



University  
of Victoria

Centre for Addictions  
Research of BC



UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO  
DALLA LANA SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH



JOHNS HOPKINS  
BLOOMBERG  
SCHOOL of PUBLIC HEALTH



DALHOUSIE  
UNIVERSITY  
*Inspiring Minds*



# Strategies to Reduce Alcohol-Related Harms and Costs in Canada:

## A Comparison of Provincial Policies

## **Strategies to Reduce Alcohol-Related Harms and Costs in Canada: A Comparison of Provincial Policies**

**Norman Giesbrecht**, Senior Scientist, Centre for Addiction & Mental Health, Toronto

**Ashley Wettlaufer**, Research Coordinator, Centre for Addiction & Mental Health, Toronto

**Nicole April**, Médecin-conseil, Institut national de santé publique du Québec, Québec City

**Mark Asbridge**, Associate Professor, Dalhousie University, Halifax

**Samantha Cukier**, Doctoral student and Research Fellow, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore

**Robert Mann**, Senior Scientist, Centre for Addiction & Mental Health, Toronto

**Janet McAllister**, Health Promoter, Centre for Addiction & Mental Health, London

**Andrew Murie**, Chief Executive Officer, Mothers Against Drunk Driving, Oakville

**Chris Pauley**, Research Coordinator, Dalhousie University, Halifax

**Laurie Plamondon**, Research Assistant, Institut national de santé publique du Québec, Québec City

**Tim Stockwell**, Director, Centre for Addictions Research of BC, Victoria

**Gerald Thomas**, Policy Analyst, Gerald Thomas & Associates, Summerland, BC

**Kara Thompson**, Research Associate, Centre for Addictions Research of BC, Victoria

**Kate Vallance**, Research Associate, Centre for Addictions Research of BC, Victoria

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge funding from the [Canadian Institutes of Health Research](#) in support of the project “Reducing Alcohol-Related Problems by Implementing Evidence-based Tools that Translate Research Knowledge into Prevention Practice”, (Principal Investigator: Norman Giesbrecht). We would also like to gratefully acknowledge receipt of data from the Provincial Liquor Boards as well as from the Provincial Ministries of Finance, Health and Ministries responsible for the control and sale of alcohol in each province. The information they provided was critical to the analyses employed. Finally, we thank Mothers Against Drunk Driving (MADD) Canada for permission to use materials collected for their 2012 Provincial and Territorial Review. We would like to acknowledge feedback on the selection of policy dimensions and scoring template provided by Thomas Greenfield, Esa Österberg and Robin Room. We especially thank Francois Benoit, Denise DePape, and Robert Strang for their contributions to the project. We also thank Ann Dowsett Johnston for her input and guidance throughout the project. The in-kind support provided by our co-investigators’ organizations is gratefully acknowledged. With regard to Norman Giesbrecht and Robert Mann’s contributions, support has been provided by the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long Term Care to the Centre for Addiction and Mental Health for the salary of scientists and infrastructure. Mark Asbridge is supported, in part, by a Canadian Institutes of Health Research New Investigator Award. The views and opinions expressed in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the perspectives or policies of the organizations acknowledged.

Suggested citation:

Giesbrecht, N., Wettlaufer, A., April, N., Asbridge, M., Cukier, S., Mann, R., McAllister, J., Murie, A., Plamondon, L., Stockwell, T., Thomas, G., Thompson, K., & Vallance, K. (2013). Strategies to Reduce Alcohol-Related Harms and Costs in Canada: A Comparison of Provincial Policies. Toronto: Centre for Addiction and Mental Health.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report provides a systematic and comparative review of policies and programs across all Canadian provinces which have the potential to reduce the considerable health and social harms from alcohol. The overall objective is to encourage greater uptake of these practices and thereby improve public health and safety in Canada.

### Background

Alcohol is consumed by over 80% of Canadian adults and in many instances is used in moderation (Ialomiteanu et al., 2012). However, alcohol is associated with a wide range of harms such as acute injuries, trauma, and violence. Alcohol use is also associated with the development of many chronic diseases (Rehm et al., 2009) and is one of the leading causes of disease and disability in the Americas (Lim et al., 2012). There is a strong line of research demonstrating that increases in alcohol consumption, and hazardous drinking patterns are associated with increases in a range of alcohol-related harms (Norström, 2007; Ramstedt, 2008; Rossow, 2004; Skög, 2003; Rehm, et al., 2008; Anderson et al., 2009a; Babor et al., 2010). Recent data from Canada indicate that alcohol consumption increased by 13% between 1996 and 2010 (Statistics Canada, 2011) and that approximately 20% of drinkers drink above the Canadian low-risk drinking guidelines (LRDGs) (Ialomiteanu et al., 2009; Canadian Public Health Association, 2011).

Several factors may be driving these developments, including a gradual shift towards privatization, increased access to alcohol, extensive marketing and increased acceptability of alcohol use in Canadian society. A system-level response is required in order to curb consumption and reduce these alcohol-related harms and associated costs. Several types of alcohol policy have been shown to be effective in not only reducing population levels of damage, but also modifying the behaviour of high-risk drinkers (Edwards et al., 1994; Babor et al., 2010; Smart & Mann, 2002).

