Measurement of the Higgs boson properties with the ATLAS experiment

Manuela Venturi (University of Victoria)

CAP 2015 Congress, Edmonton, 15.06.2015

Introduction

In 2012, the ATLAS collaboration reported the discovery of a resonance compatible with the Higgs boson, as predicted by the Standard Model, at a mass around 125 GeV.

Results with the full Run1 dataset (25 fb⁻¹ at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV) on the properties of the new resonance will be presented here, for the individual decay channels and their combination.



Latest/final ATLAS results for Run1

- Mass:
 - Measurement of the Higgs boson mass from the $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ and $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$ channels with the ATLAS detector at the LHC [Phys.Rev. D90, 052004 (2014)]

• Spin and Parity

• Combination of the Higgs boson spin and parity analyses of the Higgs boson in the $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$, $H \rightarrow WW^* \rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \nu$ and $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ final states [ATLAS-CONF-2015-008]

Couplings:

• Measurements of the Higgs boson production and decay rates and couplings using pp collisions data at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV in the ATLAS experiment [ATLAS-CONF-2015-007]

Off-Shell Width

 Determination of the off-shell Higgs boson signal strength in the high-mass ZZ and WW final states with the ATLAS detector [arXiv:1503.01060]

• Total and differential cross section:

 Measurements of the Total and Differential Higgs Boson Production Cross Sections Combining the H→γγ and H→ZZ*→4ℓ Decay Channels at √s = 8 TeV with the ATLAS Detector [arXiv: 1504.05833]

Mass Results

Mass measurement approach

- Model-independent measurement
 - fit the spectra of the reconstructed invariant masses, without assumptions on signal production and decay yields
- Narrow peak expected in both channels (1.6 2 GeV resolution), over a smoothly falling background
- Final Run1 results (25 fb⁻¹ $\sqrt{s} = 7+8$ TeV) improve with respect to previous publications on electron and photon calibration, muon momentum scale uncertainty, event categorisation



Two unconverted photons, m = 126.9 GeV



Manuela Venturi

 Excellent identification and measurement (energy, direction) of photons thanks to the design of the EM calorimeter

 $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

- Selection:
 - Two photons in $|\eta| < 2.37$
 - p_T > 20 GeV (7 TeV data)
 - p_T > 25, 35 GeV (8 TeV)
 - Tight identification criteria (shower shape), isolation, association with primary vertex
 - Further cuts on the diphoton E_T
 - Mass window (105,160) GeV







- 95k (17k) candidates in 8(7) TeV data, split into ten categories:
 - converted/unconverted x p_T criteria x η range
 - maximising S/B ratio and mass resolution
- Signal model: Crystal Ball for the bulk + wide Gaussian for the tails
- Background (mostly irreducible SM $\gamma\gamma$) model: fit to data
- Combined fit to the ten categories, in the S+B hypothesis
- Mass and signal strength (assuming gluon fusion only) are treated as parameters of interest

 $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$

- High S/B ratio in this channel (~2 in the mass window 120 130 GeV), despite the low statistics, and excellent mass resolution
- 4μ (1.6 GeV mass resolution), 4e (2.2 GeV), 2μ2e, 2e2μ
 - Selection:
 - Four leptons, quality criteria applied
 - Muons in $|\eta| < 2.7$, electrons is $|\eta| < 2.47$
 - p_T > 20, 15, 10, 6 (7 if electron) GeV
 - Invariant masses of same-sign pairs must be close to Z mass
- Data-driven estimations for the backgrounds
- BDT discriminant trained against the irreducible ZZ* background, input variables:
 - p_T and eta of 4ℓ system
 - Matrix Element discriminant

$$D_{ZZ^*} = \ln\left(\frac{\left|\mathcal{M}_{sig}\right|^2}{\left|\mathcal{M}_{ZZ}\right|^2}\right)$$

