UVic CES Faculty Report for Courses Offered in GS (201509)

UVic Course Experience Survey - Fall 2015

Project Audience 10
Responses Received 4
Response Ratio 40%

Creation Date       Tue, Jan 19, 2016
I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions

   - Very Poor: 0%
   - Poor: 0%
   - Adequate: 0%
   - Good: 0%
   - Excellent (100%)
   - Total (6)

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 6
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Median: 5.00
   - Standard Deviation: +/-0.00

2. The instructor’s explanations of concepts were clear

   - Very Poor: 0%
   - Poor: 0%
   - Adequate: 0%
   - Good: 0%
   - Excellent (100%)
   - Total (6)

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 6
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Median: 5.00
   - Standard Deviation: +/-0.00

3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course

   - Very Poor: 0%
   - Poor: 0%
   - Adequate: 0%
   - Good: 0%
   - Excellent (100%)
   - Total (6)

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 6
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Median: 5.00
   - Standard Deviation: +/-0.00

4. The instructor was available to answer your questions or provide extra assistance as required

   - Very Poor: 0%
   - Poor: 0%
   - Adequate: 0%
   - Good: 17%
   - Excellent (83%)
   - Total (6)

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 6
   - Mean: 4.83
   - Median: 5.00
   - Standard Deviation: +/-0.41

5. The instructor ensured that your assignments and tests were returned within a reasonable time

   - Very Poor: 0%
   - Poor: 0%
   - Adequate: 17%
   - Good: 0%
   - Excellent (83%)
   - Total (6)

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 6
   - Mean: 4.83
   - Median: 5.00
   - Standard Deviation: +/-0.41

6. The instructor was helpful in providing feedback to you to improve your learning in this course

   - Very Poor: 0%
   - Poor: 0%
   - Adequate: 0%
   - Good: 0%
   - Excellent (100%)
   - Total (6)

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 6
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Median: 5.00
   - Standard Deviation: +/-0.00

7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students

8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course
and their ideas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>+/-0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Very Poor (0%)
Poor (0%)
Adequate (0%)
Good (0%)
Excellent (100%)

[ Total (6) ]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>+/-0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

1. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear

   - Very Poor: 0%
   - Poor: 0%
   - Adequate: 0%
   - Good: 0%
   - Excellent: 100%

   [Total (4)]

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 4
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Median: 5.00
   - Standard Deviation: +/-0.00

2. The materials provided for learning the course content (e.g. handouts, posted material, lab manuals) were clear

   - Very Poor: 0%
   - Poor: 0%
   - Adequate: 0%
   - Good: 0%
   - Excellent: 100%

   [Total (4)]

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 4
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Median: 5.00
   - Standard Deviation: +/-0.00

3. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content

   - Very Poor: 0%
   - Poor: 0%
   - Adequate: 0%
   - Good: 0%
   - Excellent: 100%

   [Total (4)]

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 4
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Median: 5.00
   - Standard Deviation: +/-0.00

4. The course provided opportunities for you to become engaged with the course material, for example through class discussions, group work, student presentations, on-line chat, or experiential learning

   - Very Poor: 0%
   - Poor: 0%
   - Adequate: 0%
   - Good: 0%
   - Excellent: 100%

   [Total (4)]

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 4
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Median: 5.00
   - Standard Deviation: +/-0.00

5. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning in the course were fair

   - Very Poor: 0%
   - Poor: 0%
   - Adequate: 0%
   - Good: 0%
   - Excellent: 100%

   [Total (4)]

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 4
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Median: 5.00
   - Standard Deviation: +/-0.00

6. The course provided relevant skills and information (e.g. to other courses, your future career, or other contexts)

   - Very Poor: 0%
   - Poor: 0%
   - Adequate: 0%
   - Good: 0%
   - Excellent: 100%

   [Total (4)]

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 4
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Median: 5.00
7. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>+/-0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III Statements About The Students:

My primary reason for taking the course.

The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend

Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was

The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:

As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material: