respective dates. This means there will be May, September, and January versions of the annual Calendar. This could change in future with the adoption of a fully online Calendar.

The adoption of a three-times-yearly cycle has a number of broad implications. Units will not be required to use all three cycles for their own submissions. For example, a department or Faculty will be able to choose to use only one or two cycles, with those unit and Faculty-level decisions determining how often unit and Faculty curriculum committees meet. The Senate Committee on Curriculum will meet three times yearly. Deadlines for submissions to the Senate Committee on Curriculum will be enforced more strictly; curriculum changes that miss the entry point for one cycle can be resubmitted into the next cycle, as can changes that were inadequately prepared or for which consultation was insufficient.

The intention of the three-times-yearly cycle is to provide nimbleness in mounting new programs and new courses as well as to provide flexibility with other curriculum changes. New courses and programs could be initiated for any of the three cycles. Certain other types of changes will need to be submitted during certain cycles. Changes to regulations regarding academic standing, progression, discipline, and deadlines should be submitted in Cycle 1 or Cycle 2, but not Cycle 3, to avoid changes in these regulations occurring in the middle of Winter Session. Changes in program titles also should be introduced in Cycles 1 or 2 to avoid problems with transcripts. UVic’s current processes for timetabling of courses, scheduling of rooms, and student registration are session based, and units need to take this into consideration when planning curriculum changes that involve many courses (e.g., global changes in departmental course abbreviations). In some circumstances it will be best for units to submit such changes in Cycle 1 in order to ensure full consideration with respect to timetabling and rooming requirements. The Calendar Office will provide guidance on appropriate submission cycles for particular types of changes.

The committee also recommends UVic consider reforms to the scheduling and timetable process to take maximum advantage of the flexibility created by a three-cycle curriculum change process. For example, moving to an academic year based on three terms rather than the current two sessions could permit the removal of the restrictions described in the preceding paragraph.

Key issues: Preparation of submissions requires detailed knowledge about academic regulations and about technical aspects of the process, and that
knowledge is not readily available. Ensuring submissions meet technical requirements takes too much effort on the part of faculty, staff, and the Senate Committee on Curriculum.

Recommendation 2: Improve access to, and subsequent application of, knowledge about the curriculum change process.

The current process relies heavily on a small number of faculty members and staff with detailed knowledge to transform ideas about curriculum changes into a form suitable for the Calendar. The difficulty of accessing and applying information about the process and the requirements curriculum submissions must meet is at the root of the difficulties with UVic’s current curriculum-change process. Compared to many other Canadian universities, information is difficult to access, to interpret, and to apply. In many areas practice is based on convention rather than policy and is not applied consistently across campus. Compounding these issues is the lack of clear institutional ownership of the information.

Three categories of information are important: information about academic regulations governing curriculum changes; information about technical formatting and editorial style; and information about process. Much of the relevant information is located in the current Senate approved policy and procedures documents, but many units are unfamiliar with this document and/or have difficulty applying it to their submissions. Those documents and the Senate Committee on Curriculum’s terms of reference will be revised to reflect the recommendations in this report, and to clarify the responsibility and authority of the Senate Committee on Curriculum, the Office of the Registrar, and the Office of the University Secretary.

Specifically, the committee recommends the following:

a) Formalization of expectations that currently exist as conventions into written policies and guidelines under the jurisdiction of specific bodies, all to be approved by Senate;

b) Creation of more user-friendly reference documents outlining academic regulations, editorial and technical policy, and processes, all of which would be applied consistently across the University;

c) Clarification of circumstances under which consultation with other units is required, including examples.
d) Design of new forms (see recommendation 6, below) to prompt those preparing curriculum changes to identify the intent of proposed changes so they can be formatted properly, rather than expecting those preparing curriculum changes to know how to format the submissions (e.g., regarding listing of pre-requisites);

e) Creation of wayfinding documents to guide those preparing curriculum-change submissions at all stages of the process.

f) Creation of detailed timelines, including information about limitations on the ability to accommodate major program overhauls within the timetabling and scheduling process.

Key Issue: Uncertainty about program requirement changes that require approval of a Senate committee other than the Senate Committee on Curriculum means changes occasionally are introduced without proper oversight, and causes delays and additional workload for those preparing and reviewing curriculum submissions or program requirement changes.

