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UVic Course Experience Survey - Summer 2018

Project Audience 4389
Responses Received 1885
Response Ratio 43%

Creation Date Mon, Nov 19, 2018
I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions

   - Very Poor (1%)
   - Poor (3%)
   - Adequate (12%)
   - Good (32%)
   - Excellent (53%)
   [Total (1855)]

2. The instructor’s explanations of concepts were clear

   - Very Poor (3%)
   - Poor (8%)
   - Adequate (18%)
   - Good (33%)
   - Excellent (39%)
   [Total (1851)]

3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course

   - Very Poor (5%)
   - Poor (9%)
   - Adequate (19%)
   - Good (28%)
   - Excellent (39%)
   [Total (1848)]

4. The instructor was available to answer your questions or provide extra assistance as required

   - Very Poor (2%)
   - Poor (4%)
   - Adequate (15%)
   - Good (33%)
   - Excellent (47%)
   [Total (1844)]

5. The instructor ensured that your assignments and tests were returned within a reasonable time

   - Very Poor (4%)
   - Poor (6%)
   - Adequate (16%)
   - Good (33%)
   - Excellent (42%)
   [Total (1851)]

6. The instructor was helpful in providing feedback to you to improve your learning in this course

   - Very Poor (3%)
   - Poor (9%)
   - Adequate (21%)
   - Good (32%)
   - Excellent (35%)
   [Total (1850)]
7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students and their ideas

8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>1850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>1847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

1. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>1869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. The materials provided for learning the course content (e.g. handouts, posted material, lab manuals) were clear

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>1864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>3.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>1859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>3.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. The course provided opportunities for you to become engaged with the course material, for example through class discussions, group work, student presentations, on-line chat, or experiential learning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>1854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning in the course were fair

Statistics
Response Count: 1864
Mean: 3.96
Median: 4.00
Standard Deviation: 1.03

6. The course provided relevant skills and information (e.g. to other courses, your future career, or other contexts)

Statistics
Response Count: 1862
Mean: 4.04
Median: 4.00
Standard Deviation: 1.07

7. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience

Statistics
Response Count: 1864
Mean: 3.91
Median: 4.00
Standard Deviation: 1.09
III Statements About The Students:

My primary reason for taking the course.

- Interest (424)
- Program requirement (1314)
- Reputation of Instructor (67)
- Reputation of course (17)
- Timetable fit (47)

[Total (1869)]

The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend

- Missed fewer than 3 (1019)
- Missed 3-10 (530)
- Missed 11-20 (123)
- Missed more than 20 (44)

[Total (1716)]

Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was

- Extremely heavy (156)
- Somewhat heavy (472)
- Average (993)
- Somewhat light (202)
- Extremely light (46)

[Total (1869)]

The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:

- Less than 1 (141)
- 1 to 2 (402)
- 3 to 5 (747)
- 6 to 8 (373)
- 9 to 10 (113)
- More than 10 (93)

[Total (1869)]

As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:

- Decreased (273)
- Stayed the same (697)
- Increased (897)

[Total (1867)]
IV Additional Statments:

The instructor uses teaching aids effectively (blackboard, overheads, visual aids and/or any other technology)

If the course had multiple instructors, how does it compare to courses with a single instructor?
If the course had a major project worth 20% or more of the final grade, the project contributed to my overall understanding of the course material

![Bar chart showing responses to the statement about major projects.](chart1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>3.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the course required team-work, how effective was the team learning experience compared to individual study

![Bar chart showing responses to the statement about team-work.](chart2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>3.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If the course had a lab, the lab contributed to the overall understanding of the course material

The instructor displays a good understanding of the material being presented
The instructor uses the blackboard/overhead and/or visual aids effectively

(Courses with labs) The laboratories contributed to my understanding of the course material

(Courses with tutorials) The tutorials contributed to my understanding of the course material
(Courses with a major project, i.e. 20% or more of the final grade) The project contributed to my understanding of the course material

The way the assignments were weighted (as a proportion of the final grad) was fair and logical.

The workload was manageable and spread evenly throughout the length of the course.
The instructor spoke in a clear and concise manner.

The course provided a balanced and thorough examination of the subject.

Please answer only if you are evaluating a seminar: The instructor adequately guided the discussion so that objectives were met within each class.
My Instructor gave time in class to complete this survey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not apply (online course, field course, etc.)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>