HSD Procedures for Review of Assigned Grades
Undergraduate and Graduate Students

1. Any undergraduate or graduate student wishing clarification about, or who is dissatisfied with an assigned grade, for either a whole course or a portion of it, submits in writing via email a request for a review of the work in question to the course instructor. Students make their request to the instructor usually within 10 business days of receiving the grade for the portion of the course that is in question. The review will typically be completed within 21 business days of the start of the student-instructor discussion. If the instructor agrees to change a grade before the final course grades have been submitted no further action is required.

**Undergraduate Students:** If the instructor agrees to change a grade after the course grades have been submitted the instructor will complete a change of grade form and submit it for approval to the Director and the Faculty of Human and Social Development (HSD) Associate Dean Academic.

**Graduate Student:** If the instructor agrees to change a grade after the course grades have been submitted the instructor will complete a change of grade form and send it to the Chair to the Graduate Admissions and Records Office.

2. If the instructor’s review confirms the original grade and the undergraduate or graduate student remains dissatisfied, the student has the option to appeal to the Director of the school in writing, stating clearly the student’s reason for the Director to review the instructor’s decision and the grade. The appeal to the Director should take place usually within 14 days of the student receiving the instructor’s decision. If the Director and the course instructor are the same, the Director will ask the Associate Dean Academic to appoint another person in the school to review the assigned grade.

3. In a grade review, if the Director or designate believes the grounds to be reasonable, the Director will initiate a grade review using the procedures below. If the Director does not agree with the need for a review of the grade:

   3.1 **An undergraduate student** has the right to request a grade review through Undergraduate Records and Graduation Services, as set out in the Calendar under Undergraduate Programs and Undergraduate Academic Regulations

   3.2 **A graduate student** has a right to request a grade review through the Office of the Dean of Graduate Studies. The student normally remains a member of their home academic unit during any appeal.

4. In a review of a grade for an undergraduate and graduate student, the following procedures are used:

   4.1 The Director informs the student requesting a grade review of the university policy, found in the academic calendar, that the grade determined by the review will be recorded as the official grade regardless of whether it is the same, higher than or lower than the original grade. The student must send a confirmation email to the School Director, within 5 business days of receiving notification, their understanding of this university policy before the grade review proceeds.

   4.2 The Director will appoint a second instructor (preferably an experienced faculty member who has recently taught the course or one closely related to it) to review the work in question.
4.3 The original instructor shall provide to the Director:
   (a) the course syllabus,
   (b) a description of the assignment(s) in question, if not included in the course syllabus,
   (c) unmarked copies of the work submitted for the grade in question,
   (d) an explicit statement on how grades were determined and what assessment techniques were used in the course,
   (e) explicit criteria, scoring keys, or a marking guide for evaluating the components of the grade,
   and
   (f) if available and the assignment is a paper, a representative sample of other graded papers from the course.

4.4 The reviewer will read unmarked copies of all work submitted for the grade in question. Students do not have the option to rewrite, edit, or in any other way change their papers prior to the review process.

4.5 The reviewer will receive copies of the items detailed in 4.3 and 4.4. The reviewer will evaluate the assignment without knowledge of the original mark and without consultation with the course instructor.

4.6 To minimize risk of bias, the student’s name and number will not be identified to reviewer and the reviewer’s name will not be identified to the student.

4.7 The reviewer will submit to the Director (or the person appointed to handle the appeal in 4.2 above) an independent evaluation of the grade normally within two weeks of receiving the material.

5. If the evaluation is different from that of the initial instructor, then the Director may consult with both instructors and/or seek further opinion before awarding the final grade. The revised grade, regardless of whether it is the same, higher than or lower than the original grade becomes the official grade.

6. Appeal processes beyond the level of the School:

   6.1 **An undergraduate student** dissatisfied with the final grade awarded by the School Director, may request a review of the grade to the HSD Associate Dean Academic within two weeks of receiving the Director’s decision. The Associate Dean’s review will attend to fair implementation of academic policies and procedures. The Associate Dean will not consider an appeal where the sole question in a student’s appeal is a matter of academic judgment (academic merit of the assigned work). The outcome of the Associate Dean’s review will include a confirmation of the existing grade or a grade change. The Associate Dean’s decision will be recorded as the final grade, irrespective of whether it is the same, higher than, or lower than the grade given by the Director.

   6.2 **A graduate student** dissatisfied with the final grade as assigned by a School Director may formally request a further review of the grade through the Office of the Dean of Graduate Studies. The Faculty of Graduate Studies’ review will attend to fair implementation of academic policies and procedures. The Faculty of Graduate Studies will not consider an appeal where the sole question in a student’s appeal is a matter of academic judgment (academic merit of the assigned work).

   6.3 The final level of appeal for graduate and undergraduate grades is the Senate Committee on Appeals (see Senate Committee on Appeals Procedural Guidelines – Office of the University Secretary).
Materials students submit to the Associate Dean for review

- Reason for the appeal (e.g. on what basis does the student believe there has been unfair implementation of academic policies and procedures at the school’s level)
- A timeline including a sequence of events and a list of key documents
- Any supporting documentation relevant to the appeal (e.g. course syllabus/requirements, course evaluation components/feedback, emails & correspondence between the instructor and student)
- A copy of the final decision being appealed
- A statement of the outcome being sought