➢ Recommendation 3: Ensure calendar changes in areas under the jurisdiction of other Senate committees are considered by the appropriate committee.

The ad hoc committee recommends a number of changes to make it more likely that proposals involving academic standards, admissions, and major program changes are directed to the appropriate Senate committee before they reach the Senate Committee on Curriculum. This will include:

a) As part of recommendation 2, above, improve access to information about which types of changes require which committee’s approval in more user-friendly documents approved by Senate.

b) Create a separate form for program-requirement changes (see recommendation 5, below) to make it easier to identify changes that require the approval of a body other than the Senate Committee on Curriculum. Changes to courses (including the creation or deletion of specific courses) rarely raise broader issues that should go to other committees. Program-requirement change forms could include prompts that indicate
when approval by another committee is required (see recommendations 2c), above, and
6, below).

c) The University Secretary’s representative on the Senate Committee on Curriculum, along
with the Office of the Registrar, will help ensure submissions are directed to the Senate
Committees on Planning, Academic Standards, and Admission, Re-registration and
Transfer, as appropriate. Proposed changes that reach the Senate Committee on
Curriculum without having gone to other required Senate committees will be deferred
until the next the Senate Committee on Curriculum cycle, giving units and Faculties an
incentive to ensure the change goes to the correct Senate committee without penalty of
a year-long delay.

Curriculum for new programs will be considered by the Senate Committee on Curriculum
concurrent with the Senate Committee on Planning’s consideration of the proposal, consistent
with current practice. The Senate Committee on Curriculum’s approval of curriculum will
continue to be contingent upon the Senate Committee on Planning’s and Senate’s approval of
the program. If the Senate Committee on Planning, Senate, or both request program changes
that require greater-than-editorial changes as determined by the AVP Academic Planning, those
curriculum changes will enter the subsequent curriculum change cycle.

Key Issues: Current procedures do not always ensure adequate consultation
among units, and the need for consultation late in the process can cause delays
and extra work.

- Recommendation 4: Improve consultations.

UVic’s traditional system of collegial governance requires that units proposing curriculum
changes initiate consultations with other units that may have an interest in, or be affected by,
the proposed change, though collegial governance does not mean giving any unit a right to veto
changes proposed by another unit. To ensure appropriate consultations take place before
proposed changes reach the Senate Committee on Curriculum, the ad hoc committee
recommends the following:

a) Clarify the need and expectation for consultation in explanatory documents (see
recommendation 2b), above). These will clearly identify the situations under which
consultation is required and the process for doing so.
b) Units proposing changes remain responsible for identifying other units that need to be consulted, for providing information about the proposed changes to the Chair, Director, or Dean of those other units, and for providing evidence of consultation to the Senate Committee on Curriculum. In cases in which consultation between units and their Faculties does not resolve an issue, the Senate Committee on Curriculum has the authority to resolve the issue by approving, rejecting, or (in consultation with affected units) deciding how to modify the submission. The Senate Committee on Curriculum’s terms of reference will be revised to make this explicit.

c) Forms for program-requirement changes and course changes will include prompts to help identify other units that need to be consulted (see recommendation 2b, above).

d) Curriculum-change summary forms will provide other units with information needed to ascertain the impact of a proposed change on their own programs (see recommendation 6, below, for more on summary forms).

e) To ensure all units have an opportunity to review changes before approval, the committee recommends that all proposed changes (not just summary forms) be posted online, accessible to all Deans; Chairs of Faculty Curriculum Committees; other members of the Senate Committee on Curriculum; Associate Deans; Chairs of Departments; Directors of Schools and Interdisciplinary Programs; the Executive Director and program managers in Coop and Career Services; and the Associate University Librarian and subject librarians at least one week before the Faculty meeting at which the changes are to be approved. Faculties will submit the full package of curriculum changes (change forms and summary forms for program requirement and course changes) to the Office of the Registrar at least one week before the Faculty meeting. The Office of the Registrar staff will make submissions available online (consistent with section 6.00 of the current Procedures on Curriculum Submissions) and notify all those with access that the changes are available for review and of the date of the Faculty meeting at which the changes were to be approved.

f) Units not previously consulted can initiate consultations with the unit and Faculty that has submitted a proposed change. Most issues will be resolved informally, but if a unit’s or Faculty’s concerns are not addressed by the unit or Faculty submitting the change, the former could share any unresolved issues with the Senate Committee on Curriculum for its decision under recommendation 4b).

