PHIL 490 (A01) / 500 (A03) – THE PHILOSOPHY OF THOMAS REID

Classes
Monday & Thursday, 11:30-12:50, Clearihue B315

Professor
Patrick Rysiew
Office Hours: Wednesday & Thursday 1:00-2:00, or by appointment; Clearihue B321
E-mail: rysiew@uvic.ca
Phone: 721-7520 (my office), 721-7512 (Philosophy Dept.)

Course Description
This course focuses on the philosophical theories of Thomas Reid (1710-96). Reid was a contemporary of both Hume and Kant. Like Kant, Reid said that Hume’s *Treatise* was the main spur to his own philosophical work. In Reid’s case, this took the form of challenging ‘the theory of ideas’, among other things, which he saw as the cornerstone of Hume’s (and many other philosophers’) writings. Until recently, Reid’s work has been largely neglected, and often misunderstood. Too often, his commitment to ‘common sense’ has been seen as amounting to not much more than a refusal to do philosophy, or an inability to feel the grip of philosophical problems. More recently there has been a resurgence of interest in Reid’s work and a recognition of its philosophical sophistication and richness. Numerous articles, books, and collections on Reid have appeared over the past two decades; he has been cited by such key contemporary figures as Chisholm, Lehrer, Alston, Plantinga, Putnam and Sosa. Philosophers have grown increasingly interested, especially, in Reid’s views on perception, knowledge (and scepticism), and action. These views will be the focus of the course; readings will be drawn both from Reid himself, and from contemporary discussions of Reid and the issues he tackled.

Texts

Excerpts from Reid’s *Works*, and a number of additional readings, will be made available via the course’s CourseSpaces page

Resources
- Several books by/about Reid are available at the Library. Please be considerate of other students in the course as concerns such texts – try not to recall a book out from under one of your fellow Reid students; make it known to others if you have a copy of some text, and so on.
- Many digitized manuscripts of Reid’s are available here: [http://www.abdn.ac.uk/diss/historic/Thomas_Reid/](http://www.abdn.ac.uk/diss/historic/Thomas_Reid/)
- I have copies of many articles on Reid; if you are looking for something and having trouble finding it, feel free to ask.
Evaluation
Students’ grades will be based on:
(a) four short (2pp. max.) “quote and comment” assignments (5% each; 20% total);
(b) a term paper, approx. 15-20 pp. in length OR two shorter (8-10 pp.) papers, on a topic (topics) of your choosing, in consultation with the instructor (60%);
(c) a paper proposal (or proposals), outlining the topic, central theses, and structure/plan for the intended paper, approx. 2-3 pp., plus projected bibliography (15%);
(d) informed participation in the course: 5%.

(a) Short “Quote and Comment” Assignments: At least four times during the course, at the start of the Tuesday session, a student will hand in a brief critical discussion of some part or aspect of the readings for that week. (You may submit up to six of these, with the top four being counted towards your final grade.) These comments must be typed and may not exceed two double-spaced pages; else, they will be returned ungraded. Your name and the course title should be clearly indicated at the top of the page. You should also identify the reading to which you are responding. You should identify a brief passage in the reading that raises an issue or point you wish to discuss. (It often makes sense for you to include a brief quotation from the text as a preface to your remarks.) Offer a brief explanation of what issue or point you find interesting or contentious or confusing, etc., and then provide a brief reasoned response — e.g., a criticism or comment or constructive question, along with reasons for thinking it is important or reasonable, etc. — about the issue at stake.

(b) Research Paper: Students will write a research paper (or two shorter such papers) on a topic (topics) of their choosing, subject to the instructor’s approval. Students will provide a preliminary plan for their papers in the form of a research proposal (next item). Papers are due Monday April 6th, by 4pm (my mailbox, Phil. Dept. office). (For those writing two shorter paper, the first of these will be due Monday March 2nd, at the start of class.)

(c) Paper Proposal: The paper proposal has four elements. First, you should provide a brief but suitably descriptive title for your project. Second, you must provide a brief description of the general philosophical terrain you wish to explore in your research. Here you should identify and briefly characterize any key background theories or assumptions that shape your project. You should also briefly motivate philosophical interest or importance of the general project. Third, you must provide a description of the particular dimensions of the philosophical issue or problem you plan to address in your essay. This should include a provisional characterization of the argument you anticipate developing in your essay. Fourth, you must provide a preliminary bibliography of material you will draw upon in writing your essay. The bibliography must include at least 5 philosophy articles or books. The completed proposal should be approximately 2-3 double-spaced pages long PLUS the bibliography. The final deadline for submission of paper proposals is Monday March 16th, at the start of class. Students who turn their proposals in by a reasonably earlier time will get written feedback, and may revise and resubmit it. (Students who choose the two-paper option under (b) will submit a proposal for each of the two papers; the first of these will be due Thursday February 19th.)

