

# CAMPUS PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING

**Minutes of Meeting: Oct 13th, 2015**  
**(3:00 pm – 4:30 pm, ASB Lobby Boardroom 120)**

Membership			
	Voting:		Ex-Officio:
√	Valerie Kuehne, Co-Chair	R	Ron Proulx
√	Gayle Gorrill, Co-Chair	√	Tony Eder
R	David Castle	R	Bruce Kilpatrick
√	Carmen Charette	√	Alison Noble
√	Katy Mateer	√	Kristi Simpson
R	Catherine Krull		
R	Thomas Tiedje		<b>Other:</b>
R	Andrew Rowe	√	Joanne McGachie
√	Karena Shaw	√	David Perry
R	Bronte Renwick-Shields (UVSS)	√	Neil Connelly
√	Katrina Flanders (GSS)	√	Carmen Mailloux
√	Sheryl Karras	R	Jim Dunsdon
R	Paul Ward	√	Joel Lynn (for Jim Dunsdon)
R	Pete Rose	√	Tom Downie
		√	Ruth Young
			<b>Guests: Dialog BC:</b>
		√	Jennifer Fix
√ = In Attendance R = Regrets Noted			

---

**1. Approval of the Agenda**

The agenda was approved as circulated.

## **2. Approval of the Minutes**

The minutes of September 21, 2015 were approved on the basis that two typos will be corrected.

## **3. Remarks from the Chair**

Dr. Kuehne chaired the meeting and thanked Jennifer Fix from Dialog for attending.

## **4. Business Arising from the Minutes**

No business arising from the minutes.

## **5. Correspondence**

No correspondence to report.

## **6. Regular Business**

### **1. Campus Plan Update**

Mr. Connelly remarked that Dialog BC had been working to incorporate feedback from the previous Campus Plan Committee (CPC) meeting on September 21st, and that they had provided a revised draft plan to the Steering Committee for review. Moreover, in preparation for the Open House on October 21st, the Steering Committee had reviewed the mobile booth panels and the draft panels that will be on display. He turned it over to Ms. Fix, welcoming her to describe the updates in greater detail.

### **Revisions to Draft Campus Plan**

Ms. Fix said that there were seven areas that needed revision based on the last CPC meeting, and walked through each one:

- An adjustment to the Treaties referenced on page 9 in section 1.3, Early History.
- Identified an additional area of pedestrian/vehicular conflict on Ring Road on page 20, Fig.1.4.4.
- Stronger link between academic priorities and the physical campus referenced on page 30 in the Principles section.
- Clarified the meaning of limited scale on page 44 so that it didn't imply large buildings and in addition removed the reference to flexibility given that the intent was to protect the natural areas mentioned in section 3.2. Open Space.
- Added additional language to clarify what flexibility means in regards to the future possible uses of outlying lands, and corrected an error on the Cedar Hill Corner map (page 70).
- Added a note on page 87 that the area outside of Parking lot 1 requires further study as part of the consideration of any changes to Ring Road.

Various minor editorial changes were also made throughout the document.

Dr. Kuehne asked the Committee whether they had further general feedback on the draft Campus Plan.

Ms. Young recommended an improvement to the caption under the photo on page 8 showing the Transformation Pole carved by Saanich master carver Charles Elliott.

No other feedback was provided.

Dr. Kuehne suggested that the Committee take a moment to recognize this version as the draft version that will go forward for public release, and that the Committee should feel proud of all the work that went into reaching this stage in the process.

### **Mobile Booth Panels:**

Ms. Fix explained that the purpose of the Mobile Booth was to promote the Oct. 21 Open House sessions and to invite passersby to check out the draft Campus Plan and submit input. She said it was also an opportunity to set expectations that in the Phase 2 round of consultation, we are not starting from scratch but rather building on all of the input received to date as we advance along in the Campus Plan Update process.

The mobile booth will be at various locations on campus on Monday, October 19th and Tuesday, October 20th. Mr. Connelly said that the location plan offered good geographic dispersion across campus.

Two panels will be included at the mobile booth:

1. "Big Moves", highlighting the nine big changes proposed by the plan
2. Concept plan map with links to the website and draft Campus Plan, large advertisement for the Open House, and a space for input to be provided via sticky notes.

Ms. Fix invited feedback on the panels.

Dr. Shaw noted that the map did not differentiate between current buildings and potential future building sites. She suggested that different colours could be used to make this clearer.

Dr. Kuehne added that by showing future possible building sites in all locations, there is no sense for where open spaces might be located in the future.

Mr. Connelly advised that showing future possible building footprints on the map is problematic because it could convey that these are already planned and proposed through this Campus Plan, and also it draws attention to detail that may cause passersby to lose sight of the bigger themes.