g) The library will be consulted on all course additions and deletions prior to the Faculty meeting at which the changes are to be approved, to enable the library to inform units when current holdings are inadequate to support proposed courses, and to ensure the library has information it needs to plan acquisitions (library consultation
is not required for program changes, since any program changes with library implications will involve course additions or deletions). A system will be created to simplify the library’s acknowledgement of changes for which current holdings are adequate, and the library will communicate directly with any unit proposing changes for which this is not the case (with the communication shared with the Senate Committee on Curriculum). Librarians will not be required to attend meetings of Faculty curriculum committees.

h) Coop and Career Services will be consulted on any programmatic changes affecting coop programs, and on any changes to courses in which Coop and Career Services plays a role. This will be done before the Faculty meeting at which the changes are to be approved. Coop and Career Services will also have access to all changes approved by Faculties, as described above. Coop and Career Services will consult with any academic unit affected by changes it initiates to coop programs (including work experience).

---

**Key Issues: Distinguishing between minor and major changes creates additional work without real benefit. Forms do not distinguish clearly enough between program changes and course changes.**

➢ Recommendation 5: Eliminate the distinction between major and minor changes, and create a distinction between program changes and course changes.

The distinction between major and minor curriculum change currently serves little practical purpose at any level of the approvals process. At the unit level, dividing curriculum changes in this way is confusing, error prone, and labour intensive both for the originator of the proposal and for those supporting the process. It is common to include a change in the wrong category, and the correctness of a given designation is frequently debated at the unit, faculty, and the Senate Committee on Curriculum levels. Having been separated in this way, though, the two types of changes tend to be considered together by faculty curriculum committee chairs and the Senate Committee on Curriculum during the approvals process. Senate approval is required for major changes but not minor changes; however, in practice Senate almost always defers to the Senate Committee on Curriculum on major changes as well (the committee is not aware of any
recent cases of major changes subject to substantive discussion on the floor of Senate). Any rationale for the distinction based on saving paper is no longer relevant, and will be even less so in light of other recommendations in this report. The *ad hoc* committee therefore recommends eliminating this distinction, with the implication that Senate will provide final approval for all curriculum changes (at present, authority for approving minor changes is delegated to the Senate Committee on Curriculum).

Consistent with practice at many other universities, the *ad hoc* committee recommends creating separate forms for program-requirement changes and course changes. Each type of form will have distinct prompts to help users and stakeholders accurately identify the nature of the proposed change (pending completion of the transition to new forms), and will make it easier to determine whether program-requirement changes need to be routed through a different Senate committee (see recommendation #3, above).

### Key Issue: Curriculum change forms are difficult to use and require complicated decisions only loosely related to substantive needs of the process.

- Recommendation 6: Improve the forms used for curriculum change submissions.

The committee recommends that curriculum submission forms be revised to be more user friendly, clear, and comprehensive. Changes likely will need to be introduced in stages, pending possible adoption of curriculum workflow software currently under consideration by the Registrar’s Office.

As noted earlier, current forms for minor and major changes will be replaced by forms for program-requirement and course changes. Both forms will continue to match existing and proposed Calendar language side-by-side. Users will continue to categorize the nature of the proposed change using a typology on the form, as this helps users and reviewers consider the potential implications of the change and the consultation required to ensure the impact on other units is taken into account. The typologies will be revised to ensure they provide information Senate needs to guide its review of the proposed changes. Users will also be required to provide a more descriptive rationale, since many changes are not fully explained at present. The form will continue to query the user about consultation with other units, consistent with recommendations in #4, above.

All program changes for a unit or program will be combined into one document, and all course changes for a unit or program will be combined into a separate document.
Separate summary forms will be created for program changes and course changes, using the typology of proposed changes noted above to describe each change. Pending the possible adoption of curriculum workflow software, summary forms will continue to be created using Word documents but will be kept separate from the program-change and course-change forms. Organizing the forms in this fashion will make it easier for other units to review proposed changes before approval at the Faculty and Senate levels; those responsible for reviewing proposed changes can begin with the summary forms and check the full documents if they need additional information.