(d) Participation: By its nature, this is not a matter that is easily quantified; nor are clear and useful criteria for optimal performance on this component easily articulated. Students are
expected to be regular, active, and thoughtful participants in the life of the course. Bear in mind as well that this is an advanced, 4th-year course, that a good portion of class time will be devoted to discussion, and that much of what you learn in the course will be from other students. For this reason alone, and quite apart from issues about grades, it is in your own interest to read the material ahead of time, and to be prepared and willing to talk about it in class, to actively participate in the course, to intend your in-class comments and questions to be ultimately constructive and helpful, and so on.

In general, evaluation of students’ written work will be based on: evidence of comprehension of the materials and issues addressed; evidence of original and critical thought with regard to that material; the extent to which the student stakes out a position and provides good reasons and arguments for it; the extent to which the student communicates his/her ideas clearly (using complete and grammatical sentences, correct terms, a clear essay structure, and so on). All materials used on any of the assignments must be properly cited in the standard way.

Without exception, late assignments unaccompanied by a documented medical excuse will be penalized at the rate of 5% per day or portion thereof, starting as soon as the due date and time have passed.

Numerical grades on assignments will be converted to a letter grade using the standard University scheme. Here is an extract from the University website (full version: http://web.uvic.ca/calendar2014-09/FACS/UnIn/UARe/Grad.html):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Undergraduate Grading Scale</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Passing Grades</td>
<td>Grade Point Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A+</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An A+, A, or A- is earned by work which is technically superior, shows mastery of the subject matter, and in the case of an A+ offers original insight and/or goes beyond course expectations. Normally achieved by a minority of students.

A B+, B, or B- is earned by work that indicates a good comprehension of the course material, a good command of the skills needed to work with the course material, and the student’s full engagement with the course requirements and activities. A B+ represents a more complex understanding and/or application of the course material. Normally achieved by the largest number of students.

A C+ or C is earned by work that indicates an adequate comprehension of the course material and the skills needed to work with the course material and that indicates the student has met the basic requirements for completing assigned work and/or participating in class activities.

A D is earned by work that indicates minimal command of the course materials and/or minimal participation in class activities that is worthy of course credit toward the degree.

Plagiarism and other forms of cheating will not be tolerated. It is each student’s responsibility to know the University’s regulations in this regard. The Policy on Academic Integrity can be found in the University Calendar: http://web.uvic.ca/calendar2014-09/FACS/UnIn/UARe/PoAcI.html.
A word about Reid’s works
Our readings from Reid will be drawn from his three main works:

- *An Inquiry Into the Human Mind, On the Principles of Common Sense*, 1764 (abbreviated below as IHM; ‘1.1’ = Chapter 1, Section 1)
- *Essays on the Intellectual Powers of Man*, 1785 (EIP; ‘1.1’ = Essay 1, Chapter 1)
- *Essays on the Active Powers of the Human Mind*, 1788. (EAP; ‘1.1’ = Essay 1, Chapter 1)

IHM and EIP are concerned primarily with philosophy of mind/perception and epistemology; EAP is concerned with ethics and agency. Until recently, the standard edition of Reid was William Hamilton, ed., *Philosophical Works*, which contains all three of these plus some other materials. The cost of that volume is prohibitive. New editions of Reid’s work are now becoming available, but thus far the only one available in an affordable paperback version is the *Inquiry*; so only that has been ordered as a text. The rest of our readings from Reid, along with any additional readings, will be made available as photocopies, kept on file in the Department library. (The readings from EIP and EAP will be from the Hamilton edition mentioned above.) Because we will be referring often in class to the readings, and because some other editions of Reid are heavily edited, it is strongly recommended that you stick to the editions being used here.

Schedule of Topics and Readings
We will begin by looking at Reid’s method of investigation, the primacy of common sense, and his critique of ‘the way of ideas’ (for which he is perhaps best known). After that, we will take up topics more or less in the chronological order in which Reid addressed them. In weeks 2-9 we will be concerned with Reid’s views on perception, the mind, common sense and knowledge – here, we will look at portions of IHM and EIP, for the most part reading them in parallel. In the latter third of the course, we’ll look at Reid’s writings on agency and moral judgment; here, EAP will be the primary text from Reid.

Note: What follows is subject to revision. Any changes to the schedule will be announced in class. As a default, you should do the readings for a given session in the order in which they are listed.

Week 1 (Jan. 5, 8): Introduction and Overview; the Humean background
Two articles provide a fair overview of some of the main issues and views we’ll be looking at in the course:

- The entry on Reid by Gideon Yaffe and Ryan Nichols in the *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*: http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/reid/
- D. D. Todd’s “Reid Redivivus?”