Ms. Simpson said that the primary goal of the Mobile Booth was to encourage attendance at the Open House, and the panels for the mobile booth look attractive for that purpose.

Mr. Perry suggested that the person attending the booth could speak to these points, as appropriate.

Dr. Kuehne asked whether copies of the draft Campus Plan would be available at the Mobile Booth, and Ms. Fix said they would be.

Dr. Mateer requested that on the panel showing the nine big moves, that the graphic icons associated with the improved transit and cycling could be more effective if it included a bicycle overlay. Without

this the icon looks the same as some of the others and fails to visually convey what it's about. Ms. Fix agreed that this could be done.

Ms. Charette said that it is important that we remind people why we are doing this Campus Plan Update – that it is about creating an extraordinary academic environment. She said those overarching goals need to be clearly stated at all times.

### **Draft Panels for the Oct. 21 Open House**

Ms. Fix commented that the display emphasizes that the plan is built on input from stakeholders and that the first interactive panel invites people to place a dot on a map to show where they live, to help in their continued interaction with the boards. She also introduced the sequencing of the panels, showing that they flow from context, to concept, to process, to vision, to goals, to principles and then to the three specific frameworks of the plan.

Ms. Fix said that where there were revisions to the draft Campus Plan that were also applicable to the panels, revisions were also made on the panels.

Mr. Lynn said that with the process for the new Residence Building underway, there might be some increased interest in it and attendants at the Open House should be briefed on this so that they can answer questions as they come up.

Mr. Downie said that he would also expect there might be interest in a barrier free design that enables access for people with mobility challenges.

Ms. Gorrill said it would be nice to have a sense for people's priorities, and asked how we might do this.

Ms. Simpson responded that the attendants at the boards will engage people on their ideas and ask about what matters most to them to help clarify this.

Dr. Kuehne asked if the boards are all consistent with the pillars of the UVic Edge. Ms. Fix said some definitely are, but that she'd review them all to find all opportunities for this.

Ms. Charette agreed, but advised this be done to the extent that the content naturally lends itself to the UVic Edge messaging, as we don't want to misuse it.

No further comments were provided.

### **Oct. 21 Workshop Plan**

Mr. Connelly stated that there are currently over 30 people confirmed for the workshop and this includes students, staff, faculty, community members, and representatives from the municipalities of Oak Bay and Saanich.

The workshop presentation will include highlights of the draft Campus Plan and will emphasize ideas for plan implementation. It will allow 35-40 minutes for small-group discussion among each of the round tables, where attendees are invited to discuss the vision and plan, and then subsequently possible

actions for the implementation of the plan. Following this there will be a large group discussion that invites a member from each table to report back the ideas that had emerged at their tables.

All members of the CPC have been invited to attend the workshop as well. Some may be needed to help facilitate the small-group discussions, and volunteers will be contacted in advance. There will be eight round tables and Dialog will have 4 facilitators, so only 4 facilitators from UVic may be needed. Those interested in volunteering should contact Neil Connelly after the meeting.

Mr. Connelly said that the website [www.uvic.ca/campusplanning](http://www.uvic.ca/campusplanning) has been advertising the Oct 21st Open House since late August, and that it will be updated to include the draft Campus Plan by Oct. 16 provided that the Committee approves of it. A notice in the Saanich and Oak Bay News will also be released on Oct. 16th.

At the conclusion of discussion, the following motion was proposed:

***MOTION (S. Karras/C. Charette)***

***THAT the Campus Planning Committee approve the draft Campus Plan, dated October 14, 2015 for public release.***

***CARRIED***

**7. Capital Projects Update (D. Perry)**

- For the new student residence facility request for expressions of interest, 19 submissions were received from interested firms. Three firms have been shortlisted and a request for proposals will occur within the next few weeks. A firm will be selected by the end of January, at which time we can formally begin the project.
- The contractor has verbally informed us that he will not meet the January 1st target for the completion of the Continuing Studies Building expansion, and will be putting this in writing soon. He said that the delay is due to trade labour challenges on Vancouver Island. Dr. Mateer asked if there was a penalty if the contractor misses the deadline, and Mr. Perry said no. Ms. Gorrill said that if there is a potential financial impact to UVic, then we first have a duty to mitigate prior to taking action with the contractor.

**8. Community Liaison Update (A. Noble)**

- Shelbourne Valley has released a plan that could have implications for commuters to UVic. This will be monitored and reviewed.

There being no further discussion, the meeting was adjourned at 4:05 p.m.

**9. Next Meeting: November 20th, 3:00 – 4:30 pm DTB A144**