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UVic Course Experience Survey - Fall 2017

Project Audience 1716
Responses Received 752
Response Ratio 44%

Creation Date Tue, Jan 23, 2018
I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions

- Very Poor: 1%
- Poor: 3%
- Adequate: 7%
- Good: 29%
- Excellent: 61%

Total: 792

Statistics
- Response Count: 792
- Mean: 4.48
- Median: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.76

2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear

- Very Poor: 1%
- Poor: 5%
- Adequate: 14%
- Good: 37%
- Excellent: 43%

Total: 790

Statistics
- Response Count: 790
- Mean: 4.16
- Median: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.91

3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course

- Very Poor: 3%
- Poor: 6%
- Adequate: 14%
- Good: 32%
- Excellent: 45%

Total: 786

Statistics
- Response Count: 786
- Mean: 4.12
- Median: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.02

4. The instructor was available to answer your questions or provide extra assistance as required

- Very Poor: 0%
- Poor: 1%
- Adequate: 11%
- Good: 33%
- Excellent: 55%

Total: 784

Statistics
- Response Count: 784
- Mean: 4.42
- Median: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.75

5. The instructor ensured that your assignments and tests were returned within a reasonable time

- Very Poor: 2%
- Poor: 3%
- Adequate: 13%
- Good: 31%
- Excellent: 60%

Total: 758

Statistics
- Response Count: 758
- Mean: 4.24
- Median: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.94

6. The instructor was helpful in providing feedback to you to improve your learning in this course

- Very Poor: 2%
- Poor: 4%
- Adequate: 18%
- Good: 36%
- Excellent: 40%

Total: 773

Statistics
- Response Count: 773
- Mean: 4.08
- Median: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.96
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7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students and their ideas

8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

1. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear

   - Very Poor (2%)
   - Poor (4%)
   - Adequate (12%)
   - Good (39%)
   - Excellent (43%)

   [Total (751)]

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 751
   - Mean: 4.17
   - Median: 4.00
   - Standard Deviation: 0.91

2. The materials provided for learning the course content (e.g. handouts, posted material, lab manuals) were clear

   - Very Poor (1%)
   - Poor (4%)
   - Adequate (14%)
   - Good (37%)
   - Excellent (44%)

   [Total (747)]

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 747
   - Mean: 4.18
   - Median: 4.00
   - Standard Deviation: 0.91

3. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content

   - Very Poor (1%)
   - Poor (3%)
   - Adequate (16%)
   - Good (41%)
   - Excellent (39%)

   [Total (741)]

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 741
   - Mean: 4.13
   - Median: 4.00
   - Standard Deviation: 0.88

4. The course provided opportunities for you to become engaged with the course material, for example through class discussions, group work, student presentations, on-line chat, or experiential learning

   - Very Poor (2%)
   - Poor (6%)
   - Adequate (18%)
   - Good (31%)
   - Excellent (43%)

   [Total (744)]

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 744
   - Mean: 4.07
   - Median: 4.00
   - Standard Deviation: 1.00
5. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning in the course were fair

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. The course provided relevant skills and information (e.g. to other courses, your future career, or other contexts)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ill Statements About The Students:

My primary reason for taking the course.

The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend.

Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was.

The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time.

As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:
IV Additional Statements:

How would you rate instructor if asked by another student?

- Very Poor (2%)
- Poor (5%)
- Adequate (12%)
- Good (27%)
- Excellent (54%)

Overall, how well did this course measure against your expectations?

- Very Poor (2%)
- Poor (8%)
- Adequate (15%)
- Good (39%)
- Excellent (32%)

My Instructor gave time in class to complete this survey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not apply (online course, field course, etc.)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>