 Combined fit to (BDT, m(4ℓ)) in the mass window (110,140) GeV



Higgs boson properties with the ATLAS experiment

4mu

Individual and combined results

Channel	Mass measurement [GeV]	Signal str
$H\to\gamma\gamma$	$125.98 \pm 0.42 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.28 (\text{syst}) = 125.98 \pm 0.50$	(in terms of
$H \rightarrow ZZ$ llll	$124.51 \pm 0.52 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.06 (\text{syst}) = 124.51 \pm 0.52$	
Combined	$125.36 \pm 0.37 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.18 (\text{syst}) = 125.36 \pm 0.41$	1.29 ± (

 $\Delta m_H = 1.47 \pm 0.67 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.28 \,(\text{syst}) \,\text{GeV}$

 $= 1.47 \pm 0.72 \text{ GeV}$

compatible with 0 in 1.97σ

Signal strength
in terms of
$$\sigma$$
Width (GeV)
at 95% C.L. 1.29 ± 0.30 Γ
(expected 4.2) 1.66 Γ
(expected 3.5)

Profile likelihood ratio, treating mu(4 ℓ) and mu($\gamma\gamma$) as independent nuisance parameters:

$$\Lambda(m_H) = \frac{L(m_H, \hat{\mu}_{\gamma\gamma}(m_H), \hat{\mu}_{4\ell}(m_H), \hat{\theta}(m_H))}{L(\hat{m}_H, \hat{\mu}_{\gamma\gamma}, \hat{\mu}_{4\ell}, \hat{\theta})}$$

Mass measurement uncorrelated to the signal yield:



Combination of signal strengths and couplings

The Kappa Framework

Assumptions

Handbook of LHC Higgs Cross Sections: 3. Higgs Properties [arXiv:1307.1347]

- All observed signals originate from a <u>single, narrow resonance</u>
 - due to the narrow-width approximation, production and decay factorise
- The tensor structure is the one predicted by the SM, $J^{PC}=0^{++}$
- Also assuming SM production and decay kinematics
- The production/rate decay can vary, according to the signal strength μ =

$$\mu = \frac{(\sigma \cdot BR)_{obs}}{(\sigma \cdot BR)_{SM}}$$

• The couplings can also vary, according to $g_{H\,ii}
ightarrow \kappa_i \cdot g_{H\,ii}$

Thus in general:

$$\sigma \cdot \mathrm{BR}(i \to H \to f) = \frac{\sigma_i^{\mathrm{SM}} \cdot \Gamma_f^{\mathrm{SM}}}{\Gamma_H^{\mathrm{SM}}} \cdot \frac{\kappa_i^2 \kappa_f^2}{\kappa_{\mathrm{H}}^2}$$

• Combine all available channels using a simultaneous maximum likelihood fit with the following test statistic (in the <u>asymptotic approximation</u>):



Combined signal strengths





Combined results on couplings

Many interesting results in several different scenarios, just a few examples here



Manuela Venturi

Spin and Parity Quantum Numbers

Spin/parity measurement approach

The spin/parity SM assignment, J^P=0⁺, can be tested against alternative models: fixed-hypothesis test: 2⁺, 0⁻, 0⁺ with higher-order operators, CP mixing: mixture of spin-0 states, implying CP violation in the Higgs sector

- <u>Higgs characterization Model</u> from Madgraph5 (effective field theory, cut-off scale $\Lambda = 1$ TeV)
- All bosonic channels used (only ZZ and WW for spin-0 studies)
 - in all cases, <u>only the most sensitive categories</u> are used
- Spin=2: <u>Higgs-like graviton-inspired resonance</u>, with universal [gravity-like] and non-universal couplings to quarks and gluons (in various kg, kq fractions)
- NLO effects lead to a tail in p_T^H for a spin-2 Higgs-like boson when jets are present > cut on p_T^H to preserve unitarity

Choi	ce of QCD couplings	$p_{\rm T}^X$ cut-off (GeV)		
$\kappa_q = \kappa_g$	Universal couplings	_	_	
$\kappa_q = 0$	Low light-quark fraction	300	125	
$\kappa_q = 2\kappa_g$	Low gluon fraction	300	125	



Spin: sensitive variables



Parity: sensitive variables





Final discriminants

Most sensitive bins of the BDT discriminant after subtracting post-fit background from the data:



Fixed-hypothesis results

Combined results for SM 0⁺ vs a fixed alternative hypothesis: all non-SM models excluded at > 99% CL



Manuela Venturi

CP-mixing results



Scanning on possible components of CP-odd or CP-even higher-orders mix with SM (only one at a time).

