Provisional Draft: This outline gives students a sense of what the course involves, but it is not the final, *official* outline.

PHIL 426 A01 (12495) - Stoicism: Metaphysics & Epistemology

Fall 2019, University of Victoria Department of Philosophy

Course website: available at http://coursespaces.uvic.ca

Instructor & Contact Information

Dr. Clifford Roberts (cliffordroberts@uvic.ca)
Office hours: Fri 12:00-2:30pm (or by appt.)

Office: CLE B318

Meeting Times & Place

Wed 3:30-6:20pm Room: CLE B315

Course Description

Stoicism, developed by Zeno of Citium at the end of the 4th century BCE, constituted the dominant philosophical and intellectual movement of the Greco-Roman world for almost five hundred years; it counted adherents in all walks of life: from slaves (Epictetus) to emperors (Marcus Aurelius) and many in-between. As a result of its disappearance in the 2nd century CE, the evidence for Stoic philosophy became fragmentary and widely dispersed; the study of Stoicism lapsed for more than a millenium. But new approaches to the evidence, beginning in the 19th and 20th centuries and continuing today, have uncovered a philosophy of immense sophistication, complexity and coherence, not to mention surprising contemporary relevance. Much about the details of Stoic thought still remains poorly understood. In this course, we will study two areas of Stoic philosophy: metaphysics and epistemology. Looking at both the evidence and scholarly attempts to make sense of it, our investigation will be focused on the foundations of Stoic metaphysics and epistemology. Through this lens, we will cover such subjects as soul, body, causation, belief, and knowledge. The aim of the course is to employ contemporary philosophical and interpretive methods and standards to provide a defensible and rational reconstruction of Stoic thought.

Course Texts

Required: [SR] *The Stoics Reader*, trans./edit B. Inwood & L. P. Gerson (Indianapolis: Hackett, 2008) *All other readings will be made available on CourseSpaces.*

Course Evaluation

Students must submit a digital copy of each of the assignments through CourseSpaces and by the assignment deadline.

(1) Essays

Value: 1^{st} essay = 35%, 2^{nd} essay = 40% (**total** = 75%)

Length: ≥ 2500

Assignment: Students must write a paper of the relevant length on one of a selection of topics provided by the instructor. Each assignment will be provided 3 weeks before the due date. Further information will be provided with each assignment.

Due dates: see course schedule.

(2) Class Presentation

Value: 25%

Assignment: Students must select a week during which they are expected to conduct **one** presentation of at least 10 minutes in length introducing the topics for that week's class. Further information on the form and content of this assignment will be provided on the first day of class.

Course Grading

| Grades | GPV | Percentage | Description |
|--------|-----|------------|---|
| A+ | 9 | 90-100 | An A+, A, or A- is earned by work which is technically superior, |
| A | 8 | 85-89 | shows mastery of the subject matter, and in the case of an A+ |
| A- | 7 | 80-84 | offers original insight and/or goes beyond course expectations. |
| | | | Normally achieved by a minority of students. |
| B+ | 6 | 77-79 | A B+, B, or B- is earned by work that indicates a good |
| В | 5 | 73-76 | comprehension of the course material, a good command of the |
| B- | 4 | 70-72 | skills needed to work with the course material, and the student's |
| | | | full engagement with the course requirements and activities. A |
| | | | B+ represents a more complex understanding and/or application |
| | | | of the course material. Normally achieved by the largest number |
| | | | of students. |
| C+ | 3 | 65-69 | A C+ or C is earned by work that indicates an adequate |
| С | 2 | 60-64 | comprehension of the course material and the skills needed to |
| | | | work with the course material and that indicates the student has |
| | | | met the basic requirements for completing assigned work and/or |
| | | | participating in class activities. |
| D | 1 | 50-59 | A D is earned by work that indicates minimal command of the |
| | | | course materials and/or minimal participation in class activities |
| | | | that is worthy of course credit toward the degree. |
| F | 0 | 0-49 | F is earned by work, which after the completion of course |
| | | | requirements, is inadequate and unworthy of course credit |
| | | | towards the degree. |

Interpretation of these grade definitions is up to the discretion of the instructor. If you receive a grade during the course that you believe is unfair, please begin by discussing the matter with the instructor in a respectful, open-minded manner. Rest assured that if you still believe the grade you received is unfair you can appeal the matter to the chair of the department. For additional information regarding grades, please see pp. 51-53 of the most recent (September 2019) edition of the University of Victoria Undergraduate Calendar. All evaluations of tests and assignments will be calculated according to percentage scores. Letter grades and grade point scores are listed purely for reference.

