



**University  
of Victoria**

Graduate Studies

Notice of the Final Oral Examination  
for the Degree of Master of Science

of

**SHELBY LOGAN**

BSc (University of Toronto, 2017)

**“Nature Immersion and Goals:  
Perspective of the Dual-Valuing Process Model”**

Department of Psychology

Thursday, December 19, 2019

9:00 A.M.

Cornett Building

Room A228

Supervisory Committee:

Dr. Frederick Grouzet, Department of Psychology, University of Victoria (Supervisor)

Dr. Ulrich Mueller, Department of Psychology, UVic (Member)

External Examiner:

Dr. Nevin Harper, School of Child and Youth Care, UVic

Chair of Oral Examination:

Dr. Erin Kelly, Department of English, UVic

Dr. David Capson, Dean, Faculty of Graduate Studies

## **Abstract**

Being in nature has been associated with many positive outcomes, including well-being and, more recently, with sophisticated outcomes such as goal orientation. We proposed that the dialectic between the organismic valuing process (OVP) and sociocognitive valuing process (SVP) accounts for why immersion in natural environments may lead to a preference for pursuing intrinsic goals (e.g., affiliation, personal growth) over extrinsic goals (e.g., popularity, financial success). We randomly assigned participants ( $N = 75$ ) to go on a series of up to five walks in four different kinds of environments, representing a “continuum of wild nature.” We hypothesized that participants who were immersed in more natural environments would report a higher relative intrinsic goal orientation than participants in less natural environments, and that this effect would be mediated by both activation of the OVP and non-activation of the SVP. We found no significant main effect of wild nature immersion on relative intrinsic goal valuing ( $b = 0.12$ ,  $p = 0.34$ ), but we did find a significant positive effect of wild nature immersion on activation of the OVP ( $b = 0.36$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ) and a significant negative effect of wild nature immersion on activation of SVP ( $b = -0.22$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ). However, post-hoc tests revealed that participants in the most “wild” environment (i.e., the Forest condition) were the most likely to experience effects of both OVP activation and SVP non-activation. Interpretations of these results are discussed, and limitations of the study are addressed.