UVic CES Faculty Report for Courses Offered in LW (201405)

UVic Course Experience Survey

Project Audience 161
Responses Received 93
Response Ratio 58%

Creation Date    Mon, Nov 17, 2014
I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions

   - Good (33%)
   - Excellent (60%)
   - Adequate (7%)
   - Poor (0%)
   - Very Poor (0%)

   [Total (105)]

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 105
   - Mean: 4.53
   - Median: 5.00
   - Standard Deviation: +/-0.62

2. The instructor’s explanations of concepts were clear

   - Excellent (50%)
   - Good (35%)
   - Adequate (13%)
   - Poor (2%)
   - Very Poor (0%)

   [Total (104)]

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 104
   - Mean: 4.33
   - Median: 4.50
   - Standard Deviation: +/-0.78

3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course

   - Excellent (50%)
   - Good (28%)
   - Adequate (16%)
   - Poor (6%)
   - Very Poor (0%)

   [Total (105)]

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 105
   - Mean: 4.23
   - Median: 5.00
   - Standard Deviation: +/-0.92

4. The instructor was available to answer your questions or provide extra assistance as required

   - Excellent (53%)
   - Good (27%)
   - Adequate (14%)
   - Poor (5%)
   - Very Poor (1%)

   [Total (105)]

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 105
   - Mean: 4.27
   - Median: 5.00
   - Standard Deviation: +/-0.94

5. The instructor ensured that your assignments and tests were returned within a reasonable time

   - Excellent (45%)
   - Good (24%)
   - Adequate (28%)
   - Poor (2%)
   - Very Poor (2%)

   [Total (101)]

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 101
   - Mean: 4.07
   - Median: 4.00
   - Standard Deviation: +/-0.99

6. The instructor was helpful in providing feedback to you to improve your learning in this course

   - Excellent (43%)
   - Good (33%)
   - Adequate (19%)
   - Poor (2%)
   - Very Poor (3%)

   [Total (103)]

   Statistics
   - Response Count: 103
   - Mean: 4.11
   - Median: 4.00
   - Standard Deviation: +/-0.98

7. The instructor demonstrated respect for

8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this
students and their ideas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>+/-0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

course

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>+/-0.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

1. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very Poor (1%)</th>
<th>Poor (6%)</th>
<th>Adequate (14%)</th>
<th>Good (37%)</th>
<th>Excellent (42%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Total (93)]</td>
<td>[Total (93)]</td>
<td>[Total (93)]</td>
<td>[Total (93)]</td>
<td>[Total (93)]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistics

- Response Count: 93
- Mean: 4.12
- Median: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: +/-0.95

2. The materials provided for learning the course content (e.g. handouts, posted material, lab manuals) were clear

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very Poor (0%)</th>
<th>Poor (2%)</th>
<th>Adequate (25%)</th>
<th>Good (31%)</th>
<th>Excellent (42%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Total (93)]</td>
<td>[Total (93)]</td>
<td>[Total (93)]</td>
<td>[Total (93)]</td>
<td>[Total (93)]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistics

- Response Count: 93
- Mean: 4.13
- Median: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: +/-0.86

3. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very Poor (0%)</th>
<th>Poor (1%)</th>
<th>Adequate (16%)</th>
<th>Good (38%)</th>
<th>Excellent (45%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Total (92)]</td>
<td>[Total (92)]</td>
<td>[Total (92)]</td>
<td>[Total (92)]</td>
<td>[Total (92)]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistics

- Response Count: 92
- Mean: 4.26
- Median: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: +/-0.77

4. The course provided opportunities for you to become engaged with the course material, for example through class discussions, group work, student presentations, on-line chat, or experiential learning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very Poor (0%)</th>
<th>Poor (2%)</th>
<th>Adequate (13%)</th>
<th>Good (28%)</th>
<th>Excellent (59%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Total (93)]</td>
<td>[Total (93)]</td>
<td>[Total (93)]</td>
<td>[Total (93)]</td>
<td>[Total (93)]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistics

- Response Count: 93
- Mean: 4.42
- Median: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: +/-0.80

5. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning in the course were fair

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very Poor (1%)</th>
<th>Poor (3%)</th>
<th>Adequate (14%)</th>
<th>Good (37%)</th>
<th>Excellent (45%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Total (92)]</td>
<td>[Total (92)]</td>
<td>[Total (92)]</td>
<td>[Total (92)]</td>
<td>[Total (92)]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistics

- Response Count: 92
- Mean: 4.21
- Median: 4.00

6. The course provided relevant skills and information (e.g. to other courses, your future career, or other contexts)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very Poor (0%)</th>
<th>Poor (0%)</th>
<th>Adequate (12%)</th>
<th>Good (33%)</th>
<th>Excellent (55%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Total (93)]</td>
<td>[Total (93)]</td>
<td>[Total (93)]</td>
<td>[Total (93)]</td>
<td>[Total (93)]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistics

- Response Count: 93
- Mean: 4.43
### Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>+/-0.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience

- Very Poor (0%)
- Poor (3%)
- Adequate (12%)
- Good (34%)
- Excellent (61%)

[Total (93)]
III Statements About The Students:

My primary reason for taking the course.

- Interest (36)
- Program requirement (40)
- Reputation of Instructor (3)
- Reputation of course (7)
- Timetable fit (6)

Total (92)

The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend

- Missed fewer than 3 (72)
- Missed 3-10 (16)
- Missed 11-20 (1)
- Missed more than 20 (0)

Total (89)

Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was

- Extremely heavy (11)
- Somewhat heavy (21)
- Average (51)
- Somewhat light (7)
- Extremely light (1)

Total (91)

The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:

- Less than 1 (9)
- 1 to 2 (23)
- 3 to 5 (29)
- 6 to 8 (18)
- 9 to 10 (6)
- More than 10 (7)

Total (92)

As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:

- Decreased (6)
- Stayed the same (29)
- Increased (58)

Total (93)
IV Additional Statements:

How would you rate instructor if asked by another student?

![Graph showing instructor ratings]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>+/-0.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall, how well did this course measure against your expectations?

![Graph showing course expectations]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response Count</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>+/-0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>