Pending future developments, the committee recommends that new submission forms that incorporate the changes just described be created for the 2013-14 curriculum change cycles. These could take the form of revised Word documents, or form-fillable, saveable pdfs to provide greater clarity for users and improve the accuracy and completeness of submissions. By using fillable text boxes, check boxes, and drop-down lists, and by making mandatory the completion of certain fields, the forms can guide the user in the completion process. Using pdfs also creates the potential to automatically extract key pieces of information to create summary sheets and sort proposals by type.

Depending on the availability of information-system resources, the final stage will be the adoption of a fully online submission process using curriculum workflow software.

**Key Issues:** The process for graduate curriculum changes varies across Faculties; in some cases this leads to inadequate scrutiny, while in other cases there can be duplication of effort.

- Recommendation 7: Improve the graduate curriculum change approval process.

At present, the process for considering curriculum changes for graduate courses and programs varies between Faculties; some units send graduate changes to their line Faculty for approval before those submissions go to the Faculty of Graduate Studies, while others send changes directly to the Faculty of Graduate Studies. In both cases, the submissions are reviewed in the Faculty of Graduate Studies Dean’s Office and approved by the Faculty of Graduate Studies Executive Committee and by Faculty of Graduate Studies before being sent to the Senate Committee on Curriculum for review and approval. Graduate level changes must be submitted to the Office of the Registrar around a month before undergraduate changes so they can be reviewed by the Faculty of Graduate Studies Dean’s Office and approved by the Faculty of Graduate Studies Executive Committee in time to be packaged with the undergraduate changes.
and reviewed by the Senate Committee on Curriculum. The “dual track” nature of the process creates confusion and creates situations where changes at the graduate level are not brought before the line faculty which has responsibility for administering the courses and whose own curriculum may be affected by those changes.

The *ad hoc* committee recommends that all graduate curriculum changes be reviewed and approved by the relevant line Faculty as well as the Faculty of Graduate Studies. Each Faculty will decide whether to have a distinct graduate curriculum committee or a single curriculum committee responsible for undergraduate and graduate changes. As is currently the case with undergraduate curriculum changes, units will submit graduate curriculum changes to the line Faculty curriculum committee prior to approval by the Faculty. Once the line-Faculty curriculum committee has approved graduate curriculum changes, they will be submitted simultaneously to the line Faculty and the Faculty of Graduate Studies. Graduate curriculum changes require approval of both the line Faculty and the Faculty of Graduate Studies Executive Committee in order to be submitted to the Senate Committee on Curriculum. Simultaneous submission to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and the line Faculty puts the onus on the unit proposing changes to ensure full consultation in advance.

Changes to the Faculty of Graduate Studies section of the graduate Calendar will continue to be the responsibility of the Faculty of Graduate Studies, with those changes routed through the appropriate Senate committee (normally the Senate Committee on Academic Standards for academic regulations, the Senate Committee on Admission, Reregistration and Transfer for admissions, etc).

---

**Key Issue:** Curriculum change processes for cross-Faculty interdisciplinary programs are unclear and are cumbersome in relation to the small scale of these programs.

- **Recommendation 8:** Simplify the process for approving curriculum changes for cross-Faculty interdisciplinary programs.

At present, curriculum changes for cross-Faculty interdisciplinary programs are supposed to be approved by all of the participating Faculties. With as many as six participating Faculties, this is cumbersome given the small scale of the programs and their limited staff support. The *ad hoc* committee recommends streamlining the process, in part by taking advantage of the new Office
of Interdisciplinary Academic Programs. The Office is presently responsible for oversight of the following programs: Cultural, Social, and Political Thought (graduate); European Studies (undergraduate); Human Dimensions of Climate Change (undergraduate); Indigenous Studies (undergraduate); Social Dimensions of Health (graduate); Social Justice Studies (undergraduate); and Technology and Society (undergraduate). A number of additional programs are under development.

The ad hoc committee recommends the Director of the Office of Interdisciplinary Academic Programs, in consultation with each program, identify one Faculty as the “lead Faculty” for curriculum changes for that program. Such curriculum changes will be submitted to the lead Faculty’s curriculum committee, and to the Chairs of the Faculty Curriculum Committees of the other participating Faculties. The Chairs of Faculty Curriculum Committees other than that of the lead Faculty will determine whether proposed changes are of sufficient import to require review and approval by that Faculty as well as by the lead Faculty. Proposed changes for interdisciplinary programs will be posted online at least six weeks before the Senate Committee on Curriculum meeting at which the submissions will be considered, to create sufficient time for review by other academic units and to ensure adequate time for review by Faculties other than the lead Faculty.