Week 2 (Jan. 12, 15): Reid’s method of investigation; the primacy of common sense; his critique of the way of ideas
- Reid: IHM 1; EIP 1, 2.14; “Oration III” (1759)

Week 3 (Jan. 19, 22): Sensation and perception: Reid’s distinction; the role of sensation in perception
- Reid: IHM 2-4; EIP 1.1, 2.5, 2.16
- Todd, “Thomas Reid’s Semiotic”
- Chappell, “The Theory of Sensations”
- Duggan’s Introduction to IHM

**Week 4 (Jan. 26, 29): Primary and Secondary Qualities:** Locke and Berkeley on the distinction; Reid’s way of drawing it
  - Reid: IHM 5, EIP 2.17
  - excerpt from Locke (*Essay*)
  - excerpt from Berkeley (*Three Dialogues*)

**Week 5 (Feb. 2, 5): Reid’s Nativism:** Nativism vs. empiricism; ‘natural signs’; Reid’s arguments for nativism; the role of nativism in combating scepticism; nativism and ‘innate ideas’
  - Reid: IHM 6.1-6.7; EIP through 2.22
  - the Hume-Reid exchange in the *Inquiry*, Brookes, ed. (pp. 255-65)
  - Wright, “Hume vs. Reid on Ideas: The New Hume Letter”
  - excerpt from Leibniz

Feb 9, 11, 12, [no classes: reading break]

**Week 6 (Feb. 16, 19): Direct and indirect realism:** Different senses of ‘direct’; strategies for securing direct realism; whether Reid succumbs to ‘the way of ideas’ himself; whether acquired perception is direct
  - Reid: sections on acquired perception – IHM 6.20-23, EIP 2.21-22
  - Van Cleve, “Reid’s Theory of Perception”

**Week 7 (Feb. 23, 26): Vision – Visible Figure, The Geometry of Visibles**
  - Reid: IHM 6.8-19 (less important: 6.14-16, 6.18-19); Brookes, 272-7, 318-9
  - Daniels, Chapter 1 (*Thomas Reid’s ‘Inquiry’: The Geometry of Visibles and the Case for Realism*)

**Week 8 (March 2, 5): Reid’s Epistemology:** the status of ‘first principles’; locating Reid with respect to foundationalism-vs-coherencism, internalism-vs-externalism, reliabilism, and naturalism; the epistemology of testimony; Reid’s reply to the sceptic
  - Reid: IHM 6.24, 7, & pp. 169-170; EIP 2.20, 6.1-6.6, 7
  - De Bary, “Thomas Reid’s Metaprinciple”
  - Alston, “Thomas Reid on Epistemic Principles”
  - Greco, “Reid’s Reply to the Sceptic”
  - Rysiew, “Reid and Epistemic Naturalism”

**Week 9 (March 9, 12): Continued**

**Week 10 (March 16, 19): Causation, Freedom and Agency:** ‘active power’; agent causation vs. event causation; determinism; compatibilism; the role of agent causation in Reid’s account of
freedom
- Reid: EAP 1; “Of Power”
- Lehrer, *Thomas Reid*, pp. 203-11, Chapter 14
- Rowe, “Thomas Reid’s Theory of Freedom and Responsibility”

- *optional: Reid: EAP 2, 4*

**Week 11 (March 23, 26): Reid’s Moral Philosophy:** Reid as critic of Hume; Reid as ‘moral sense’ theorist? as ‘intuitionist’?; the relation of Reid’s moral theory to his epistemology
- Reid: EIP 7.2
- Lehrer, *Thomas Reid*, pp. 212-26, Chapter 13
- Cuneo, “Reid’s Moral Philosophy”

- *optional: Reid: EAP 3, 5*

**Week 12 (March 30, April 2): Further Issues:** Is Reid a ‘mysterian’ about the mind? Is he a ‘providential naturalist’? Is he some kind of irrationalist?
- Harris, “Reid on the character of a science of the mind”
- McCarthy, review of Colin McGinn’s “The Mysterious Flame”
- Chalmers, “How Can We Construct a Science of Consciousness?”
- Brookes, “Introduction” to IHM
- Wolterstorff, “Conclusion” (Thomas Reid and the Story of Epistemology)
- Lehrer, “Epilogue”, from Lehrer’s *Thomas Reid*

*Final papers are due **Monday April 6th, by 4pm** (my mailbox, Phil. Dept. office). Late papers unaccompanied by a documented medical excuse will be penalized at the rate of 5%/day.*

**Some important administrative dates** ([http://web.uvic.ca/calendar2014-09/GI/2AYeID.html](http://web.uvic.ca/calendar2014-09/GI/2AYeID.html))
- Sun., Jan. 18: last day for 100% reduction of fees
- Wed., Jan 21: last day to for adding second-term courses
- Sun., Feb. 8: last day for 50% reduction of tuition fees. 100% of tuition fees will be assessed for courses dropped after this date.
- Sat., Feb. 28: last day for withdrawing from second-term courses without penalty of failure