Same BSM couplings assumed for *ZZ* and *WW*.

No significant deviation from pure SM composition found.

Manuela Venturi

Off-Shell width results

Off-shell width approach

For high masses ($m_{VV} > 2m_V$), sensitivity to Physics beyond the SM can be achieved via off-shell Higgs boson production and interference effects, negligible around 125 GeV.

$$\sigma_{\text{off-shell}}^{gg \to H^* \to VV} \text{ independent from the total width } \Gamma_{\text{H}}:$$

$$\mu_{\text{off-shell}}(\hat{s}) \equiv \frac{\sigma_{\text{off-shell}}^{gg \to H^* \to VV}(\hat{s})}{\sigma_{\text{off-shell}}^{gg \to H^* \to VV}(\hat{s})} = \kappa_{g,\text{off-shell}}^2(\hat{s}) \cdot \kappa_{V,\text{off-shell}}^2(\hat{s})$$

while the on-shell term depends on Γ_{H} :

$$\mu_{\text{on-shell}} \equiv \frac{\sigma_{\text{on-shell}}^{gg \to H \to VV}}{\sigma_{\text{on-shell}, SM}^{gg \to H \to VV}} = \frac{\kappa_{g,\text{on-shell}}^2 \cdot \kappa_{V,\text{on-shell}}^2}{\Gamma_H / \Gamma_H^{SM}}$$

• Therefore, assuming identical on/off-shell couplings k, the total width Γ_H can be indirectly constrained

• Alternatively, assuming this only for VBF but not ggF, and fixing $\Gamma_H = \Gamma_{H, SM}$, the gluon ratio can be constrained:

$$R_{gg} = \kappa_{g,\text{off-shell}}^2 / \kappa_{g,\text{on-shell}}^2$$



Interference is negative over the whole mass range

SM $\Gamma_{\rm H}$ = 4.12 MeV at m_H=125.4 GeV

High-mass spectra of $H \rightarrow ZZ, WW$

 $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$

 $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 2\ell 2V$

 $H \rightarrow WW^* \rightarrow ev\mu v + jets$



Matrix element discriminant built with the topological observables

Transverse mass to account for the presence of neutrinos

New variable $R_8 > 450$ GeV:

$$\mathsf{R}_8 = \sqrt{m_{\ell\ell}^2 + \left(a \cdot m_{\mathrm{T}}^{WW}\right)^2}.$$

to reject on-shell Higgs boson decays

Combination of on- and off-shell results

Measurement of $\Gamma_{\rm H}/\Gamma_{\rm H}^{\rm SM}$ assuming $\kappa_g = \kappa_{g,{\rm on-shell}} = \kappa_{g,{\rm off-shell}}$ and $\kappa_V = \kappa_{V,{\rm on-shell}} = \kappa_{V,{\rm off-shell}}$ for both ggF and VBF, as in the SM.

There is no prediction for NLO corrections to the $gg \rightarrow VV$ background at high mass, thus results are given as a function of R^B, in the range (0.5, 2).

	(Observe	d	Median expected		lian expected Assumption		
$R^B_{H^*}$	0.5	1.0	2.0	0.5	1.0	2.0		FOR $R^{D} = 1$
$\Gamma_H/\Gamma_H^{\rm SM}$	4.5	5.5	7.5	6.5	8.0	11.2	$\kappa_{i,\text{on-shell}} = \kappa_{i,\text{off-shell}}$	$I_{\rm H} < 22.7 \text{ (exp 33.0) MeV}$



Combination of on- and off-shell results

Measurement of $R_{gg} = \kappa_{g,off-shell}^2 / \kappa_{g,on-shell}^2$ assuming $\kappa_V = \kappa_{V,off-shell} = \kappa_{V,off-shell}$ for VBF only, while ggF can deviate (different k_g on/off shell). Also assuming $\Gamma_H = \Gamma_H$ (SM).