Academic Integrity

Academic integrity is intellectual honesty and responsibility for academic work that the student submits, whether individual or group work. It involves commitment to the values of honesty, trust, and responsibility. It is expected that students will respect these ethical values in all activities related to learning, teaching, research, and service. Therefore, plagiarism and other acts against academic integrity are serious academic offences.

The responsibility of the institution

Instructors and academic units have the responsibility to ensure that standards of academic honesty are met. By doing so, the institution recognizes students for their hard work and assures them that other students do not have an unfair advantage through cheating on essays, exams, and projects.

The responsibility of the student

Plagiarism sometimes occurs due to a misunderstanding regarding the rules of academic integrity, but it is the responsibility of the student to know them. If the student is unsure about the standards for citations or for referencing sources, the instructor should be consulted. Depending on the severity of the case, penalties include a warning, a failing grade, a record on the student's transcript, or a suspension.

It is the student's responsibility to read and understand the University's policy on academic integrity: https://web.uvic.ca/calendar2018-09/undergrad/info/regulations/academic-integrity.html

Policy on Late or Missed Assignments

All assignments submitted **on** or **before** the specified due dates will be adjudicated and returned with written comments. Assignments submitted **within one week after** the specified due dates will be adjudicated without penalty; however, they will be returned **without** written comments. Lastly, any assignment submitted **more than one week after** the specified due date will be adjudicated and returned without comments, and a penalty of **6**% per day will be assessed, unless the student can provide official documentation of the reason for the delay (e.g., a physician's note). The previous policies do not apply to students with documented disabilities; all attempt will be made to accommodate such students including, but not restricted to, providing make-up assignments and tests.

Counseling Services

Many, if not most, students experience some difficulties with their mental health during their years as undergraduate students. Make sure you are familiar with the university's **Counseling Services** (https://www.uvic.ca/services/counselling/) which is an excellent resource you have at your disposal on campus. It is hard to shake the stigma associated with problems like depression and anxiety, but if at any point you can benefit from help with mental health issues, please contact Counseling Services. They genuinely want to help, and why not take advantage of this free resource?

Important Dates

Please consult the university calendar's **Academic Year Important Dates** for information about last possible dates to withdraw from courses without penalty, examinations period start/end dates, and so on: https://web.uvic.ca/calendar2018-09/general/dates.html

Technology in Classroom

Some students require laptops or voice recognition apps for their learning strategies, so I do not prohibit their use in the classroom. However, studies demonstrate that multi-tasking reduces the performance of other nearby students, so anyone caught on social media, internet surfing, etc. will be asked to leave and potentially subject to disciplinary action.

Academic Advising

For information about declaring a program, academic concessions or interpreting your CAPP report, please visit **Undergraduate Advising** (https://www.uvic.ca/services/advising/) or make an appointment with an adviser.

Territory Acknowledgment

The University of Victoria is committed to acknowledging and respecting the Songhees, Esquimalt and WSÁNEĆ peoples on whose traditional territory the university stands and whose historical relationships with the land continue to this day. For information about support for indigenous students and efforts to foster reconciliation, please visit the **Office of Indigenous**Academic & Community Engagement: https://www.uvic.ca/services/indigenous/.

