

Home Based Primary Care for Frail Elderly People

- Description of a Model of Primary Care
- Frailty and Aging Cohort Study

Ted Rosenberg MD MSc. FRCP(C)

Home Team Medical Services

Clinical Assistant Professor

Dept. of Family Medicine UBC

Office



Advantages of Home –Based Primary Care

- **Access** - people who can't get to an office- e.g. Dementia
- **Assessment** - How a person functions in their environment
- **Reduce Caregiver Stress** and Work loss taking parents to appt.
- **Continuity of Care**
 - Build Relationship with Patients and Caregivers
 - Quicker Recognition of a Change in Health Status
 - Build Trust Re: important decisions about health care, intensity of treatment and EOL Care

Model

- **Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment and Management**
 - Assessment of Physical, Mental and Social determinants of decline
 - Standardized Health Status measures
- **Interdisciplinary Team**
 - PT -0.6
 - RN – 1.8
 - MD- 1.4
 - Will likely be adding OT and Kinesiology
- **Web –Based EMR** with Virtual Team Meetings
- **Sustained follow up** – primary care vs consultant care

Eligibility

- **Eligibility**

- >75
- Frailty syndrome- e.g. memory, c.pain, immobility
- Multiple Comorbidities that are difficult to manage
- Difficulty getting to a doctor's office

- **Referrals**

- Home Care nurses and Case managers
- Physicians- hospitalists and primary care
- Assisted living/retirement homes
- Word of Mouth

Funding

- **Private Public Mix**
 - Insured Services – MSP
 - Doctor visits, Lab, specialists and hospitals
 - Non Insured – Annual Practice fee/FFS
 - Team: RN, PT etc
 - Telephone, email, family meetings
 - Paperwork, etc.

Practice Profile

- **N= 300**
 - Live in Homes = 270
 - Nursing Homes = 30
- **Functional Status and Risk factors**
 - Age - 88
 - Dementia - 20%
 - Depression - 44%
 - Chronic Pain on Narcotic – 27%
 - Osteoporotic Fracture – 47%
 - Ave # RX drugs – 6.8
 - Charlson Comorbidity Index (3-37) – 8
 - CSHA –Clinical Frailty Scale – 6
 - Gait Speed -0.68 m/s (<0.8 – increases mortality, # etc.)
 - Housing
 - 54% in homes or Apartments
 - 26% in Retirement homes
 - 20% in Assisted Living

Study

Evaluating our Practice

JAGS 2012

- Compared health care utilization in the the year prior to entering the practice to the fiscal year 2011. ALOS in practice was 4 years:
 - 40% Reduction in hospital admissions and days-ss
 - 20% reduction in ER visits - ns
 - 47% of people were able to die at home

Frailty and Aging Cohort Study (FACTS)

T. Rosenberg MD MSc
Rory Lattimer BSc
Cindy DeFrias PhD
Pat Montgomery MD
Fiona Manning MD MSC
Vikki Hay RN BN

Objectives

- Benchmark Practice
 - Use Enhanced Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment with Standardized valid, reliable health status measures on all patients in our practice
- Follow people longitudinally to study:
 - Risk Factors for:
 - Death
 - Acute Care utilization
 - Nursing home placement
 - Deterioration in QOL and Function
 - Infections and Falls/injuries
 - Evaluate our Interventions

Facts Pilot

Test the Tests

- UBC Ethics Approval
- Eligibility
 - Living in Retirement Home
 - MMSE>20 and able to Consent
- N= 57/91 (63%) Consented and participated

Methods

- June-July 2015
- Nurse and Research Assistant
- Collected Demographic Information
- Did Standard Assessment
 - Mental Status: sMMSE , MOCA and GDS
 - Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index
 - ADL: Barthel, Lawton Brody. CSHA CFS
 - Other: MNA, Grip Strength, BMI, BP, HGB, GFR, lymphocyte Count
 - Medical: CCI, certain DX and Medication Use
- Added
 - Gait Speed- 3 meter vs 4 meter
 - QOL: Euroqol 5D 5L , and tested new ISOQOL and DOW-6
 - CRAFT – New Falls Risk Assessment
 - 3 OZ Water Swallow Test- for Dysphagia and risk of pneumonia

Results

Gait Speed

- 65% had living space large enough to use standard 4 m gait speed
- Tested
 - Average Gait Speed:
 - Mean- 0.68 m/s
 - 13% - normal gait speed $\geq 1\text{m/s}$
 - 3 Meter vs. 4 meter – Mean Difference 0.04m/s NS (0.68 for 4m vs. 0.72 for 3m)
 - Test Retest Reliability – Mean Difference 0.01m/s NS
 - Inter-rater Reliability - “ “ $r=0.98$
- Validation- 3 m Gait speed showed significant correlation with:
 - CFS, Grip Strength, EuroQol, Barthel/LB and Mood

Results QOL

- **ISOQOL -20**
 - 20 symptoms eg pain, fatigue memory, nausea
 - Each Symptom Scored out 5- Present, impact on: IADL/ADL, Hobbies/Leisure, Relations, Life Satisfaction
 - Domain Score out 20
 - Total Score: 100 . Higher score, worse QOL
 - Results
 - Mean score 17 – indicates relatively good QOL (Euroqol – percentile 76%, VAS – 71)
 - Correlated with Euroqol VAS, GDS, sleep-PSQI, Dependency ADL/IADL, Gait Speed
 - Test-retest- $r=0.83$
- **Domains of Wellness (DOW-6)** 5 point Likert Scales and VAS (0-100) for intensity
 - Happiness, Life Satisfaction, Control over Life Loneliness, Optimism and General Health Satisfaction
 - Results
 - VAS –difficult for people and didn't add any information
 - Mean Likert – 17.2 (10-24)
 - Mean VAS- 76.3
 - Validation – correlated with
 - GDS, Euroqol, ISOQOL PSQI, Gait speed CFS, MMSE/MOCA CCI and # drugs

Results

CRAFT -Falls

- **Test- 20 points**
 - Hx- Fall, Fracture
 - Equipment: walking aid, Medical Alert
 - Symptoms: dizzy, Light headed etc.
 - Drugs : Psych., CV, >3
 - Exam
 - Hr<60
 - BP – orthostatic drop>20 mm
 - Stand from a chair without arms
 - Get up off the floor
- **Results**
 - Average score 8.5
 - R= Barthel, CFS, Gait Speed, Depression, QOL

Results

Caregiver- Pending

- Caregiver Burden
 - S Zarit Burden index-12 point
 - GDS
 - CareQOL
 - DOW-6
 - Demographics
 - Time Spent Caregiving

Results

Acceptability

- The eCGA
 - 89% felt Relevant
 - 98% -willing to repeat it
 - 7% -found it stressful
 - Ave. Assessment time – 94 minutes.
 - ISOQOL – 9 minutes

Next Steps

- Introduce this into “Usual Care” on all patients in the practice by practice nurses
- Repeat at 3 months for new people to evaluate our interventions
- Repeat Annually on all people
- Track Outcomes
 - Hospitalization
 - Transfer to Nursing Homes
 - Deaths - mortality, site of death and type of death
 - Pneumonia and other recurrent infections
 - Falls and Injuries
 - QOL
 - Caregiver Burden