Key Issue: The responsibility, membership, and authority of key bodies (the Senate Committee on Curriculum, the Office of the Registrar, the Office of the University Secretary, and Faculty curriculum committees) in some areas are not outlined sufficiently clearly, causing uncertainty when an issue cannot be immediately resolved.

- Recommendation 9: Clarify the responsibilities, membership, and authority in relation to curriculum of Faculties, Senate Committee on Curriculum, the Office of the Registrar, and the Office of the University Secretary.

The ad hoc committee recommends revision of the curriculum change policies and procedures to more clearly identify the responsibilities and authority of various bodies involved in the curriculum approval process. These will be outlined in the revised calendar policy and
procedures document being drafted by the ad hoc committee to incorporate the recommendations in this report, and which requires approval by Senate. In addition, the committee recommends the harmonization of certain practices across Faculties to ensure adequate review and consultation. Each Faculty’s curriculum committee will be required to have a representative from the Office of the Registrar (including the Graduate Admissions and Records Office, where appropriate) and from the Faculty’s academic advising office. Faculties will be required to ensure the library, Coop and Career Services, and other potentially affected units have been consulted prior to Faculty approval of curriculum changes. Each Faculty curriculum committee will be required to provide the Senate Committee on Curriculum a report summarizing the volume and character of changes it approves.

The ad hoc committee also considered the issue of including more explicit guidance concerning the criteria the Senate Committee on Curriculum should use when reviewing and approving curriculum submissions. The current terms of reference give the Senate Committee on Curriculum responsibility “To review the major curriculum changes proposed by the Faculties prior to submission to Senate”, but do not identify any criteria to guide its review. For example, the ad hoc committee discussed, but did not take a position on, whether the Senate Committee on Curriculum should be mandated to consider issues such as the quality of academic programs or the consistency of curriculum changes with overall University goals. The committee also briefly discussed whether the Senate Committee on Curriculum should have academic representation beyond the chairs of Faculty curriculum committees, given the potential conflicts of interest they face, but also did not take any position on this issue.

The committee believes issues such as these are important to consider but go beyond the mandate it was given by Senate, which focused on process issues. The committee recommends that Senate consider how best to review the policy issues surrounding the Senate Committee on Curriculum mandate and terms of reference.

V. Implementation

Key Issue: The proposed revisions to the curriculum review process will require significant institution-wide changes. Changes to the process should be assessed and revised following implementation.

- Recommendation 10: The ad hoc committee undertake a review of changes to the curriculum review process and provide a report to Senate one year after implementation.
This report is being presented to Senate for approval at the February 2013 Senate meeting. The ad hoc committee will revise the report as necessary in response to feedback it receives from Senate and other stakeholders to be consulted in January and February 2013. The revised report will be brought to the March 2013 Senate meeting for approval. If Senate approves the report, its recommendations will be incorporated into revised Calendar and Curriculum Policy and Procedures (University Policy AC1120), and those will be brought to the April 2013 Senate meeting for approval. The new policy and procedures will take effect for the 2013-14 academic year. The ad hoc committee will remain in operation in 2013-14 to address any unanticipated issues. One year after implementation of the new policy and procedures, the ad hoc committee will review the changes to the curriculum change process and provide a report to Senate on their impact and any recommendations for further revision.
Appendix D – Proposal Workflows

1. Curriculum proposal workflow:

All curriculum proposals are subject to the consultation requirements as outlined in AC1120, Authority: Policy 6.00–15.00. These steps are recorded and comments, acknowledgements and all other “actions” taken are also recorded in a detailed Audit Log for historical integrity.

2. Access and System transparency:

The system is highly transparent by design. All members of faculty have access to all Kuali Curriculum content. Only the system administrator (or designate), Chair or Chair designate (i.e., any “approver” in Kuali) can edit online proposal content. Changes can only be made up until the Faculty Curriculum Committee approval step (below). Any changes requested by the Chair of the Senate Curriculum Committee would be done by a member of the Curriculum and Calendar unit in the Office of the Registrar only in advance of submission to Senate.

