UVic CES Faculty Report for Courses Offered in MD (201601)

UVic Course Experience Survey - Spring 2016
Project Audience 48
Responses Received 24
Response Ratio 50%
I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very Poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Adequate</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Total 24]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Statistics
   Response Count 24
   Mean 4.83
   Median 5.00
   Standard Deviation +/-0.38

2. The instructor’s explanations of concepts were clear

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very Poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Adequate</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Total 24]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Statistics
   Response Count 24
   Mean 4.50
   Median 5.00
   Standard Deviation +/-0.72

3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very Poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Adequate</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Total 24]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Statistics
   Response Count 24
   Mean 4.54
   Median 5.00
   Standard Deviation +/-0.66

4. The instructor was available to answer your questions or provide extra assistance as required

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very Poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Adequate</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Total 23]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Statistics
   Response Count 23
   Mean 4.52
   Median 5.00
   Standard Deviation +/-0.67

5. The instructor ensured that your assignments and tests were returned within a reasonable time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very Poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Adequate</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Total 24]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Statistics
   Response Count 24
   Mean 4.42
   Median 5.00
   Standard Deviation +/-0.88

6. The instructor was helpful in providing feedback to you to improve your learning in this course

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very Poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Adequate</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Total 23]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Statistics
   Response Count 23
   Mean 4.13
   Median 4.00
   Standard Deviation +/-0.97

7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students

8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course
and their ideas

Statistics
Response Count 24
Mean 4.71
Median 5.00
Standard Deviation +/-0.55
II Course Design - Students’ Ratings on the Following Statements:

1. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear

2. The materials provided for learning the course content (e.g. handouts, posted material, lab manuals) were clear

3. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content

4. The course provided opportunities for you to become engaged with the course material, for example through class discussions, group work, student presentations, on-line chat, or experiential learning

5. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning in the course were fair

6. The course provided relevant skills and information (e.g. to other courses, your future career, or other contexts)
7. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>+/-0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Very Poor (0%)**
- **Poor (0%)**
- **Adequate (13%)**
- **Good (42%)**
- **Excellent (46%)**

[Total (24)]
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III Statements About The Students:

My primary reason for taking the course.

- Interest (17)
- Program requirement (7)
- Reputation of Instructor (0)
- Reputation of course (0)
- Timetable fit (0)

[Total (24)]

The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend

- Missed fewer than 3 (17)
- Missed 3-10 (2)
- Missed 11-20 (0)
- Missed more than 20 (0)

[Total (19)]

Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was

- Extremely heavy (1)
- Somewhat heavy (8)
- Average (13)
- Somewhat light (2)
- Extremely light (0)

[Total (24)]

The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:

- Less than 1 (3)
- 1 to 2 (5)
- 3 to 5 (9)
- 6 to 8 (6)
- 9 to 10 (1)
- More than 10 (0)

[Total (24)]

As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:

- Decreased (1)
- Stayed the same (2)
- Increased (21)

[Total (24)]
IV Additional Statements:

My Instructor gave time in class to complete this survey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not apply (online course, field course, etc.)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>