Members of the consortium are bound by the following protocol for the control of survey data.

It was agreed by the participants that data were owned collectively and would be distributed only by collective agreement.

1. The purpose of the survey is to produce data that will allow participating institutions to assess their programmes and services. Comparisons with other institutions are made to assist in these assessments. Ranking of institutions is not, in itself, a purpose of the survey.

2. The survey data are owned collectively by the participating institutions.

3. The report that has been prepared may be reproduced and distributed freely on the campuses of participating institutions. However, use of the institutional code key is restricted to members of the steering committee and senior administration at the various campuses on a confidential basis.

4. Institutions will receive a data package that includes data for all participating institutions along with the institutional identifiers so that appropriate institutional comparisons can be made by each institution. This must be done in a way that protects the confidentiality of the institutional identities and respects the absolute right of each institution to decide what portions of its data should be disclosed.

5. For institutional promotion, recruiting or other public dissemination, rankings may not be used. However, an institution’s mean results, the aggregate mean results, and mean results for the comparable group of institutions in the survey report may be used, although the names of other institutions may not be used.

6. Access to the aggregate data for research purposes may be granted to interested persons provided that the intended use is a legitimate, non-commercial one, the researcher is qualified and agrees to acknowledge the ownership of the data by participating universities and to provide the consortium with a copy of any report or publication that is produced. Decisions on such requests will be made by a sub-committee consisting of Dennis Domoney and Garth Wannan with consultation with members of the full steering committee in the case of requests that seem problematic.

Amended May 17, 2001