3. Undergraduate curriculum workflow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal creator</th>
<th>Academic Unit Curriculum Committee</th>
<th>Faculty Curriculum Committee</th>
<th>Faculty Meeting</th>
<th>Senate Curriculum Committee</th>
<th>Senate</th>
<th>Calendar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consultation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Acknowledge action - leave comments. Email sent to proposal creator.
- Approval action - approve, send-back, reject. Email sent to proposal creator.
4. Graduate curriculum workflow:

5. Senate sub-committees:
The academic calendar also includes content approved by other university Senate committees (e.g. Senate Committee on Admission, Re-Registration and Transfer, Senate Committee on Academic Standards, Senate Committee on Agenda and Governance, and the Senate Committee on Planning) and by administrative units in the University. Calendar content includes all criteria listed in Policy 7.00 (Content) and itemized in 7.01 – 7.08 including, but not limited to content approved by: the Registrar and the Board of Governors (or delegate).

Kuali Curriculum Management has the functionality to manage workflow for these types of proposals; however, the creation of this workflow is considered to be out of scope for this project. The Office of the Registrar will continue to work closely with the Office of the University Secretary, and the Associate Vice-President Academic Planning (for the Senate Committee on Planning) to track approvals for the purpose of publishing an accurate academic calendar.

6. Senate:

Functionality is currently being developed to produce reports for inclusion in the Senate docket. There are two components to these reporting requirements:

1. A summarized report of all curriculum changes; this will provide Senate with an overview, highlighting all proposed curriculum changes and new content.
2. A comprehensive report for the Senate docket that includes all curriculum proposals.

Further updates will be provided when these reports are available.
Dear Curriculum colleagues,

Thank you for your interest in the Curriculum & Calendar (Kuali) Project. I am writing to provide an update on the work completed thus far, what is planned next, and some important information about how the project is unfolding. As you may know, the vendor Kuali offers UVic two separate products, one for managing the workflow of curriculum submissions (Curriculum Management – Kuali CM) and the other for producing the academic calendar (Catalog – Kuali CAT). Alleviating the pressure for academic units has been our priority and so the implementation of Kuali Curriculum Management has been prioritized in the project implementation. Work completed thus far:

Although Kuali provides the software, much is involved in setting it up to meet UVic’s needs. Over the fall of 2018, the project team worked on initial access provisioning and the configuration of course and program forms and workflows. Each form and workflow has been configured to reflect UVic policy (AC1120), curriculum guidelines and UVic’s needs as identified during the requirements gathering project.

Once these initial configuration steps are complete, content can be migrated into the Kuali Curriculum Management system. Data migration is a significant task which will allow future changes to draw upon previously approved content,
providing an immediate benefit to users as they always make changes on the latest approved calendar entry.

I am pleased to let you know that these initial configuration steps are nearly complete and course data migration is underway. As a first step in validating the configuration, an overview of the workflow was demonstrated at the December 2018 Senate Committee on Curriculum (SCC) meeting. However, since curriculum changes go through many steps before the academic calendar is published, it is important that we validate each step along the workflow. As such, short videos have been made to demonstrate what academic unit users will see as they create an initial curriculum proposal. These videos, along with some frequently asked questions, are available on the Curriculum & Calendar Project site. We encourage you to review the videos and provide us with any feedback or questions that you may have.

What’s next:

In the next few months, the project team will begin working on the migration of program content into Kuali Curriculum Management. The project team will continue to work directly with key stakeholders as specific requirements are identified.

Later in the spring, further information will be provided to share training sessions and resources for Kuali CM. The Kuali CM target go-live date is May 2019 to allow campus users to become familiar with the new software over Summer 2019, in preparation for work on Cycle 1, 2020 (May 2020) in Fall 2019.

Calendar publication:

Initially, the project team had planned to configure Kuali CAT to publish the September 2019 Calendar. However our early explorations have identified that some restructuring of content will be necessary to publish a calendar that meets UVic’s and students’ needs using Kuali CAT.

To maintain our May 2019 go-live timeline, a decision has been made to defer the implementation of Kuali CAT (academic calendar) and separate the Curriculum & Calendar Project into two separate phases (Phase 1: Kuali Curriculum Management and Phase 2: Kuali Academic Calendar).

Given that implementing Kuali CM is the identified priority, the project team will continue to work on migrating content so that Kuali CM can be used to manage curriculum changes. During the first phase of the project, the Office of the Registrar will continue to produce the academic calendar using its current technology (Adobe FrameMaker). The look and feel of the current calendar will remain the same, and users will continue to use the academic calendar in the
same manner that they always have. Once Phase 1 of the project is further along, an anticipated Phase 2 project timeline will be developed; this will include consultation and any proposals for changes will come to SCC and Senate.

Thank you again for your continued interest and support as we move ahead with this long-awaited project. If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to connect with me at aregr@uvic.ca / 250472-5975.
Dear Curriculum colleagues,

Thank you for your interest in the Curriculum & Calendar (Kuali) Project. I am writing to provide an update on the work completed thus far, what is planned next, and some important information about how the project is unfolding. The update is subsequent to information that was circulated on January 9, 2019. As previously mentioned, Kuali offers UVic two separate products: Curriculum Management (Kuali CM) for managing the workflow of curriculum submissions and Catalog (Kuali CAT) for producing the academic calendar. Alleviating the pressure for academic units has been our priority so the implementation of Kuali CM has been prioritized in phase 1 of the project implementation.

January – March 2019 progress update:

The following tasks are completed or in progress:

- **Short videos** demonstrating creation of a proposal and submission to workflow have been created to seek feedback from academic unit users.
- Single-Sign On configuration and access provisioning (initial user list)
- Specifications have been gathered for the Data Capture Utility (Timetable) and Banner input reports
- All course data has been migrated into the configured course forms and work is underway to set up the linkages between courses in prerequisites and mutually exclusive notes (configuration of the ‘rules gadget’)
• Program forms and workflows have been configured. Initial data migration is underway and work has just started on moving program content into the ‘rules gadget’ (this sets up the relationship with the courses). Please note that migration of program content is a significant, multi-stage task. This work is anticipated to carry on throughout summer 2019 – see details below.

One significant update to share is a further refinement to the scope of Phase 1: Kuali CM. Given the complexity of program content, a decision was made to reduce the scope of Phase 1 to enable the software to go live in a phased approach that begins mid-May 2019.

The revised plan for Phase 1: Kuali CM is as follows:

1. **Courses**: All academic units and faculties (undergraduate/graduate) will be able to use Kuali CM for course submissions, effective June 2019. This will allow users to become familiar with the software and leverage immediate benefits, such as access to the most recently approved calendar entry, track changes functionality, and dependency reporting.

2. **Programs**: A select pilot group of faculties will begin to use Kuali CM for program submissions, mid-May 2019. Faculties not participating in the pilot will continue to use the current paper-based process. The pilot group consists of:
   - Peter B. Gustavson School of Business (undergraduate/graduate)
   - Faculty of Engineering (undergraduate/graduate)

These faculties have been selected based on program content structure, resource availability (project team and faculty), estimated scope, and commitment by the faculties to prioritize participation. Lessons learned from the pilot group will be incorporated into the implementation and training for the remaining faculties.

All faculties should continue to use the current paper-based process for Cycle 3 (January 2020), for both course and program proposals. The Curriculum & Calendar team will work to migrate this data into Kuali in advance of Kuali CM implementation.

Academic units which are preparing for Cycle 1 (May 2020), are advised to use the current paper-based process for program curriculum changes. If academic units are starting work on course curriculum changes before Kuali CM is made available to them (June 2019), the current-paper based process is recommended. The Curriculum & Calendar team will work with academic units to migrate the course
and program curriculum proposals into the appropriate stage of the workflow after course and program content is migrated and validation in Kuali CM has been completed.

What's next:

In the next few months, the project team will continue to work on migrating program content into Kuali CM. Anticipated completion dates will be determined once the complexities of content migration and validation unfold. We sincerely appreciate all support provided from academic units to respond to our questions that relate to procedural workflow requirements or to validate content. Later in the spring, further information will be provided to share training sessions and resources for Kuali CM. The Kuali CM target go-live date is June 2019 to allow campus users to become familiar with submitting course proposals using the new software over Summer 2019, in preparation for work on Cycle 1, 2020 (May 2020).

