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UVic Course Experience Survey - Fall 2016

Project Audience 5199
Responses Received 2051
Response Ratio 39%

Creation Date    Thu, Jan 05, 2017
I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions
   - Very Poor (1%)
   - Poor (3%)
   - Adequate (12%)
   - Good (28%)
   - Excellent (56%)
   - Total (2072)

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 2072
   - Mean: 4.35
   - Median: 5.00
   - Standard Deviation: +/-0.88

2. The instructor’s explanations of concepts were clear
   - Very Poor (2%)
   - Poor (6%)
   - Adequate (15%)
   - Good (31%)
   - Excellent (46%)
   - Total (2066)

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 2066
   - Mean: 4.12
   - Median: 4.00
   - Standard Deviation: +/-1.02

3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course
   - Very Poor (3%)
   - Poor (6%)
   - Adequate (15%)
   - Good (27%)
   - Excellent (48%)
   - Total (2063)

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 2063
   - Mean: 4.10
   - Median: 4.00
   - Standard Deviation: +/-1.09

4. The instructor was available to answer your questions or provide extra assistance as required
   - Very Poor (2%)
   - Poor (5%)
   - Adequate (11%)
   - Good (25%)
   - Excellent (57%)
   - Total (2064)

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 2064
   - Mean: 4.28
   - Median: 5.00
   - Standard Deviation: +/-1.01

5. The instructor ensured that your assignments and tests were returned within a reasonable time
   - Very Poor (2%)
   - Poor (4%)
   - Adequate (12%)
   - Good (30%)
   - Excellent (52%)
   - Total (2063)

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 2063
   - Mean: 4.26
   - Median: 5.00
   - Standard Deviation: +/-0.96

6. The instructor was helpful in providing feedback to you to improve your learning in this course
   - Very Poor (3%)
   - Poor (6%)
   - Adequate (16%)
   - Good (27%)
   - Excellent (48%)
   - Total (2065)

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 2065
   - Mean: 4.11
   - Median: 4.00
   - Standard Deviation: +/-1.07

7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students

8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course
and their ideas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>2065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>+/-0.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>2059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>+/-0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

1. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear

- **Very Poor**: 4%
- **Poor**: 7%
- **Adequate**: 15%
- **Good**: 35%
- **Excellent**: 30%

   **Statistics**: Mean 3.99, Median 4.00, Standard Deviation +/-1.07

2. The materials provided for learning the course content (e.g. handouts, posted material, lab manuals) were clear

- **Very Poor**: 3%
- **Poor**: 6%
- **Adequate**: 14%
- **Good**: 37%
- **Excellent**: 40%

   **Statistics**: Mean 4.06, Median 4.00, Standard Deviation +/-1.00

3. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content

- **Very Poor**: 3%
- **Poor**: 5%
- **Adequate**: 15%
- **Good**: 35%
- **Excellent**: 43%

   **Statistics**: Mean 4.09, Median 4.00, Standard Deviation +/-1.01

4. The course provided opportunities for you to become engaged with the course material, for example through class discussions, group work, student presentations, on-line chat, or experiential learning

- **Very Poor**: 2%
- **Poor**: 5%
- **Adequate**: 13%
- **Good**: 33%
- **Excellent**: 47%

   **Statistics**: Mean 4.18, Median 4.00, Standard Deviation +/-0.97

5. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning in the course were fair

- **Very Poor**: 2%
- **Poor**: 3%
- **Adequate**: 15%
- **Good**: 38%
- **Excellent**: 41%

   **Statistics**: Mean 4.12, Median 4.00

6. The course provided relevant skills and information (e.g. to other courses, your future career, or other contexts)

- **Very Poor**: 3%
- **Poor**: 4%
- **Adequate**: 12%
- **Good**: 31%
- **Excellent**: 50%

   **Statistics**: Mean 4.22
7. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience

Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>2038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>+/-1.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III Statements About The Students:

My primary reason for taking the course.

The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend

Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was

The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:

As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:
IV Additional Statements:

The classes began on time.

The course content prepared you for the assignments and/or exam.

The instructor made good use of the course pack and/or text.

The instructor helped to keep discussions focused, relevant and coherent.
I would take another class from instructor.

The goals for this course were clear and relevant to my learning.

The textbook and/or readings supported my learning.
The assignments were appropriate for the goals of the course.

The student discussions and learning activities enhanced my learning.

The practica course provided opportunities to demonstrate what I had learned (For practice courses only).
Overall, I would rate my experience in the Program so far as:

I would rate the ease of use of online resources (e.g., the Moodle site, discussion forums, etc.) as:

I rate the navigability of the online course materials as
The online technologies (discussion boards, websites, software, etc.) enhanced my understanding of the course content as:

Overall, would rate the effectiveness of the orientation module to prepare me for the first term as:

Overall, I would rate my experience in the MACD program so far as:
Overall, I would rate my experience in the Co-op program so far as:

Statistics
Response Count: 39
Mean: 3.54
Median: 3.00
Standard Deviation: +/−0.68

Overall, I would rate my experience in the MPA/MADR/ Diploma/Certificate/ Minor so far as:

Statistics
Response Count: 102
Mean: 3.47
Median: 3.00
Standard Deviation: +/−0.98

My Instructor gave time in class to complete this survey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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