University of Victoria Neuroscience Graduate Program
Supervisory Committee’s Student Progress Report

Student Name: _________________________                      V#: __________________
Program Start Date: _____________________                    Degree Sought: _________
Current Meeting Date: _________________   Previous Meeting Date: ______________

Scholarly Contributions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total #</th>
<th># First author?</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Publications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other Measures of Progress (see Instructions) :
________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________

Short-term objectives (to be completed within ~3-6 months)
Long-term objectives (to be completed within 6-12 months)

Progress Recommendations:                                         Yes  No

Transfer to PhD Program                                               □  □
Proceed to Candidacy Exam                                             □  □
Approval of Requested Elective                                        □  □
Coursework Complete                                                   □  □
Write Thesis                                                          □  □
Defend Thesis                                                         □  □

Elective Permission Requested: __________________________________________
Evaluation of Progress (check one; see definitions below):

☐ Excellent  ☐ Good  ☐ Needs Improvement  ☐ Unsatisfactory

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>The student has met/exceeded all expectations and made exceptional progress on identified experimental timeline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>The student has met most expectations and has made significant progress on the identified experimental timeline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs Improvement</td>
<td>The student has met some but struggles to meet most expectations and experimental progress is below what is reasonably expected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>The student has not met expectations and/or the student has committed a serious Code of Conduct violation. Should such a violation arise, a student may be expelled from the program.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the case of an unsatisfactory assessment the committee must specify a desired time for the next meeting. Two sequential unsatisfactory assessments are sufficient grounds for removal from program.

By signing this document, the student and committee members acknowledge that the experimental plan and timeline should proceed as stated. Progress being made towards these ends will be assessed at the next committee meeting.

Signatures

____________________________________   ______________________________________
Committee Member                        Committee Member

____________________________________   ______________________________________
Committee Member                        Committee Member

____________________________________   ______________________________________
Student

If any committee member is absent from the meeting described above this form must be circulated and any concerns regarding the experimental plan should be made within 10 business days of receipt.
Note: If progress is deemed unsatisfactory this report must be forwarded to the Graduate Advisor for assessment and signature below.

_______________________________       ______ _________________________
Graduate Advisor                        Date