Thank you again for your continued interest and support as we move ahead with this long-awaited project. If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to connect with me at aregr@uvic.ca / 250472-5975
Dear Curriculum colleagues,

Thank you for your continued interest in the Curriculum & Calendar (Kuali) Project. I am writing to provide a further update to the March 14, 2019 memo and to share some important information about how the project is unfolding.

As previously mentioned, Kuali offers UVic two separate products: Curriculum Management (Kuali CM) for managing the workflow of curriculum submissions and Catalog (Kuali CAT) for producing the academic calendar. Alleviating the pressure for academic units has been our priority so the implementation of Kuali CM has been prioritized in phase 1 of the project implementation.

I’m excited to announce that, as of today, all the content from the September 2019 Calendar will have been migrated into Kuali CM. We now request that all faculty and academic units (undergraduate and graduate), with the exception of the Peter B. Gustavson School of Business and the Faculty of Engineering, validate the migrated content in Kuali CM. Validation is required to ensure academic unit sign-off is achieved prior to using the system to propose curriculum changes. Once this has occurred, the academic unit may begin to use Kuali CM for Cycle 1 curriculum changes. The team will work with academic units and faculties on any updates identified as part of the validation process.

Academic units within the Faculty of Engineering, and the Peter B. Gustavson School of Business have completed the validation of migrated data as part of the Pilot Group and can begin to use Kuali CM fully as of July 15, 2019.

What's next?

The Curriculum & Calendar team will refine training materials, available on Connect, and offer support throughout the summer and beyond.

Drop in sessions are scheduled as follows (drop in at any time):

Where: HSD A150
When: Every Wednesday, July 10 to August 28, 10:00 am – 11:30 am
The July sessions are primarily intended for validation while the later sessions are intended for training and curriculum change support. Additional sessions may be scheduled if there is demand.

We sincerely appreciate all support provided from academic units to respond to our questions that relate to procedural workflow requirements or to validate content.

Thank you again for your continued interest and support as we move ahead with this long-awaited project. Additional information about this project is available on the Curriculum & Calendar Project site. If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to connect with me at aregr@uvic.ca / 250-472-5975
Dear Curriculum colleagues,

Thank you for your continued interest in the Curriculum & Calendar (Kuali) Project. I am writing to provide a further update to the July 9, 2019 memo on the work completed thus far, the anticipated next steps, and some important information about how the project is unfolding.

As mentioned in previous project briefings, Kuali offers UVic two separate products: Curriculum Management (Kuali CM) for managing the online workflow of curriculum submissions, and Catalog (Kuali CAT) for producing the academic calendar. Alleviating the pressure and manual efforts for the academic units has been our primary goal, so the implementation of Kuali CM has been prioritized as phase 1 of the project implementation.

Since mid-July, all faculties and academic units (undergraduate and graduate) have been involved in validating migrated curriculum content in the Kuali CM system. We sincerely appreciate the efforts of the academic units to validate curriculum content in a timely manner and would like to extend a special thank you to all those involved! Validation of curriculum content is essential before Kuali CM can be used for fullscale management of curriculum proposals.

Kindly note that the final deadline for all data validation is October 25th, 2019. Completing validation by this deadline will allow academic units to use Kuali CM for Cycle 2 (September 2020) as well as support the Curriculum Project team in accurately configuring the academic calendars during Phase 2 (Kuali CAT) of the
If your academic unit needs support with any of the validation steps, please reach out to the Curriculum team for assistance.

In anticipation of the final push to complete data validation, as well as to offer ongoing support, Kuali dropin sessions will continue throughout the fall term. These informal training and support sessions take place **every Wednesday morning from 10:00 – 11:30 in HSD A150**.

If you are looking for additional training resources, please remember to bookmark the Kuali Curriculum and Calendar Project Connect Site. There is a wealth of new content including updated FAQ’s, tips for using the course and program rule gadgets and a brand new training video library that we will be adding to on a regular basis.

Finally, we are pleased to announce that phase 2 of the Curriculum & Calendar project is now underway. Phase 2 involves the planning and implementation of the Kuali CAT module which will result in modern and accurate undergraduate and graduate academic calendars. A further update will be provided later this fall once an anticipated release date is known.

Once again we would like to thank you for your continued and ongoing support and interest as we move ahead with this long-awaited and greatly anticipated project. Additional information about this project is available on the Curriculum & Calendar Project site. If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to connect with me at aregr@uvic.ca / 250-472-5975.