Course Schedule

'*' indicates a reading that is optional; all unmarked readings are required

| Dates | Assignments | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| METAPHYSICS | | | | | |
| <u>Week 1:</u> Sep 4 | Introduction to Stoicism Readings (a) [SR] "Introduction"; (b) David Sedley, "Stoic Physics & Metaphysics" | | | | |
| Week 2: Sep 11 | Existence & Subsistence Readings (a) [SR] 40-42; (b) Vanessa de Harven, The Coherence of Stoic Ontology, I-II | | | | |
| <u>Week 3:</u> Sep 18 | The Stoic Genera, I Readings (a) Long & Sedley 28; (b) Margaret Reesor, "The Stoic Categories" (c) David Sedley, "The Stoic Criterion of Identity"* | | | | |

| <u>Week 4:</u> Sep 25 | The Stoic Genera, II Readings (a) [SR] 7.60-1; 38; 41 (359.12) (b) Long & Sedley 29, 30E-I (c) Margaret Reesor, "The Stoic Concept of Quality" (d) David Sedley, "The Stoic Theory of Universals" (e) Margaret Reesor, "Poion and poiotes in Stoic Philosophy"* |
|-----------------------|--|
| <u>Week 5:</u> Oct 2 | Principles, Bodies & the Elements Readings (a) [SR] 6; 25.132-142, 151-5; 30.23-5, 28-30; 33.75-6; 34-37; 67, 69-71; 91 (b) Ian Hensley, "On the Separability and Inseparability of Principles" (c) R. B. Todd, "Monism & Immanence: Foundations of Stoic Physics"* (d) M. Lapidge, "Archai and stoicheia: a Problem in Stoic cosmology"* |
| Week 6: Oct 9 | Pneuma & Tenor/Tension Readings (a) [SR] 25.158-9; 30.18-19; 63, 65.292, 68; 1025b4 (b) F. W. Solmsen, "The vital heat, the inborn pneuma and the aether" (c) Longrigg, "Elementary Physics in the Lyceum and the Stoa"* |
| <u>Week 7:</u> Oct 16 | Lekta (Things Said) Readings (a) [SR] 7.49, 55-57, 63-4; 11.81-3; 48-50; 102.9-10 (b) Long & Sedley 33E (c) A.A. Long, "Language & Thought in Stoicism" (d) Vanessa de Harven, The Coherence of Stoic Ontology, III.3 & IV.4* First Essay Due Oct 17 |
| <u>Week 8:</u> Oct 23 | Causation Readings (a) [SR] 52-54; 88 (b) Long & Sedley 55C-I (c) Michael Frede, "The Original Notion of Cause" |
| <u>Week 9:</u> Oct 30 | Soul Readings (a) [SR] 7.45, 50, 62, 70, 71, 74; 9, 25.157; 30.58; 60; 63; 73-85; 117 (b) Long & Sedley 53K, M, P (c) A.A. Long, "Soul & Body in Stoicism" |

| <i>EPISTEMOLOGY</i> | | | | |
|------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| <u>Week 10:</u> Nov 6 | Impressions & Criteria of Truth Readings (a) [SR] 1.177; 7.45-6, 49-54; 16-17; 18.77-8, 144-4; 21-22 (b) Long & Sedley 40E, G, H, K (c) E. P. Arthur, "Stoic Analysis of the Mind's Reaction to Presentations" (d) F. H. Sandbach, "Phantasia Kateleptike"* (e) Julia Annas, "Truth & Knowledge"* | | | |
| Week 11: Nov 13 | No class. | | | |
| <u>Week 12:</u> Nov 20 | Knowledge & Opinion Readings (a) [SR] 12; 18; 102 (b) Tad Brennan, The Stoic Life, chaps. 5-6 (c) G. B. Kerferd, "What Does the Wise Man Know?"* | | | |
| <u>Week 13:</u> Nov 27 | Skeptics vs. Stoics, I Readings (a) [SR] 11; 13-17; 19-24 (b) Michael Frede, "Stoics & Skeptics on Clear & Distinct Impressions" | | | |
| <u>Week 14:</u> Dec 4 | Skeptics vs. Stoics, II Readings (a) Michael Frede, "Stoic Epistemology" (b) Casey Perin, "Stoic Epistemology & the Limits of Externalism" Final Essay Due Dec 5 | | | |