Like before, results as a function of RB.

	Observed			Median expected			Assumption
$R^B_{H^*}$	0.5	1.0	2.0	0.5	1.0	2.0	
$R_{gg} = \kappa_{g,\text{off-shell}}^2 / \kappa_{g,\text{on-shell}}^2$	4.7	6.0	8.6	7.1	9.0	13.4	$\kappa_{V,\text{on-shell}} = \kappa_{V,\text{off-shell}}, \Gamma_H / \Gamma_H^{\text{SM}} = 1$



Production Cross Section at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV

Total cross section

Combination of ZZ and $\gamma\gamma$ measurements at 8 TeV, ~30% improvement on individual results. Common mass of 125.36 GeV assumed.

THEO-EXP compatibility:

- p-value = 5.5% for LHC-XS
- 9% for ADDFGHLM

Same trend as a function of $N_{jets} > 1$:



Differential cross sections

- Measured as a function of the Higgs p_T and |y|, and of leading jet p_T .
- Comparison to NNLO computations.
- Need more data to study the shapes and verify the observed deviations.



Conclusions

The final results for the measurement of the Higgs boson properties, with the full Run1 dataset (25 fb⁻¹ at sqrt(s)=7 and 8 TeV) collected and analysed by the ATLAS collaboration, have been presented.

All the aspects of the Higgs boson physics have been explored, finding no significant deviation from the Standard Model expectations. Looking forward to Run2 results at 13 TeV, coming up later this year!

	Combination of channels	Results
Mass	γγ, ΖΖ	125.36 ± 0.41 GeV
Spin	$\gamma\gamma$, WW	 2+ universal 2+ non-universal
Parity	WW, ZZ	 0- excluded 0+h
CP-mixing	WW, ZZ	 K_{AVV}/K_{SM} tan(α) in (-2.2, 0.8) at 95% CL K_{HVV}/K_{SM} in (-0.7, 0.6) at 95% CL
Cross section (8 TeV)	γγ, ΖΖ	σ _{pp}
Off-shell width	WW, ZZ	Гн

Outlook to Run2

Much more on Run2 prospects in Pierre Savard's plenary talk on Thursday

Run2 Higgs analyses will be dominated by **systematic** uncertainties



Manuela Venturi

Back up

HWW analysis strategy



Boosted Decision trees used as discriminants:

- BDT0: train SM signal vs background
- BDT2: train ALT signal vs background
- Both BDT0 and BDT2 use as input: $m(\ell\ell)$, $\Delta \phi^{\ell\ell}$, $p_T^{\ell\ell}$ m_T^{track}
- Combine (BDT0, BDT2) and fit the 1d projection
- BDT0: train SM signal vs background (as for spin)
- BDT_{CP}: train SM signal vs ALT signal:
 - BSM CP-odd: $m_{\ell\ell}, \Delta \phi_{\ell\ell}, E_{\ell\ell\nu\nu}$ and $\Delta p_{\rm T}$
 - BSM CP-even: $m_{\ell\ell}, \Delta \phi_{\ell\ell}, p_{\rm T}^{\ell\ell}$ and $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$

$$E_{\ell\ell\nu\nu} = p_{\rm T}^{\ell_1} - 0.5 p_{\rm T}^{\ell_2} + 0.5 E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} \qquad \Delta p_{\rm T} = p_{\rm T}^{\ell_1} - p_{\rm T}^{\ell_2}$$

Training performed for the pure CP hypothesis only, <u>no retraining</u> for the various CP fractions

CP violation in the Higgs sector