### Methods

*Development of the project model:* This project builds on the model implemented by MADD Canada, which documents the implementation of effective impaired driving policies in Canada and thereby encourages the uptake of these practices by provincial governments. The 10 policy dimensions included in our assessment were based on well established and rigorous systematic reviews on the effectiveness of alcohol prevention measures. Implementation of these policies was assessed for a recent year in all Canadian provinces.

*Development of the assessment criteria:* Each policy dimension was weighted according to its potential to reduce harm from alcohol and to reach the entire population. A set of measures (indicators) was developed to assess each of the 10 different dimensions. The assessment criteria were peer reviewed by three external international alcohol policy experts. Feedback from the external reviewers was used in order to refine the scoring criteria.


*Verification and scoring of the data:* Data on existing policies were collected from official sources and from contacts at the ministries responsible for the sale and control of alcohol and from the ministries of health and finance. A first “pilot” round of scoring was conducted independently by two members of the project team in order to verify the reliability of scoring and to ensure sufficient data had been collected to assess each of the 10 policy dimensions and their respective indicators.

The team members were unaware of which province they were scoring. Additional data were then collected as required from each province. Once complete, the data was sent to representatives from the relevant ministries for verification. Once the data was verified for accuracy and completeness the final scoring of the data took place. Any discrepancies in scores were resolved by the Principal Investigator.

*Calculating the final scores:* In order to calculate the provincial scores for each policy dimension, the indicator scores were tabulated to obtain a raw score out of 10. To calculate the total weighted score for each province across all 10 policy dimensions, the raw scores for each policy dimension were weighted and summed. All the scores are expressed as a percentage of the ideal score.

## Results

### Total Weighted Scores by Province



In each main policy dimension there are examples of promising policies; however, the average national score fell below 50% of a perfect score. Overall, Ontario, British Columbia and Nova Scotia received the highest scores while Quebec, PEI and Newfoundland and Labrador received the lowest scores. A notable nation-wide strength was the implementation of policies pertaining to the legal drinking age and enforcement, while the lowest average national score was for the warning labels and signs policy dimension. The average national scores for the top five most potent policy levers for reducing alcohol consumption and related harms all fell below 60% of a perfect score. Examples of exemplary pricing practices were identified across several provinces but no province excelled in all areas of this dimension. Less than half of the full potential was achieved in each of the policies examining the control system, the physical availability of alcohol and efforts to deter impaired driving. Finally, there was significant variation in the degree to which provincial strategies target alcohol issues and the degree to which provinces have implemented screening, brief intervention and referral (SBIR) practices. Overall, these results indicate that there is still much unrealized potential

for achieving public health and safety benefits through effective alcohol strategies that exemplify a public health and safety approach to alcohol.

## **Recommendations**

*Policy-specific recommendations:* In order to reduce harm from alcohol, provinces are encouraged to:

- Set minimum prices at a level that will discourage excessive consumption and that apply to all alcohol sales as well as index alcohol prices to inflation and set prices according to their alcohol strength.
- Maintain government monopolies by preventing further privatization of alcohol sales channels and uphold a strong social responsibility mandate.
- Place upper limits on the density of outlets and limit the availability of alcohol in the early morning and late at night.
- Implement the legislative priorities pertaining to licensing, sanctions and remedial programs highlighted by MADD Canada in their 2012 report.
- Extend provincial controls on marketing and advertising beyond those outlined in the Code for Broadcast Advertising of Alcoholic Beverages to include further restrictions and to streamline and formalize the enforcement process.
- Set a minimum drinking age of 19 years of age (at least) and track challenge and refusals to encourage enforcement of the legal drinking age.
- Develop a provincial alcohol strategy in each province to guide progress and establish alcohol as a topic worthy of urgent attention.
- Highlight Screening, Brief Intervention and Referral (SBIR) as a priority area in the provincial alcohol strategy; support the uptake of the SBIR resource released by the Canadian Centre on Substance Abuse (CCSA) and the College of Family Physicians of Canada (CFPC); and implement SBIR fee for service codes.
- Implement mandatory server training and challenge and refusal programs that have been shown through evaluation to reduce over-service or service to minors.
- Disseminate mandatory alcohol warning messages, with clear health messages on a variety of topics, on alcohol packaging as well as at point of sale.

### *General recommendations*

- In line with recommendations made by the WHO in the Global Strategy on Alcohol (2010), a significant step forward would be for all provinces to monitor and report rates of alcohol-related harm on an annual basis, to document policies and prevention strategies, using a public health lens, and to exchange information on these efforts in a systematic way.
- The different government sectors and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) that deal with alcohol issues are encouraged to collaborate on matters pertaining to alcohol and to pilot and evaluate the impact of proposed policy changes.

## **Conclusions**

There is much unrealized potential for achieving public health and safety benefits from effective alcohol policies. Moving forward, provincial authorities, in collaboration with public health and safety stake-holders, are urged to strengthen their policies as highlighted in this report. In order to reduce alcohol-related harm in Canada, there must be concerted action on more than one dimension, with an emphasis on both population-level policies and interventions which target high-risk drinkers.